

---

# **LI.FI Security Review**

---

GasZipFacet(v2.0.0)

**Independent Review By:**

Sujith Somraaj (somraajsujith@gmail.com)

October 17, 2024

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>About Researcher</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Disclaimer</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Scope</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Risk classification</b>	<b>2</b>
4.1	Impact . . . . .	2
4.2	Likelihood . . . . .	2
4.3	Action required for severity levels . . . . .	3
<b>5</b>	<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Findings</b>	<b>4</b>
6.1	Medium Risk . . . . .	4
6.1.1	Validate msg.value in startBridgeTokensViaGasZip function . . . . .	4
6.1.2	Redundant receiverAddress checks instartBridgeTokensViaGasZip and swapAndStart- BridgeTokensViaGasZip functions . . . . .	4
6.2	Low Risk . . . . .	4
6.2.1	Assert balances post swap in depositToGasZipERC20 function . . . . .	4
6.2.2	Validate if finalTokenId of _swapData is native in swapAndStartBridgeTokensViaGasZip function . . . . .	5
6.3	Gas Optimization . . . . .	6
6.3.1	getDestinationChainsValue function could be optimized . . . . .	6
6.4	Informational . . . . .	6
6.4.1	Revert if _swapData.sendingAssetId is NATIVE in depositToGasZipERC20 function . . . . .	6
6.4.2	Validate _gasZipData.receiver in depositToGasZipNative function . . . . .	6
6.4.3	Replace require with custom error inside getDestinationChainsValue function . . . . .	7
6.4.4	Remove unused error OnlySwapsFromERC20ToNativeAllowed . . . . .	7

# 1 About Researcher

Sujith Somraaj is a distinguished security researcher and protocol engineer with over seven years of comprehensive experience in the Web3 ecosystem.

In addition to working as an external auditor/security researcher with LI.FI, Sujith is a protocol engineer and security researcher at Superform and Spearbit.

Learn more about Sujith on [sujithsomraaj.xyz](https://sujithsomraaj.xyz)

## 2 Disclaimer

Note that this security audit is not designed to replace functional tests required before any software release, and does not give any warranties on finding all possible security issues of that given smart contract(s) or blockchain software. i.e., the evaluation result does not guarantee against a hack (or) the non existence of any further findings of security issues. As one audit-based assessment cannot be considered comprehensive, I always recommend proceeding with several audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contract(s). Lastly, the security audit is not an investment advice.

This review is done independently by the reviewer and is not entitled to any of the security agencies the researcher worked / may work with.

## 3 Scope

- src/Periphery/GasZipPeriphery.sol

## 4 Risk classification

Severity level	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: high	Critical	High	Medium
Likelihood: medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: low	Medium	Low	Low

### 4.1 Impact

- High** leads to a loss of a significant portion (>10%) of assets in the protocol, or significant harm to a majority of users.
- Medium** global losses <10% or losses to only a subset of users, but still unacceptable.
- Low** losses will be annoying but bearable — applies to things like griefing attacks that can be easily repaired or even gas inefficiencies.

### 4.2 Likelihood

- High** almost certain to happen, easy to perform, or not easy but highly incentivized
- Medium** only conditionally possible or incentivized, but still relatively likely
- Low** requires stars to align, or little-to-no incentive

### 4.3 Action required for severity levels

<b>Critical</b>	Must fix as soon as possible (if already deployed)
<b>High</b>	Must fix (before deployment if not already deployed)
<b>Medium</b>	Should fix
<b>Low</b>	Could fix

## 5 Executive Summary

Over the course of 2 days in total, [LI.FI](#) engaged with the [researcher](#) to audit the contracts described in section 3 of this document ("scope").

In this period of time a total of 9 issues were found.

Project Summary	
Project Name	LI.FI
Repository	<a href="#">lifinance/contracts</a>
Commit Hashes	<a href="#">6bc64464.....8e7b3ff5</a>
Type of Project	
Audit Timeline	October 8, 2024 - October 9, 2024
Methods	Manual Review

Issues Found	
Critical Risk	0
High Risk	0
Medium Risk	2
Low Risk	2
Gas Optimizations	1
Informational	4
<b>Total Issues</b>	<b>9</b>

## 6 Findings

### 6.1 Medium Risk

#### 6.1.1 Validate msg.value in startBridgeTokensViaGasZip function

**Context:** [GasZipFacet.sol#L35](#)

**Description:** The startBridgeTokensViaGasZip of GasZipFacet bridges gas tokens using the gas.zip protocol. This function has two input parameters, a LI.FI specific \_bridgeData and gas.zip specific \_gasZipData.

