Insight
Centre for Data Analytics

DEEP LEARNING WORKSHOP

Dublin City University
27-28 April 2017

DCU

Day 2 Lecture 3

Generative Models and Adversarial Training

Kevin McGuinness
kevin.mcguinness@dcu.ie

Research Fellow
Insight Centre for Data Analytics
Dublin City University

What is a generative model?

A model P(X; Θ) that we can draw samples from.

E.g. **A Gaussian Mixture Model**

- Fitting: EM algorithm
- Drawing samples:
  - Draw sample from categorical distribution to select Gaussian
  - Draw sample from Gaussian

GMMs are not generally complex enough to draw samples of images from.





$$P(X) = \lambda_1 \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) + \lambda_2 \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) + \ldots$$

Why are generative models important?

- Model the probability density of images
- Understanding P(X) may help us understand P(Y | X)
- Generate novel content
- Generate training data for discriminative networks
- Artistic applications
- Image completion
- Monte-carlo estimators

Generative adversarial networks

New method of training deep generative models

Idea: pit a generator and a discriminator against each other

- Generator tries to draw samples from P(X)
- Discriminator tries to tell if sample came from the generator or the real world

Both discriminator and generator are deep networks (differentiable functions)

Can train with backprop: train discriminator for a while, then train generator, then discriminator, ...

Generative adversarial networks (conceptual)



The generator

Deterministic mapping from a latent random vector to sample from q(x) ~ p(x)

Usually a deep neural network.

E.g. DCGAN:



The discriminator

Parameterised function that tries to distinguish between samples from real images p(x) and generated ones q(x).

Usually a deep convolutional neural network.



Training GANs

Alternate between training the discriminator and generator



1. Fix generator weights, draw samples from both real world and generated images
2. Train discriminator to distinguish between real world and generated images



1. Fix discriminator weights
2. Sample from generator
3. Backprop error through discriminator to update generator weights



Training GANs

Iterate these two steps until convergence (which may not happen)

- Updating the discriminator should make it better at discriminating between real images and generated ones (**discriminator improves**)
- Updating the generator makes it better at fooling the current discriminator (**generator improves**)

Eventually (we hope) that the generator gets so good that it is impossible for the discriminator to tell the difference between real and generated images. Discriminator accuracy = 0.5



Algorithm 1 Minibatch stochastic gradient descent training of generative adversarial nets. The number of steps to apply to the discriminator, k, is a hyperparameter. We used $k = 1$, the least expensive option, in our experiments.

for number of training iterations **do**

Discriminator training

for k steps **do**

- Sample minibatch of m noise samples $\{\boldsymbol{z}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}^{(m)}\}$ from noise prior $p_g(\boldsymbol{z})$.
- Sample minibatch of m examples $\{\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}^{(m)}\}$ from data generating distribution $p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x})$.
- Update the discriminator by ascending its stochastic gradient:

$$\nabla_{\theta_d} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[\log D\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}\right) + \log\left(1 - D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right) \right].$$

end for

Generator training

- Sample minibatch of m noise samples $\{\boldsymbol{z}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}^{(m)}\}$ from noise prior $p_g(\boldsymbol{z})$.
- Update the generator by descending its stochastic gradient:

$$\nabla_{\theta_g} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log\left(1 - D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right).$$

end for

The gradient-based updates can use any standard gradient-based learning rule. We used momentum in our experiments.

Some examples of generated images…

ImageNet

Source:
https://openai.com/blog/generative-models/

CIFAR-10

Source
https://openai.com/blog/generative-models/







Credit:
Alec Radford

Code on GitHub

Credit: Alec Radford Code on GitHub

Issues

Known to be very difficult to train:

- Formulated as a “game” between two networks
- Unstable dynamics: hard to keep generator and discriminator in balance
- Optimization can **oscillate** between solutions
- Generator can collapse

Possible to use supervised labels to help prevent this:
https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.03498

Conditional GANs

GANs can be conditioned on other info: e.g. a label

- z might capture random characteristics of the data, variabilities of possible futures,
- c would condition the deterministic parts (label)



Conditional GAN
(Mirza & Osindero, 2014)

For details on ways to condition GANs: Ways of Conditioning Generative Adversarial Networks (Wack et al.)

Generating images/frames conditioned on captions



(Reed et al. 2016b)



(Zhang et al. 2016)

Predicting the future with adversarial training

Want to train a model to predict the pixels in frame (t+K) from pixels in frame t.

Many possible futures for same frame

Using supervised loss like MSE results in blurry solutions: loss if minimized if predictor averages over possibilities when predicting.

We really want a sample, not the mean

Adversarial training can solve this: easy for an adversary to detect blurry frames

Mathieu et al. **Deep multi-scale video prediction beyond mean square error**, ICLR 2016 (https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.05440)



Mathieu et al. **Deep multi-scale video prediction beyond mean square error**, ICLR 2016 (https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.05440)

Image super-resolution



(Ledig et al. 2016)

Bicubic: not using data statistics. SRResNet: trained with MSE. SRGAN is able to understand that there are multiple correct answers, rather than averaging.

Image super-resolution



(Ledig et al. 2016)

Saliency prediction



Junting Pan, Cristian Canton, Kevin McGuinness, Noel E. O'Connor, Jordi Torres, Elisa Sayrol and Xavier Giro-i-Nieto. "SalGAN: Visual Saliency Prediction with Generative Adversarial Networks." arXiv. 2017.

Saliency prediction

Dala loss Adversarial loss

$$\alpha \cdot \mathcal{L}_{BCE} - \log D(I, \hat{S}),$$



Junting Pan, Cristian Canton, Kevin McGuinness, Noel E. O'Connor, Jordi Torres, Elisa Sayrol and Xavier Giro-i-Nieto. "SalGAN: Visual Saliency Prediction with Generative Adversarial Networks." arXiv. 2017.

Image-to-Image translation



Isola, Phillip, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou, and Alexei A. Efros. "Image-to-image translation with conditional adversarial networks." arXiv:1611.07004 (2016).

Questions?