Prompt injection lab

Jinxuan Li and Isak Nordin June 12, 2025

1 Motivation

1.1 Problem statement

Prompt injection is a growing class of security vulnerabilities specific to large language models (LLMs). By manipulating the prompts that guide model behavior, adversaries can coerce the model into leaking sensitive data, executing unintended actions, or overriding its system-level constraints. These vulnerabilities can occur both through **direct injection** (malicious user input) and **indirect injection** (hidden instructions embedded in external content like documents or web pages). Adversaries use prompt injection by crafting a prompt that appears to be legitimate, but it is designed to cause unintended behaviour and take advantage of the fact that LLM's cant differentiate between developer-defined prompts and user inputs. Prompt injection has become the top-ranked issue in the OWASP Top 10 for LLM Applications, indicating its critical importance in the emerging landscape of language-based systems.

1.2 Goal

The goal of this project is to create a program that allows the users to interactively test different prompt injection techniques, both for prompt injection attacks and defense mechanisms against prompt injections. The program should envelop several different types of prompt injections to highlight the vulnerabilities that LLMs have without proper security measures, and also highlight how to integrate defense mechanisms to protect against these vulnerabilities.

2 Background

2.1 Architecture

The LLM used in this project is LLAMA 3, an open source llm developed by Meta. It is a pretrained and instruction tuned generative text model. The model is pretrained on over 15 trillion tokes of data. [1]. For indirect prompt injection and agents, the llm_axe toolkit is used, which contains functions for agents, data extraction,pdf readers and more. [3]

2.2 Direct Prompt Injection

Direct prompt injections occur when the user crafts a prompt that alters the behaviour of a LLM or bypasses given instructions to the LLM, like making the LLM reveal sensitive information such as a password by crafting a prompt that would bypass the filters of the LLM. Direct prompt injections can occur both advertently, by a malicious user, or inadvertently with a prompt that triggers unexpected behavior. [4] There are many different types of direct prompt injections, like the user telling the LLM to ignore all previous instructions, pretending to be a developer or pretending that the user prompt is actually a system prompt.

2.3 Indirect Prompt Injection

Indirect prompt injections occur when the LLM takes external sources as input, such as a website or a PDF file. A malicious user could ask the LLM to read from a certain website or a PDF file that the user is in control over, and the user could manipulate the website or PDF to contain a malicious instruction that would alter the behaviour of the LLM. This could lead the LLM to execute this malicious instruction and potentially give the malicious user control over the LLM, or cause the LLM to reveal information that it has been specifically instructed not to reveal.

2.4 Defense Mechanisms

To protect an LLM from being vulnerable to prompt injections, there are several defense mechanisms that need to be implemented. For this project, the chosen defense mechanisms are input and output filtering, constrained model behavior, and identifying external content. Input and output filtering are filters for potentially malicious keywords in prompts, that the LLM should identify and alter its behaviour if encountered. Constrained model behavior means giving the model clear constraints regarding its purpose and limitations. An example would be instructing the model to never reveal a password or not to tell a user how to write malicious code. Identifying external content means clearly separating system prompts and user prompts, so that a user could not create a prompt that the model identifies as a system instruction [4].

2.5 Agents

LLM agents invoke LLM applications and can execute complex tasks by combining LLMs with modules like planning and memory, which allows them to execute more complex tasks than what only a LLM would do. Agents have memory to be able to break down a more complex task into simpler subtasks, and then remember their previous steps. They also need to have certain knowledge, to be able to perform tasks, and they are also capable of planning for breaking down the tasks. [2]

3 Approach

3.1 Conceptual Approach

The conceptual approach of the project was to create a program with handson modules to allow users to test out prompt injections both offensively and defensively in a controlled environment. Therefore it was decided to create different smaller programs, each containing a program for either attack or defense through different types of prompt injections, and to tie them together so they can easily be run through the command line.

