# In-Class Lab 8

ECON 4223 (Prof. Tyler Ransom, U of Oklahoma) September 18, 2018

The purpose of this in-class lab is to practice conducting *joint* hypothesis tests of regression parameters in R. We will do this using t-tests and F-tests. The lab should be completed in your group. To get credit, upload your .R script to the appropriate place on Canvas.

## For starters

Open up a new R script (named ICL8\_XYZ.R, where XYZ are your initials) and add the usual "preamble" to the top:

```
# Add names of group members HERE
library(tidyverse)
library(broom)
library(wooldridge)
library(car)
library(magrittr)
```

## Load the data

We'll use a data set on earnings and ability, called htv. The data set contains a sample of 1,230 workers.

```
df <- as_tibble(htv)</pre>
```

Check out what's in the data by typing

```
glimpse(df)
```

The main variables we're interested in are: wages, education, ability, parental education, and region of residence (ne, nc, west, and south).

## Create regional factor variable

Let's start by creating a factor variable from the four regional dummies. Borrowing code from lab 6, we have:

# Regression and Hypothesis Testing

Estimate the following regression model:

```
educ = \beta_0 + \beta_1 motheduc + \beta_2 fatheduc + \beta_3 abil + \beta_4 abil^2 + \beta_5 region + u
```

Note that abil is in standard deviation units. You will need to use a mutate() function to create  $abil^2$  (not shown here). Call it abilsq. region represents the factor variable you created above.<sup>1</sup>

```
est <- lm(educ ~ motheduc + fatheduc + abil + abilsq + region, data=df)
tidy(est)</pre>
```

#### t-test

1. Test the hypothesis that abil has a linear effect on educ.

# F-test (single parameter)

2. Now test that motheduc and fatheduc have equal effects on educ. In other words, test  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2; H_a: \beta_1 \neq \beta_2$ . To do this, you will need to obtain  $se(\beta_1 - \beta_2)$ . Luckily, R will do this for you with the linearHypothesis() function in the car package:

```
linearHypothesis(est, "motheduc = fatheduc")
```

The resulting p-value is that of an F test, but one would get an identical result by using a t-test, since this is a simple hypothesis (see Wooldridge (2015), pp. 125-126).

# F-test (multiple parameters)

The p-values from the previous regression might indicate that the three region dummies don't contribute to education.

3. Test the hypothesis that they don't; i.e. test

```
H_0: all region dummies = 0; H_a: any region dummy \neq 0
```

The code to do this again comes from the linear Hypothesis () function. The syntax is to encolose each component hypothesis in quotes and then surround them with c(), which is how R creates vectors.

```
linearHypothesis(est, c("regionNortheast=0", "regionSouth=0", "regionWest =0"))
```

Alternatively, you can perform the F-test as follows (no need to put this in your R-script; I'm just showing you how to do it "by hand"):

```
est.restrict <- lm(educ ~ motheduc + fatheduc + abil + abilsq, data=df)
Fstat.numerator <- (deviance(est.restrict)-deviance(est))/3
Fstat.denominator <- deviance(est)/1222
Fstat <- Fstat.numerator/Fstat.denominator
p.value <- 1-pf(Fstat,3,1222)</pre>
```

This gives the exact same answer as the linear Hypothesis () code.

# References

Wooldridge, Jeffrey M. 2015. Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach. 6th ed. Cengage Learning.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Here the notation of  $\beta_5 region$  is not quite right. It more technically should be written  $\beta_5 region.NE + \beta_6 region.S + \beta_7 region.W$ , where each of the region.X variables is a dummy. The way it is written above,  $\beta_5 region$  implies that  $\beta_5$  is a vector, not a scalar.