

MULTI-SCALE DEFECT DETECTION NETWORK FOR TIRE X-RAY IMAGES

Ren Wang^{1,2}, Qiang Guo^{1,2}, Caiming Zhang³

¹School of Computer Science and Technology,
Shandong University of Finance and Economics, Jinan, China

²Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Digital Media Technology, Jinan, China

³Software College, Shandong University, Jinan, China

ABSTRACT

Though automatic detection method has been tremendous improved, with the development of deep learning. Defect detection in many industrial inspection is one of the remaining challenging tasks due to the diversity of products. In this work, we focus on detection tasks in tire industry and develop a *Multi-scale Defect Detection Network (MDDN)*, which contains two parallel sub-networks to capture semantic and texture features. Specifically, high-abstracted semantic features containing defect shapes and locations are mined via a *semantic-aware sub-network*, simplified by an off-the-shelf fully convolutional network. Furthermore, to complement the details filtered by the sub-sampling, a novel *texture-aware sub-network* is used to cover edge features and small defects as much as possible. Finally, pixel-wised detection results are obtained by fusing features with semantic and texture information. Extensive experiments demonstrate that MDDN can produce comparable results and achieve significantly performance improvement in small tire defects detection over existing methods.

Index Terms— Defect detection, Fully convolutional network, Semantic segmentation, Multi-scale context

1. INTRODUCTION

Automatic defect detection, used to improve quality and accelerate production, has become an indispensable part in industrial processes [1, 2]. Especially in tire manufacturing, numerous detection algorithms have been proposed [3, 4, 5] and aroused extensive attention recently. In most real-world applications, tire defect detection is first carried out by deriving the defective region from tire X-ray images, which contains various types of defects caused by unclean raw materials and undesired manufacturing facilities [6]. Then, defective products are hierarchical processed according to the location and area of defects. Due to unique properties of the tire X-ray image, for instance complexity and low-quality, illustrated in previous studies [7, 8], most inspection processes are performed by human observers, which increases the risk and reduces the




Fig. 1. (a) shows the image pyramids. (b) indicates the in-network feature hierarchies. Prediction results can be derived from each layer. (c) represents multi-size tire image blocks, which can increase the relative scale of small defects and backgrounds. (d) illustrates that the semantic information is more easily retained in tire image blocks by comparison.

efficiency. Therefore, tire defect detection remains one of the most challenging inspection tasks.

At present, existing detection methods are mostly devoted to distinguishing difference between defective regions and backgrounds (defective-free regions). A key issue for such methods is feature extraction. Guo *et al.* [9] proposed a component decomposition based method to detect tire defects, which separated the background from the image by means of two designed filters. Then through an adaptive thresholding processing, defects were derived from the residual image. Besides, independent component analysis was also used for defect detection tasks [10, 11]. A major disadvantage of these fundamental methods is the limitation of the information contained in low-level clues and domain features. To address the limitation, Zhang *et al.* [7, 12] introduced mulit-scale wavelet and curvelet transform in detection tasks. Furthermore, optimized edge detection and total variation algorithm were used




Fig. 2. Overall architecture of the proposed MDDN. A novel texture-aware network and a semantic-aware network with different preprocessing strategies are adopted to extract low-level clues and high-level features, respectively. Then, the detection results are obtained by fusing these features.

to achieve more accurate results [13]. However, the representation capability of fixed kernels is not comprehensive enough. Moreover, the transform processes are computationally expensive. Recently, Cui *et al.* [14] attempted to classify tire defects by means of deep convolutional networks (ConvNets), which have outstanding performances in the recognition and segmentation tasks of natural images. With the excellent feature extraction capability of ConvNets, Wang *et al.* [8] further implemented the detection and segmentation in tire images by a fully convolutional network (FCN) [15]. However, due to the existence of pooling layers, FCN is not sensitive to small defects and edge details, which is similar to that in dealing with natural image segmentation tasks.

