

Homework 3: Model Order Selection

Liheng Cao

March 7, 2021

1

- (a) (i) No.
 - (ii) There is no underfitting because the power of the model is higher than the true function.
 - (iii) $\vec{w} = [1, 2, 0]$ (bold and arrow used interchangeably)
- (b) (i) No.
 - (ii) There is underfitting because the power of the model is lower than the true function.
- (c) (i) Yes. The true function has x_1, x_2 , but, according to the footnote, our example only has 1 feature.
 - (ii) There is underfitting because the true function has the term x_1x_2 , which the model lacks.

2

(a)

$x_0 = 1, x_1 = \text{cancer volume}, x_2 = \text{patient's age}, x_3 = \text{type of cancer}$

x_0 let's the x, w subscripts line up more, which looks better.

(Model 1) $h(\mathbf{x}) = w_0 + w_1x_1$

(Model 2) $h(\mathbf{x}) = w_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2x_2$

(Model 3) $h(\mathbf{x}) = w_0 + (w_1 + x_3w_3)x_1 + w_2x_2$

(b) There are 2 and 3 parameters, respectively. Model 2 is the most complex out of the 2, however Model 3 is the most complex.

(c) (Model 1) $X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.7 \\ 1 & 1.3 \\ 1 & 1.6 \end{bmatrix}$

(Model 2) $X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.7 & 55 \\ 1 & 1.3 & 65 \\ 1 & 1.6 & 70 \end{bmatrix}$

(Model 3) $X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.7 & 55 & 1 \\ 1 & 1.3 & 65 & 0 \\ 1 & 1.6 & 70 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

(d) Model 2 should be selected. It has similar numbers to Model 3, but Model 2 is a much simpler model. We want to avoid having an overly complicated model that doesn't even give us better numbers.

3

A is probably underfitting; this is because its error is much higher than the other models and because its error drops to around its final value at smaller sample sizes, implying a simpler model.

B is probably neither. If a model is overfitted, then its error would be extremely low for small N , and then much higher for larger N .

C is probably overfitted. It has very large fluctuating error, and we see that it is the most complex model because it has the highest N for which it still has 0 training error.

4

Flexible is good for its higher chance to find the true model, while less flexible is better for runtime. If you don't know (or have a good guess from the graphing the data or otherwise know something about the data's background), it'll probably a good idea to sacrifice some time to get a better model. On the other hand, if you somehow already know the true model, then you could use a less flexible approach since you wouldn't need to "consider" other models and instead just train a single model.

5

- Use the Hoeffding Inequality. $N = 100$

$$2e^{-2 \cdot 0.1^2 \cdot 100} \approx 0.270$$

- $N = 200$

$$2e^{-2 \cdot 0.1^2 \cdot 200} \approx 0.036$$

6

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6.6 & 1 & 4.0 \\ 1 & 6.4 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 7.2 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 6.4 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 7.2 & 2 & 5.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$w_{ridge} = (X^T X + N\lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} X^T y$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6.6 & 1 & 4.0 \\ 1 & 6.4 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 7.2 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 6.4 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 7.2 & 2 & 5.0 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6.6 & 1 & 4.0 \\ 1 & 6.4 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 7.2 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 6.4 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 7.2 & 2 & 5.0 \end{bmatrix} + 5 \cdot 0.1 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6.6 & 1 & 4.0 \\ 1 & 6.4 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 7.2 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 6.4 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 7.2 & 2 & 5.0 \end{bmatrix}^T \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 6.6 & 6.4 & 7.2 & 6.4 & 7.2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 4.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6.6 & 1 & 4.0 \\ 1 & 6.4 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 7.2 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 6.4 & 2 & 5.0 \\ 1 & 7.2 & 2 & 5.0 \end{bmatrix} + 5 \cdot 0.1 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 6.6 & 6.4 & 7.2 & 6.4 & 7.2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 4.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

7

We need to account for the L_2 term in the gradient.

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} - \frac{\alpha}{N} (X^T (X\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}) + \lambda \mathbf{I}' \mathbf{w})$$