1.(a) after each branch is replaced by  $\alpha$  leaf, take the left branch as example:

if  $P_1 > n_1$ , then there are nu data points misclassified; similarly, if  $P_1 < n_1$ , there will be  $p_1$  mistakes. For left branch:

# training mistakes = 
$$\begin{cases} n_1 & (P_1 > n_1) \\ p_1 & (P_1 < n_1) \end{cases}$$
 =  $(P_1 + n_1) \cdot I(\frac{P_1}{p_1 + n_1})$  =  $(P_1 + n_1) \cdot min(\frac{P_1}{p_1 + n_1}) \cdot min(\frac{P_1}{p_1 + n_1})$ 

Thus, the whole training mistakes: (Pi+m). I(Pi+m) + (P2+n2). I(P2+n2)

(b). Gini index on a1.

Gini for submode 
$$(a_{1}=0) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$
  
for  $(a_{1}=1) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{26}{2}$ 

.. Gimi for split on 
$$\alpha_1 = \frac{4}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{6}{16} \cdot \frac{26}{36} = 0.63$$

Giri index on as

1001

4
(1,3)

$$P = \frac{1}{4}$$
 $P = \frac{1}{3}$ 

for sub-node ( $a_2 = 0$ ) =  $\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2}{4} \cdot \frac{3}{4} = \frac{10}{16} = \frac{5}{8}$ 

for ( $a_2 = 1$ ) =  $\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{3} = \frac{5}{9}$ 

... Gini for split on  $a_2 = \frac{4}{10} \cdot \frac{5}{8} + \frac{6}{10} \cdot \frac{5}{9} = 0.38$ 

Gini index on az.

$$7$$
 3  
 $(3.4)$   $(0.3)$   
 $for(0.3=0) = \frac{3}{7} \cdot \frac{3}{7} + \frac{4}{7} \cdot \frac{4}{7} = \frac{25}{49}$   
 $for(0.3=1) = 1$   
 $for(0.3=1) = 1$   
 $for(0.3=1) = 1$ 

thus split on az yields a higher Gini score, (a more pure split). Thus using Gini index will choose to split on az.

Cc) the problem is equivalent to asking to in what condition, s.t:

mincp1, n1) + mincp2, n2) < mincP1+P2, n1+n2),

when P1>n1 and P2>n2 or, P1<n1 and p2<n2, left term = right term.

while when \$\frac{4}{2}\$ split has opposite value comparison, such as:

P1>n1 and P2<n2 or P1<n1 and P2>n2, such \$\frac{1}{2}\$ left term

will be strictly smaller than right term.

Id) min-error impurity function employs a more stricter condition on making the split, that the min-error only decreases when the resulting branches have opposite majority label, it makes harder for a growing decision tree to make decision, as we can observe from (b), it's easy to appear draw conditions, when their min-error value are all the same. It will hinder the elecision to be made.

## 2. Bootstrap aggregation ("bagging")

For i=1...N, Let  $X_i$  be the a random variable, that  $X_i=1$  when the ith example does not appear in the replicate, and  $X_i=0$  otherwise. Because we draw N samples with replacement, then the probability of  $X_i=1$  is:

 $\Pr(X_{i}=1) = \left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)^{N}$  (for each sample, there is  $\frac{N-1}{N}$  Probability to choose other examples tast instead of ith)

Let S be the number of distinct samples that does not appear in

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$$
 (Xi are inclependent, for  $i=1...N$ )

$$\Rightarrow E(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_r(x_i = i) = N(\frac{N-i}{N})^N$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ the expected fraction is } \frac{E(\hat{s})}{N} = \left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)^N = \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)^N$$
 and 
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)^N = \frac{1}{e}$$