May-June 2019

STA457 Practice questions

Date: 06 June 2019

ARMA model forecast

1. Consider the following models:

i.
$$(1 - 0.5B)(Z_t - 3) = a_t$$

ii.
$$(1 - B + 0.25B^2)(Z_t - 1) = a_t$$

iii.
$$(1 - B + 0.25B^2)(Z_t + 3) = (1 + 0.25)a_t$$

- 1) Derive the *l*-step ahead forecast $\hat{Z}_t(l)$ (or conditional expectation $E_t(X_{t+l})$)
- 2) Calculate the variance of the l-step ahead forecast error for l=1,2,3
- 3) Calculate the 95% confidence interval for the l-step ahead forecast in the above questions.

Transfer function noise model and intervention analysis

2. Consider a dynamic regression model

$$y_{t} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} v_{i} x_{t-i} + n_{t},$$

where both x_t and n_t are stationary and invertible ARMA model and given by

$$\phi_x(B)x_t = \theta(B)a_t, \quad a_t \sim NID(0, \sigma_a^2),$$

$$\phi_n(B)n_t = \theta_n(B)e_t, \qquad e_t \sim NID(0, \sigma_e^2),$$

and $cov(e_t, a_s) = 0, \forall t, s$.

- 1) State the prewhitening process of how to identify the value of k.
- 2) State the steps of using Box-Tiao transformation to estimate v_i , $\forall j$.
- 3) Find the l-ahead optimal forecast of y_{t+l} , $\hat{y}_t(l)$, using $\{a_t\}$ and $\{e_t\}$. (See Section 14.4 of Wei's book)
- 4) Derive the mean square of the forecast error $E[y_{t+l} \hat{y}_t(l)]^2$ for the above question.

Vector autoregression, Granger causality, and cointegration

Consider a VAR(p) model

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{1t} \\ X_{2t} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i}^{p} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11}^{(i)} & \phi_{12}^{(i)} \\ \phi_{21}^{(i)} & \phi_{22}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_{1,t-i} \\ X_{2,t-i} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_{1t} \\ a_{2t} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1)

Answer the following questions:

- 1) State how to check the stationarity of Equation (1);
- 2) Describe the methods to select the order for Equation (1), i.e. the value of p, taught in class.
- 3) State how to how to test Granger causality for the case that X_{1t} grander causes X_{2t} but not the other way around. Based on the same condition, express X_{2t} as the transfer function noise model of X_{1t} .
- 4) Suppose that

$$\phi_1(B)X_{1t} = \theta_1(B)u_{1t}$$

and

$$\phi_2(B)X_{2t} = \theta_2(B)u_{2t},$$

where $\phi_k(B) = 1 - \phi_1^{(k)}B - \dots - \phi_{p_k}^{(k)}B^{p_k}$ and $\theta_k(B) = 1 + \theta_1^{(k)}B - \dots - \theta_{q_k}^{(k)}B^{q_k}$ for k = 1,2. Describe how to test Granger causality using univariate approach.

- 5) Suppose that X_{1t} and X_{2t} are not weakly stationary. How do you model the joint dynamics of $\{X_{1t}, X_{2t}\}$? Discuss your decisions based on whether these two series are cointegrated or not.
- 6) Discuss the reasons why we have to choose different models based the condition of cointegration.
- 7) Discuss the Engle-Granger approach for modeling cointegrated X_{1t} and X_{2t} .
- 8) Discuss the implication of Granger representation theorem.

Bootstrap time series

Consider an AR(2) model

$$y_t = \mu + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + a_t, \quad a_t \sim NID(0,1).$$

- 1) Describe the steps of (unconditional) parametric bootstrap for the above AR(2) process.
- 2) Describe the steps of carrying out the Sieve bootstrap for the above AR(2) process.
- 3) Describe the steps of carrying out the block bootstrap method for the above AR(2) process.
- 4) Discuss the pros and cons for the above methods.

Other important concepts

- 1. Define Granger causality in terms of a vector autoregression process.
- 2. Test Granger causality using vector autoregression or univariate approach.
- 3. State the approaches and procedures for cointegration modeling.
- 4. Granger's representation theorem and its implication for modeling multivariate time series.
- 5. State time series bootstrapping methods (in particular for dependent time series and dynamic regression models taught in class).
- 6. Define a generalized autoregressive heteroscedasticity GARCH(p, q) model.
- 7. *MTS_R (27 Nov 2017)* course notes. Important topics include Check stationarity of a vector autoregression, model selection, and Granger causality test, and estimating cointegration models using Johansen's method.
- 8. <u>Private asset modeling:</u> Understanding how ARMA models can be used for inferring unobservable economic returns from observed appraisal returns: Geltner method, and Getmansky, Lo, and Markorov (2005).