

| Components | Weight | Sophisticated | Competent | Not Yet Competent |
|----------------------------|--------|---|--|--|
| Evaluation | 60% | Overall relative performance on Kaggle based on other competing algorithms proposed in this class. Performances in top quartile or above 75 percentile. | Overall relative performance on Kaggle based on other competing algorithms proposed in this class. Performances that fall in between the lower and upper quartile. | Overall relative performance on Kaggle based on other competing algorithms proposed in this class. Performances in bottom quartile or below 25 percentile. |
| Readability | 10% | The code is modular and a consistent naming scheme for methods and variable used. | The code is either modular or consistent in naming methods. | The code is neither modular not has inconsistent naming scheme. |
| Reusability | 10% | The data and code are well separated and the code can be reused for other same format dataset without any modification to code. | The data and code are separated but code can't be used to run on any other dataset without modifications to code. | The data and code are not separated. |
| Comments and documentation | 10% | Every method/class has a well defined comment indicating its functionality. | Comments are either missing in some parts or do not properly explain the functioning of method/class. | The code has no comments. |
| Efficiency | 10% | The runtime complexity and space complexity better than or equal to the state of the art. | It is better than brute force algorithm but not optimal. | Brute force algorithm used to implement the idea |