The function should move the user's msg.value to the \_gasZipData.receiver on the \_gasZipData.destinationChains. But the GasZipFacet, instead of the msg.value, uses the \_bridgeData.minAmount, which is not validated as equal to the msg.value. Hence, the user could use this function to drain the native tokens on the diamond by initiating a bridge without sending in msg.value.

**Severity:** MEDIUM (Impact: HIGH (loss of stuck funds in Diamond) + Likelihood: LOW (diamond will only hold locked funds))

**Recommendation:** Consider validating if msg.value equals bridgeData.minAmount in case of the startBridgeTokensViaGasZip function

**LI.FI:** Fixed in [eac03e1653fdec1b651e36b7b53ee56b1aa71062](#)

**Researcher:** Verified.

#### 6.1.2 Redundant receiverAddress checks in startBridgeTokensViaGasZip and swapAndStartBridgeTokensViaGasZip functions

**Context:** [GasZipFacet.sol#L43](#)

**Description:** The functions startBridgeTokensViaGasZip and swapAndStartBridgeTokensViaGasZip bridge gas tokens using the gas.zip protocol. The function accepts two / three input parameters, including the ILiFi.BridgeData and the IGasZip.GasZipData. The ILiFi.BridgeData is validated using the validateBridgeData modifier inherited from the Validatable contract.

However, this validation is not sufficient as the validateBridgeData checks only if BridgeData.receiver is address(0) and there are no validations for the GasZipData.receiver which is the actual receiver address passed on to the gas.zip protocol, and can be address(0)

**Severity:** MEDIUM (Impact: High (false sense of security + permanent loss of funds) + Likelihood: Low (user error))

**Recommendation:** Consider making the following changes to the startBridgeTokensViaGasZip and swapAndStartBridgeTokensViaGasZip functions:

- Validate IGasZip.GasZipData.receiver to be not equal to bytes32(0).
- The validateBridgeData is not super important and can be optimized to only validate necessary parameters.

**LI.FI:** Fixed in [c000a46221ccabe51e4f6bd09140b362c6061262](#).

**Researcher:** Verified.

### 6.2 Low Risk

#### 6.2.1 Assert balances post swap in depositToGasZipERC20 function

**Context:** [GasZipPeriphery.sol#L53](#)

**Description:** The depositToGasZipERC20 function calls the LI.FI diamond to process a swap before bridging them using the gas zip router.

This function operates under the following assumptions:

- liFiDEXAggregator consumes all the `_swapData.fromAmount` moved from the user to the `gasZipPeriphery` contract
- liFiDEXAggregator always swaps to a native token
- liFiDEXAggregator always returns an `uint256` that matches the native tokens deposited to the `gasZipPeriphery` post-swap
- The final recipient of the swap is `gasZipPeriphery`.

However, all these assumptions may / may not hold under all scenarios and can lead to unexpected behavior.

**Recommendation:** Consider adding the following balance checks to the `depositToGasZipERC20` function:

1. Assigning the `sendingAssetId` balance post-swap and refunding any remaining funds in the `gasZipPeriphery` to the user.
2. Asserting if the contract's native balance equals `swapOutputAmount` post-swap.

**LI.FI:** Partially fixed in [26ae13cfb1904403e85a3b1c39f3253720a9452a](#). Our assumptions are described right. At the same time, in case we messed up, we should be able to refund the user since we've some degree of control over the external contract (liFiDEXAggregator)

**Researcher:** The `WithdrawPeriphery` added will allow recovery of any locked funds if they're not consumed by another user yet. So in general, the issue still exists and additional caution should be exercised by the users while generating their transaction data.

## 6.2.2 Validate if `finalTokenId` of `_swapData` is native in `swapAndStartBridgeTokensViaGasZip` function

**Context:** [GasZipFacet.sol#L61](#)

**Description:** The `swapAndStartBridgeTokensViaGasZip` function swaps an external ERC20 token to native tokens before bridging them using the `gas.zip` protocol.

The swap output is overridden as the `_bridgeData.minAmount` and passed on to the `gas.zip` protocol. However, no validations are performed if the final token post-swaps is a native token, which can lead to unexpected behavior (Utilizing the diamond's native tokens by locking other ERC20 tokens).

