Peer Evaluations of Project Team Members

At the submission of each team project deliverable, every team member evaluates himself/herself and each fellow team member. Peer evaluations could affect your grade; thus, it is in your best interest to ensure that these evaluations are conducted and submitted to the professor at the time you submit the final project by **11:59pm on 3/27/2014 (Thursday).** If an individual has taken on extra responsibility, such as organizing the group, or editing submissions for consistency, this should be indicated by group members and extra credit will be assigned to that person.

Each team member must complete a peer evaluation form to the instructor. ***These will be treated as confidential.*** **The peer reviews will be used to identify team problems and, in cases where an individual or group collaboration was uneven, to apportion the group grade.** Please email individual team evaluations to hanh@marshall.edu.

Peer Evaluation Form

	Nick Alexander	Eli Cabarrus	N/A
Group leadership or ability to plan project and help keep team on track	4	2	
Attitude toward the project and team members	3	3	
Regular attendance at team meetings (or collaborating via email) and being prepared for meetings/ collaboration	4	4	
Willingness to accept tasks	4	4	
Ability and willingness to constructively criticize, synthesize, or edit and assemble group work	4	4	
Ability to understand what needs to be done and to interpret this for the group	4	1	
Quality of individual work towards assignment	5	1	
Timeliness of individual work towards assignment	5	1	
Percentage of effort in completing the project	4	2	
Contribution over/above the call of duty, such as willingness to help others, or taking on extra work	5	1	
Average	*4.2*	*2.3*	

Comments: Eli was very nice and decent to work with; however, he seemed uncomfortable with his programming skills and seemed lost at times which made the project difficult. I found that I had to explain my ideas to him instead of us collaborating on ideas. He was very willing to work, it seemed, but it was difficult to delegate tasks to him given his lack of comfortability.

Individual scores on each criterion should range from 1 *(very poor)* to 5 *(exceptionally outstanding)*. Generally speaking, 1's should be as rare as 5's, given that few team members are outstandingly poor or outstandingly excellent. Provide a score for each team member (including yourself!) on each criterion, and provide comments to justify any very low or very high scores. Also compute an average score for each team member.