## Reflections on Prismatic Constructions

## Marc Coiffier

## Contents

Inductive Types																															-
inductive rypes	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-

The Calculus of Prismatic Constructions, upon which this platform is based, is an extension of the standard CoC with a mechanism for discriminating inductive constructors.

## **Inductive Types**

Inductive types can be described as enumerations of constructors. In Coq (and similarly in other proof assistants), an inductive type must be declared along with its constructors, using a syntax like :

```
Inductive T : forall A..., Type :=
| t0 : forall x0..., T (f0... x0...)
...
| tn : forall xn..., T (fn... xn...)
```

Here, we declare the inductive type  $T : \forall A..., Type$ , and its constructors called  $t_i$   $(i \in \{0..n\})$ .

As a more concrete example, here is how the type of Booleans can be defined inductively :

```
Inductive Boolean : Type := true : Boolean | false : Boolean.
```

The above definition is essentially a formal statement of the following description of Booleans: a Boolean can have one of two shapes, true or false, and cannot be any other thing.

This means that, if we want to prove a property Px for some unknown Boolean x, all we need is to prove Ptrue and Pfalse.

This exact information is summed up in what we call the *induction principle* for Booleans. In Coq, it will be given the name Boolean\_rect, for instance, and have the type  $\forall (P:Boolean \rightarrow Type), \ Ptrue \rightarrow Pfalse \rightarrow \forall (b:Boolean), \ Pb.$