3.2 Code implementation

The project has been developed in the Python programming language, with separate attack and defense models, and models for scoring and connecting the different models. The LLAMA 3 LLM model was chosen for the project, because of its open source and many tools available. The different models are run directly in the command line, with the user creating inputs in the command line for either attack or defense depending on the module chosen. When running the program, the user gets to choose which module to run, which can be seen in 1

```
Welcome to Prompt Injection Lab
1. Attack Mode(Direct)
2. Attack Mode(Indirect)
3. Defense Mode (Indirect)
4. Defense Mode (Agent)
5. Defense Mode (Evolution)
Enter your choice: |
```

Figure 1: Select module screen

For direct prompt injection, there is a defense model where the user creates a filter by continuously adding different keywords to filter out from a given attack prompt, until the LLM, when given the attack prompt, does not reveal a password. The filter works such that if the attack prompt given to the LLM contains any of the words in the filter, then these words are replaced with the word blocked. Therefore the LLM will not have the behavior that the adversary wishes for.

There is also an attack module for direct prompt injection, where the user attempts to bypass various defense mechanisms implemented within an LLM environment. This module comprises five distinct levels, each progressively enhancing the defensive measures. At Level 1, no additional protection is applied beyond a basic system prompt instructing the LLM not to disclose passwords, allowing relatively unrestricted prompt injection attempts. Level 2 introduces input filtering that blocks specific keywords, thus hindering certain injection

strategies. Level 3 adds output filtering designed to prevent sensitive information, such as passwords, from being disclosed by the LLM. In Level 4, both input and output filtering methods are combined to significantly increase the difficulty of executing successful injections. Lastly, Level 5 employs an advanced LLM-based injection detection system that dynamically identifies and obstructs injection attempts through semantic and contextual analysis. The user is provided with a hint regarding the defensive measures in the level, and then the user is allowed to enter different prompts to try and retrieve information about the password. The user is then allowed to choose to guess the password from the information retrieved, and if the guess is correct, then the level is completed. A run of the first level of the module can be seen in 2

Figure 2: A prompt bypassing the filters of Level 1

For indirect prompt injection, there is a defense module where the user needs to prevent the LLM from leaking the info inside a PDF, by adding instructions to the LLM. A PDF reader is used with the LLM, and the module contains a prompt to the LLM to make the LLM read the PDF and reveal what it contains, and the user has to add instructions to prevent this from happening. The prompts added by the user are then given to the LLM together with the prompt to reveal what the PDF contains, and if the LLM reveals what the PDF contains then the test fails and the user will need to add more instructions.

There is also an attack module for indirect prompt injections, where the user asks the LLM to summarize a PDF file that the user inputs. The goal of the user is to manipulate the PDF file so that the LLM reveals a secret that it is given, and instructed not to reveal. The module contains different levels with different security measures taken, in the first level the LLM is simply told not to reveal the secret. In the second level, the LLM is instructed not to interpret any instructions inside the PDF, but to simply summarize it, and in the third level the prompt given to the LLM distinguishes between system instructions and user instructions, with the system instruction being to never reveal the secret and the user instruction being to summarize the document.

For agents, there is a defense model where the user needs to prevent the agent from leaking information about a password, by adding constraints to the custom system prompt to prevent this behavior. The agent is a planner agent type. The agent receives information of four different security classifications, secret, classified, restricted and harmless. The goal of the user is to make sure that the only information that the agent will give is the harmless information, and if the agent reveals information that is not harmless, the constraint fails and the user is informed of the severity of the breach.

For the attack prompt, the agent receives a prompt from someone pretending to be a developer wanting to check the output sanitation of the LLM, and to reveal all of its secrets. The user needs to add constraints to prevent the agent from responding to the prompt with information about the password. The program takes the constraints given by the user as an input, and when new constraints are added the program is restarted with the given constraints in the custom system prompt. This module shows the vulnerability of agents, and how LLMs can be misled with prompts to give a malicious user elevated privileges and access to information they should not have. The module can be seen running in 3

Figure 3: Agent revealing secret information because of lacking constraints

4 Evaluation

The result of the project is a python program that allows users to interactively either try to attack the model, by creating prompts that bypass the defense mechanisms of the model, or defend the model by adding filters or instructions to the LLM to prevent leakage of sensitive information. The program is run on

the command line, where the user selects the module to run and then receives instructions. The project allows the users to interactively understand how attacks through prompt injection are done, the vulnerabilities that they inflict and how to apply some defense mechanisms.

