

## Peer Evaluations of Project Team Members

At the submission of each team project deliverable, every team member evaluates himself/herself and each fellow team member. Peer evaluations could affect your grade; thus, it is in your best interest to ensure that these evaluations are conducted and submitted to the professor at the time you submit the final project by **11:59pm on 3/27/2014 (Thursday)**. If an individual has taken on extra responsibility, such as organizing the group, or editing submissions for consistency, this should be indicated by group members and extra credit will be assigned to that person.

Each team member must complete a peer evaluation form to the instructor. ***These will be treated as confidential. The peer reviews will be used to identify team problems and, in cases where an individual or group collaboration was uneven, to apportion the group grade.*** Please email individual team evaluations to [hanh@marshall.edu](mailto:hanh@marshall.edu).

### Peer Evaluation Form

|   | Nick | Eli | N/A |
|---|------|-----|-----|
| Group leadership or ability to plan project and help keep team on track   | 4    | 4   | N/A |
| Attitude toward the project and team members  | 5    | 5   | N/A |
| Regular attendance at team meetings (or collaborating via email) and being prepared for meetings/ collaboration   | 4    | 5   | N/A |
| Willingness to accept tasks   | 5    | 3   | N/A |
| Ability and willingness to constructively criticize, synthesize, or edit and assemble group work  | 1    | 1   | N/A |
| Ability to understand what needs to be done and to interpret this for the group   | 5    | 1   | N/A |
| Quality of individual work towards assignment   | 5    | 1   | N/A |
| Timeliness of individual work towards assignment  | 3    | 1   | N/A |
| Percentage of effort in completing the project  | 5    | 1   | N/A |
| Contribution over/above the call of duty, such as willingness to help others, or taking on extra work   | 5    | 1   | N/A |
| <i>Average</i>  | 4.2  | 2.3 | N/A |
| <p><u>Comments:</u> Eli is a very friendly person. He was always <i>wanting</i> to help in any way that he could. However, it just did not seem as though his skills were adequate enough to help with the project. I wrote every single line of code for this project. Eli was very engaged: wanting to meet and truly wanting to help. He was just not <i>able</i> to help. I had a lot of trouble with this project: hence the missing AI and asking for an extra day extension. I mustered through it as best I could, and I think that I produced at least a decent project. I am disappointed that I have not gotten to have any true group work experience — I had the same exact issues in CS 120 projects.</p> |      |     |     |

Individual scores on each criterion should range from 1 (*very poor*) to 5 (*exceptionally outstanding*). Generally speaking, 1's should be as rare as 5's, given that few team members are outstandingly poor or outstandingly excellent. Provide a score for each team member (including yourself!) on each criterion, and provide comments to justify any very low or very high scores. Also compute an average score for each team member.