**Recommendation:** Consider validating the final token post swaps before bringing the following:

```
function swapAndStartBridgeTokensViaGasZip(
    ILiFi.BridgeData memory _bridgeData,
    LibSwap.SwapData[] calldata _swapData,
    IGasZip.GasZipData calldata _gasZipData
) external payable
    nonReentrant
    refundExcessNative(payable(msg.sender))
    containsSourceSwaps(_bridgeData)
    doesNotContainDestinationCalls(_bridgeData)
    validateBridgeData(_bridgeData)
{
    ....
+   if(!LibAsset.isNativeAsset(_swapData[_swapData.length - 1].receivingAssetId))
+       revert OnlyNativeAllowed();
    ....
}
```

**LI.FI:** Fixed in [29106c532101d34216ca77376bcf526ae6c7a793](#).

**Researcher:** Verified.

## 6.3 Gas Optimization

### 6.3.1 `getDestinationChainsValue` function could be optimized

**Context:** [GasZipFacet.sol#L102](#), [GasZipPeriphery.sol#L95](#)

**Description:** The `getDestinationChainsValue` function is an external helper function that accepts an array of `_chainIds` and casts them into a single `uint256` variable.

This function could be further optimized by making the following changes:

- convert the input array from memory to `calldata` as the values are read-only
- cache the `chainIds` length
- change the visibility identifier from `public` to `external` (won't save gas)
- pre-increment the loop variable `i`

**Recommendation:** Consider optimizing the `getDestinationChainsValue` function as following:

```
function getDestinationChainsValue(
    uint8[] calldata _chainIds
) external pure returns (uint256 destinationChains) {
    uint256 length = _chainIds.length;
    require(length <= 32, "Too many chain IDs");

    for (uint256 i; i < length; ++i) {
        // Shift destinationChains left by 8 bits and add the next chainID
        destinationChains =
            (destinationChains << 8) |
            uint256(_chainIds[i]);
    }
}
```

**LI.FI:** Fixed in [193afc4e5dd2cc8d8b9284e9193cf6caeb458ff3](#)

**Researcher:** Verified.

## 6.4 Informational

### 6.4.1 Revert if `_swapData.sendingAssetId` is **NATIVE** in `depositToGasZipERC20` function

**Context:** [GasZipPeriphery.sol#L37](#)

**Description:** The `depositToGasZipERC20` is non-payable to avoid using native tokens to be swapped. However, if the `_swapData.amount` is passed in as zero, the function allows **NATIVE** tokens to be passed in as `_swapData.sendingAssetId`, which is not the intended behavior.

**Recommendation:** Consider adding an explicit revert, if the `_swapData.sendingAssetId` is **NATIVE**

**LI.FI:** The contract is designed not to hold any funds. If one tried to sneak in `swapData` that swaps from native, but the transaction had no message value, then the transaction would fail as it would run out of funds. If this case is possible at all, then it's a very low-probability edge case, and we acknowledge it and prefer to save gas.

**Researcher:** Acknowledged.

### 6.4.2 Validate `_gasZipData.receiver` in `depositToGasZipNative` function

**Context:** [GasZipPeriphery.sol#L77](#)

**Description:** The receiver is validated to be not equal to `address(0)` in the `GasZipFacet`. However, similar validations are not made in the `GasZipPeriphery` contract.

**Recommendation:** Consider validating if `_gasZipData.receiver` is not `address(0)` in `depositToGasZipNative` function.

**LI.FI:** Fixed in [bfa147fdd1b90a5dcd631d543491e149c17063a9](#)

**Researcher:** Verified.

#### 6.4.3 Replace `require` with custom error inside `getDestinationChainsValue` function

**Context:** [GasZipFacet.sol#L105](#)

**Description:** The `require` check inside `getDestinationChainsValue` uses the literal string "Too many chain IDs." Literal strings for error messages are more gas-intensive than custom error definitions and must be consistent with the overall code base.

**Recommendation:** Consider replacing the `require` with a custom error like `TooManyChainIDs()` for better gas efficiency and code quality.

**LI.FI:** Fixed in [098847226ed5bae11ffd372df60035cc5ef56fd3](#)

**Researcher:** Verified.

#### 6.4.4 Remove unused error `OnlySwapsFromERC20ToNativeAllowed`

**Context:** [GasZipFacet.sol#L20](#)

**Description:** The error `OnlySwapsFromERC20ToNativeAllowed` defined in `GasZipFacet` is unused and can be removed.

**Recommendation:** Remove `OnlySwapsFromERC20ToNativeAllowed` error from `GasZipFacet`.

**LI.FI:** Fixed in [883b3f4b2efcb9633044d12c21b82ce8eb1360dc](#)

**Researcher:** Verified