## Proper scoring rules

(MATH10093: L04)

The coursework will be available from the start of lab 4, tomorrow.

No lecture in week 5.

There will be a few tutors available in the week 5 lab session to answer general questions.

A half-way feedback form will be available in Lab 4.

# Some essential and useful probability theory

#### Expectation and variance

$$\mathsf{E}_F[h(Y)] = \sum_{k \in K} h(k) \, f_Y(k), \quad Y \sim F$$
, prob. fcn  $f_Y(\cdot) = \mathsf{P}_F(Y = k)$ , discrete outcomes  $K$ 

$$\mathsf{E}_F[h(Y)] = \int_D h(y) f_Y(y) \,\mathrm{d}y, \quad Y \sim F$$
, prob. density  $f_Y(\cdot)$ , continuous outcomes  $D$ 

$$\operatorname{Var}_F(Y) = \operatorname{E}_F\left\{[Y - \operatorname{E}_F(Y)]^2\right\} = \operatorname{E}_F(Y^2) - \operatorname{E}_F(Y)^2$$

Example:  $Y \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , and we know  $E(e^Y) = \exp(\mu + \sigma^2/2)$ . What is  $Var(e^Y)$ ?

# Some essential and useful probability theory

### Law of total expectation, or "The tower property"

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}(Y) &= \mathsf{E}\left[\mathsf{E}(Y\mid X)\right] \\ \mathsf{Var}(Y) &= \mathsf{E}\left[\mathsf{Var}(Y\mid X)\right] + \mathsf{Var}\left[\mathsf{E}(Y\mid X)\right] \end{split}$$

Example:  $\mu \sim N(5,4)$ ,  $(Y \mid \mu) \sim N(\mu,1)$ . What is E(Y) and Var(Y)?

#### Scores

- We want to quantify how well our predictions represent the test data.
- $lackbox{ We define } scores \ S(F,y)$  that in some way measure how well the prediction F matched the actual value, y.
- ▶ The scores defined here are *negatively oriented*, meaning that the *lower the score*, *the better*.

#### Squared errors and log-likelihood scores

- Squared Error (SE):  $S_{SE}(F,y) = (y \widehat{y}_F)^2$ , where  $\widehat{y}_F$  is a point estimate under F, e.g. the expectation  $\mu_F$ .
- ▶ Logarithmic/Ignorance score (LOG/IGN):  $S_{LOG}(F, y) = -\log f(y)$ , where  $f(\cdot)$  is the predictive density.
- ▶ Dawid-Sebastiani (DS):  $S_{DS}(F, y) = \frac{(y \mu_F)^2}{\sigma_F^2} + \log(\sigma_F^2)$ .

## Score expectations and proper scoring rules

- What functions of the predictive distributions are useful scores?
- ▶ We want to reward accurate (unbiased) and precise (small variance) predictions, but not at the expense of understating true uncertainty.
- $\triangleright$  First, we define the expectation of a score under a true distribution G as

$$S(F,G) = \mathsf{E}_{y \sim G}[S(F,y)]$$

### Proper scores/scoring rules

A negatively oriented score is proper if it fulfils

$$S(F,G) \ge S(G,G)$$
.

A proper score that has equality of the expectations *only* when F and G are the same,  $F(\cdot) \equiv G(\cdot)$ , is said to be *strictly proper*.

The practical interpretation of this is that a proper score does not reward cheating; stating a lower (or higher) forecast/prediction uncertainty will not, on average, give a better score than stating the truth.

#### Absolute error and CRPS

#### Absolute error and Continuous Ranked Probability Score

- Absolute Error (AE):  $S_{AE}(F,y) = |y \widehat{y}_F|$ , where  $\widehat{y}_F$  is a point estimate under F, e.g. the median  $F^{-1}(1/2)$ .
- ► CRPS:  $S_{CRPS}(F, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \mathbb{I}(y \le x) F(x) \right]^2 dx$

## Average scores

#### Average score

Given a collection of prediction/truth pairs,  $\{(F_i, y_i), i = 1, \dots, n\}$ , define the average or mean score:

$$\overline{S}(\{(F_i, y_i), i = 1, \dots, n\}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n S(F_i, y_i)$$

- ▶ When comparing prediction quality, we often look at the difference in average scores across the test data set.
- For modern, complex models with explicit spatial and temporal model components, the pairwise differences may be useful: For two prediction methods, F and F',

$$S_i^{\Delta}(F_i, F_i', y_i) = S(F_i, y_i) - S(F_i', y_i)$$

We can have  $\overline{S}^{\Delta} \approx 0$  at the same time as all  $|S_i^{\Delta}| \gg 0$ , if the two models/methods are both good, but e.g. at different spatial locations.

How can we assess whether the score differences are indistinguishable?

# How good are confidence/prediction interval procedures?

#### Tradeoffs for Cls

Desired properties for methods generating CIs for a quantity Y:

- 1. Appropriate *coverage* under the true distribution,  $G: P_G(Y \in CI_F) \ge 1 \alpha$
- 2. Narrow intervals
- $\triangleright$  A wide prediction F helps with 1 but makes 2 difficult
- ightharpoonup A narrow prediction F helps with 2 but makes 1 difficult

#### A proper score for interval predictions

The Interval Score For a CI  $(L_F,U_F)$  is defined by

$$S_{\mathsf{INT}}(F, y) = U_F - L_F + \frac{2}{\alpha} (L_F - y) \mathbb{I}(y < L_F) + \frac{2}{\alpha} (y - U_F) \mathbb{I}(y > U_F)$$

It is a proper scoring rule, consistent for equal-tail error probability intervals: S(F,G) is minimised for the narrowest CI that has expected coverage  $1-\alpha$ .

## Proper scores

$$\begin{split} S_{\mathsf{SE}}(F,G) &= \mathsf{E}_{y \sim G}[S_{\mathsf{SE}}(F,y)] = \mathsf{E}_{y \sim G}[(y-\mu_F)^2] = \mathsf{E}_{y \sim G}[(y-\mu_G+\mu_G-\mu_F)^2] \\ &= \mathsf{E}_{y \sim G}[(y-\mu_G)^2 + 2(y-\mu_G)(\mu_G-\mu_F) + (\mu_G-\mu_F)^2] \\ &= \mathsf{E}_{y \sim G}[(y-\mu_G)^2] + 2(\mu_G-\mu_F) \mathsf{E}_{y \sim G}[y-\mu_G] + (\mu_G-\mu_F)^2 \\ &= \sigma_G^2 + (\mu_G-\mu_F)^2 \end{split}$$

This is minimised when  $\mu_F = \mu_G$ . Therefore  $S_{SE}(F,G) \geq S_{SE}(G,G) = \sigma_G^2$ , so the score is proper. Is it strictly proper?

$$S_{DS}(F,G) = \mathsf{E}_{y \sim G}[S_{DS}(F,y)] = \frac{\mathsf{E}_{y \sim G}[(y-\mu_F)^2]}{\sigma_F^2} + \log(\sigma_F^2)$$
$$= \frac{\sigma_G^2 + (\mu_G - \mu_F)^2}{\sigma_F^2} + \log(\sigma_F^2)$$

This is minimised when  $\mu_F = \mu_G$  and  $\sigma_F = \sigma_G$ . Therefore  $S_{\rm DS}(F,G) \geq S_{\rm DS}(G,G) = 1 + \log(\sigma_G^2)$ , so the score is proper. Is it strictly proper?