

Well-ordered Proof

Definition a set S is partially ordered if there exists a binary predicate $R: S \times S \rightarrow \{T, F\}$ satisfying

- Reflective $R(x, x) = T$
- Asymmetric $(R(x, y) \text{ AND } R(y, x)) \text{ IMPLIES } (x = y)$
- Transitive $(R(x, y) \text{ AND } R(y, z)) \text{ IMPLIES } R(x, z)$

R is a partial order of S

Example Some examples of partial order:

$\leq: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$

Divides: $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$

$\subseteq: S \text{ (any set)} \times \mathcal{P}(S)$

$=: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$

Some examples of not partial order:

$<: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (not reflective)

$\leq: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} (\forall x \in \mathbb{C}. \forall y \in \mathbb{C}. x \leq y \text{ IFF } |x| \leq |y|), \leq (-1, 1) \text{ AND } \leq (1, -1) - 1 \neq 1$, not asymmetric

Definition S is totally ordered if there exists a partial order R on S such that $\forall x, y \in S. R(x, y) \text{ OR } R(y, x)$

Example $\leq: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$

Not total order: Divides: $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+, \subseteq: \mathcal{P}(S) \times \mathcal{P}(S)$

Definition a partial order R for S is a well ordering of S , if every non-empty subset of S has a smallest element.

$\forall T \in \mathcal{P}(S). \exists m \in T. \forall x \in T. R(m, x)$

Example $\leq: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is a well-ordering, $\leq: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is not ($\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}. \exists y \in \mathbb{Z}. y \leq x$)

Let an ordering $<^*: \mathbb{Z} := |x| < |y| \text{ OR } |x| = |y| \text{ AND } x < y$. i.e. $0 <^* -1 <^* 1 <^* -2 <^* 2 \dots$ is a well-ordering.

Let an ordering $\ll: \mathbb{Q}^+ := \text{comparing max(numerator, denominator), then the actual value, i.e. } \frac{1}{1} \ll \frac{1}{2} \ll \frac{2}{1} \ll \frac{1}{3} \ll \frac{2}{3} \ll \frac{3}{2} \ll \frac{3}{1}$ is a well ordering.

Well-ordering Proof

To prove $\forall e \in S. P(e)$, where \ll is a well ordering of S

L1 Suppose $\exists e \in S. \text{NOT } P(e)$ is false (construct a contradiction)

L2 Let $C = \{e \in S \mid P(e) = F\}$ be the set of counter examples

L3 $C \neq \emptyset$ by L1, L2

L4 Let e be the smallest element of C , e exists since S is well-ordered and $\emptyset \neq C \subseteq S$

Let $e' \in S$

...

L5 $e' \in C$

L6 $e' \neq e$

L7 $e' \ll e$

Contradiction since e is not the smallest element of C

$\forall e \in S. P(e)$ by contradiction

Theorem Every element $\frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ can be expressed in reduced form $c = \frac{m'}{n'}$, $\gcd(m', n') = 1$

Proof Suppose $\exists \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ that can't be expressed in reduced form.

Let $C = \left\{ m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ such that } \frac{m}{n} \text{ can't be expressed in reduced form} \right\}$

By assumption $C \neq \emptyset$

Since \mathbb{Z}^+ is well-ordered, C has the smallest element, take such m_0

By definition of C , take $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\frac{m_0}{n_0}$ can't be expressed in reduced form, in particular

$$\gcd(m_0, n_0) > 1$$

$$\text{Take } n'_0 = \frac{n_0}{\gcd(m_0, n_0)} \in \mathbb{Z}^+, m'_0 = \frac{m_0}{\gcd(m_0, n_0)} \in \mathbb{Z}^+, n'_0 < n_0, m'_0 < m_0$$

$$\text{Since } \frac{m'_0}{n'_0} = \frac{m_0}{n_0}, m'_0 \in C.$$

Because $m'_0 < m_0, m'_0 \in C, m'_0$ is not the smallest element in C .

Every element $\frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ can be expressed in reduced form $c = \frac{m'}{n'}, \gcd(m', n') = 1$ by contradiction