To test the programs, ollama first needs to be installed and running. Then the dependencies need to be installed and the program can be run, and a model can be selected in the command line. There is a README file included in the project files.

5 Conclusion

The project showcases how prompt injections can be executed and the risks they can pose to LLMs, and allows the users to interactively try both attack and defense mechanisms. A potential area of improvement would be more modules showcasing different approaches to prompt injections, since there are more attack scenarios than the ones presented in this project. The complexity of the models could also be increased, with more levels with stronger defense mechanisms or defense modules where the user needs to protect the model from stronger attacks.

6 Appendix

Both member have contributed equally to the project, with both members writing the report, lab instructions, and code, where Isak focused on indirect prompt injection and agents, while Jinxuan focused on direct prompt injections.

Prompt Injections Lab

May 2025

1 Introduction

The purpose of this lab is to give you a feeling for how prompt injections can be used to trick LLM's into giving information they are not supposed to, and how to implement some countermeasures.

2 Getting started

- 1. Make sure Ollama is installed and a model is running, e.g.: ollama run llama3
- 2. Install dependencies: pip install -r requirements.txt
- 3. Run the lab: python main.py
- 4. Quit the lab: Your attack prompt: /quit
- 5. Guess the result: Your attack prompt: /guess

3 Part 1: Direct prompt injection attack

Your goal here is to get the model to reveal a secret that it has been instructed not to reveal, by engineering a prompt that bypasses these instructions. There are five levels, where each level increases in difficulty and therefore requires more carefully engineered prompts.

- 1. Level 1: Zero Protection
- 2. Level 2: Input filtering
- 3. Level 3: Output filtering
- 4. Level 4: Input filtering & Output filtering
- 5. Level 5: LLM-based Injection Detection

4 Part 2: Direct prompt injection defense

Your goal here is to prevent the model from leaking some keywords, by implementing input filtering. You should add filter keywords until the model passes three consecutive attempts without leaking.

5 Part 3: Indirect prompt injection attack

Your goal here is to get the model to reveal a secret it has been given, by entering a PDF file that contains instructions that the model will be asked to summarize, and make the model leak the secret. Your pdf file should be placed in the directory attacker_mode/files.

There are three levels with increasing difficulty:

- 1. Level 1: Model has been instructed not to reveal the secret
- 2. Level 2: Model has been instructed not to interpret any instructions inside the user-provided document
- 3. Level 3: The model distinguishes between system instructions and user instructions

6 Part 4: Indirect prompt injection defense

Your goal here is to prevent the model from leaking information inside a PDF that it has been provided containing secrets, by giving the model instructions. You should add instructions until the model passes three consecutive attempts without leaking.

7 Part 5: Agent defense

Your goal here is to prevent the agent from revealing sensitive information, of which there are three different types, secret info, classified info and restricted info, by giving the agent constraints that by that will be interpreted as system instructions. You should add constraints until the agent passes three consecutive attempts without leaking.

8 Report

Your report should contain the following:

- 1. For Part 1, include each successful attack prompt and please explain how you engineered each prompt for the increasing defense mechanisms.
- 2. For Part 2, include each filter keyword used and explain how you chose them.

- 3. For Part 3, include the contents of each PDF file and your thought process while writing them.
- 4. For part 4, include all the instructions you added and explain why you think they worked. Also, do you think there could be more efficient instructions than the ones you used?
- 5. For part 5, include all the constraints you added and explain the difference between system and user instructions.
- 6. What have you learned about prompt injections, and have they changed how you think when you use LLMs in the future?

References

- [1] AI@Meta. Llama 3 model card, 2024. https://github.com/meta-llama/llama3/blob/main/MODEL_CARD.md[Accessed: June 12, 2025].
- [2] Kerem Aydin. What is an llm agent and how does it work?, 2024. https://medium.com/@aydinKerem/what-is-an-llm-agent-and-how-does-it-work-1d4d9e4381ca [Accessed: June 12, 2025].
- [3] llm-axe developers. llm-axe, 2025. https://github.com/emirsahin1/llm-axe[Accessed: June 12, 2025].
- [4] OWASP. Llm01:2025 prompt injection, 2025. https://genai.owasp.org/llmrisk/llm01-prompt-injection/#[Accessed: June 12, 2025].