To achieve better detection performance on these small objects, many methods have been proposed in benchmark datasets. Most of them are based on multi-scale strategies and can be roughly classified into image pyramids and in-network feature hierarchies. Image pyramids are directly scaled to get multi-scale images and extensively used in the era of hand-crafted features [16], as shown in Fig. 1(a). With the popularity of self-learning feature representation, image pyramids based methods are impractical for real applications due to the considerable increase in inference time. In-network feature hierarchies are formed by the forward propagation within deep ConvNets. Through several of sub-sampling layers, in-network hierarchies produce feature maps including different spatial resolutions, with an multi-scale and pyramid shape [17]. The Single Shot Detector (SSD) [18] is one of the first attempts at combining predictions from these features maps to detect objects of various sizes. Generally, shallow features are used to predict small objects, and deep features with large receptive fields are used to detect large objects. However, the lack of semantic information is harmful to the detection of small targets in shallow layers. Another fusing way can effectively address this problem by concatenating multi-scale

features and detecting on top of the expanded feature maps, as shown in Fig. 1(b). For example, FCN defined a skip architecture to produce more accurate segmentation. Similar top-down skip architectures are popular in recent researches [19, 20]. Since the training goal of the entire network is to extract abstract semantics, there exists a basic problem that it is still not enough to mine the detail texture in these structures [21]. CrowdNet [22] combined shallow and deep networks to overcome this shortcoming. Unlike in-network feature hierarchies, the shallow network is specifically designed to retain more details by reducing the number of pooling layers. Therefore, the capability to extract detail features is further enhanced.

Inspired by CrowdNet, we construct a end-to-end network named *Multi-scale Defect Detection Network (MDDN)* that consists of a semantic-aware sub-network and a texture-aware sub-network. In texture-aware networks, pooling layers are discarded in order to completely retain detail textures and small-sized defects. Furthermore, image blocking [22, 23] was adopted as the preprocessing strategy during training. Unlike natural image blocks, defects (objects) are still significant and discernible in tire image blocks, illustrated in Fig. 1(d). In addition, as shown in Fig. 1(c), the proportion of defects in a 256×256 tire image increases from 124/65535 to 124/4096, which is advantageous for better capturing of detailed information.

2. MULTI-SCALE DEFECT DETECTION NETWORK

Our network aims to improve the accuracy of tire defect detection, especially for edge details and small defects. To achieve this goal, on the one hand, the proposed MDDN combines deep layer features with low-level clues through two parallel sub-networks, illustrated in Fig. 2. Among them, the



Fig. 3. Comparison of experimental results. The first to seventh columns are sidewall images with defects such as impurities, bubbles, slacks and overlaps. The last five columns are tread images with overlaps.

semantic-aware network is adopted to mine abstract semantic features including shape and location information, which is simplified by FCN. Furthermore, in order to complement the detailed information filtered during the extraction of high-level semantics, a novel texture-aware network is developed to attempt to cover more shallow details without increasing computational complexity. On the other hand, owing to the characteristics of tire images, a variety of data preprocessing methods are used to enhance performance for the two sub-networks. In the following subsections, we describe these networks and strategies in detail.

2.1. Semantic-aware sub-network

The proposed approach captures the desired high-level semantics of defects using an architectural design similar to the well-known FCN-VGG16 (a FCN with VGG16 as the basic framework), which has been proven to be viable in tire defect detection tasks [8]. At first, a stack of convolution and max pooling layers are used repeatedly to obtain the most representative information in tire images. Each fully connected layer in the VGG16 is then replaced by a special convolutional layer to retain sufficient spatial information. Finally,

prediction results with the same size as input images are derived by up-sampling these spatial feature maps. We simplify an off-the-shelf FCN-VGG16 into a binary-classification and pixel-wise detection model. Moreover, we normalize the parameters of convolution layers, such as both padding and stride are set to 1 and the size of convolution kernels are set to 3×3 , and remove the crop layers to reduce noise and increase the detection efficiency.

Although the learned filter in VGG network are excellent generic visual descriptors, it is originally trained for the purpose of object classification tasks. The existence of the five max pooling layers allows it to filter out the essential details while mining global semantic information. Therefore, the FCN-VGG16 is not sensitive to the edge details detection, especially for small-sized defects.

2.2. Texture-aware sub-network

As mentioned above, to address this issue, a novel texture-aware network, in parallel with the semantic-aware network, is developed to obtain detailed clues in shallow layers. The texture-aware network employs four convolutional layers inspired by FSRCNN [24], a network that is expert in handling

verified that the blocking strategy can effectively enhance the dataset and retain detailed information, in tire images. The experiments demonstrate that our MDDN has significantly improved over the existing tire defect detecting methods, and can produce more accurate small defect detection results. The future work includes reducing noises in the texture-aware network and increasing detection speed.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] A. Kumar, "Computer-vision-based fabric defect detection: A survey," *IEEE Trans. on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 348–363, 2008.
- [2] Y. Li, W. Zhao, and J. Pan, "Deformable patterned fabric defect detection with fisher criterion-based deep learning," *IEEE Trans. on Automation Science and Engineering*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 1256–1264, 2016.
- [3] C. Zhang, X. Li, Q. Guo, X. Yu, and C. Zhang, "Texture-invariant detection method for tire crack," *Journal of Computer-Aided Design & Computer Graphics*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 809–816, 2013.
- [4] Y. Zhang, X. Cui, Y. Liu, and B. Yu, "Tire defect-s classification using convolution architecture for fast feature embedding," *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1056–1066, 2018.
- [5] Y. Xiang, C. Zhang, and Q. Guo, "A dictionary-based method for tire defect detection," in *ICIA*, 2014, pp. 519–523.
- [6] Q. Guo, C. Zhang, H. Liu, and X. Zhang, "Defect detection in tire x-ray images using weighted texture dissimilarity," *Journal of Sensors*, vol. 2016, pp. 868–880, 2016.
- [7] Y. Zhang, T. Li, and Q. Li, "Defect detection for tire laser shearography image using curvelet transform based edge detector," *Optics & Laser Technology*, vol. 47, pp. 64–71, 2013.
- [8] R. Wang, Q. Guo, S. Lu, and C. Zhang, "Tire defect detection using fully convolutional network," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 43502–43510, 2019.
- [9] Q. Guo and Z. Wei, "Tire defect detection using image component decomposition," *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 41–44, 2012.
- [10] X. Cui, Y. Liu, and C. Wang, "Defect automatic detection for tire x-ray images using inverse transformation of principal component residual," in *AIPR*, 2016, pp. 1–8.
- [11] X. Cui, Y. Liu, C. Wang, and H. Li, "A novel method for feature extraction and automatic recognition of tire defects using independent component analysis," *DEStech Transactions on Materials Science and Engineering*, , no. icimm, 2016.
- [12] Y. Zhang, D. Lefebvre, and Q. Li, "Automatic detection of defects in tire radiographic images," *IEEE Trans. on*

Automation Science and Engineering, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 1378–1386, 2015.

- [13] Z. Yan, L. Tao, and L. Qing-Ling, “Detection of foreign bodies and bubble defects in tire radiography images based on total variation and edge detection,” *Chinese Physics Letters*, vol. 30, no. 8, pp. 084205, 2013.
- [14] X. Cui, Y. Liu, Y. Zhang, and C. Wang, “Tire defects classification with multi-contrast convolutional neural networks,” *IJPRAI*, vol. 32, no. 04, pp. 1850011, 2018.
- [15] J. Long, E. Shelhamer, and T. Darrell, “Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation,” in *CVPR*, 2015, pp. 3431–3440.
- [16] D. Lowe, “Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints,” *IJCV*, vol. 60, pp. 91–110, 2004.
- [17] T. Lin, P. Dollr, R. Girshick, K. He, B. Hariharan, and S. Belongie, “Feature pyramid networks for object detection,” in *CVPR*, 2017, pp. 2117–2125.
- [18] W. Liu, D. Anguelov, D. Erhan, C. Szegedy, S. Reed, C. Fu, and A. Berg, “Ssd: Single shot multibox detector,” in *ECCV*, 2016, pp. 21–37.
- [19] A. Newell, K. Yang, and J. Deng, “Stacked hourglass networks for human pose estimation,” in *ECCV*, 2016, pp. 483–499.
- [20] G. Ghiasi and C. Fowlkes, “Laplacian pyramid reconstruction and refinement for semantic segmentation,” in *ECCV*, 2016, pp. 519–534.
- [21] P. Zhou, B. Ni, C. Geng, J. Hu, and Y. Xu, “Scale-transferrable object detection,” in *CVPR*, 2018, pp. 528–537.
- [22] L. Boominathan, S. Kruthiventi, and R. Babu, “Crowdnet: A deep convolutional network for dense crowd counting,” in *ACM international conference on Multimedia*, 2016, pp. 640–644.
- [23] Y. Bai, Y. Zhang, M. Ding, and B. Ghanem, “Sodmtgan: Small object detection via multi-task generative adversarial network,” in *ECCV*, 2018, pp. 206–221.
- [24] C. Dong, C. Loy, and X. Tang, “Accelerating the super-resolution convolutional neural network,” in *ECCV*, 2016, pp. 391–407.
- [25] R. Girshick, J. Donahue, T. Darrell, and J. Malik, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation,” in *CVPR*, 2014, pp. 580–587.
- [26] S. Ren, K. He, R. Girshick, and J. Sun, “Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks,” in *NIPS*, 2015, pp. 91–99.