# Mobile Games Sales Prediction Model Report

### 1 Overview

This report details the development and evaluation of machine learning models to predict video game sales based on historical data. The sections include:

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Model Selection
- 3. Why These Models Were Selected
- 4. Model Parameters
- 5. Performance of Models
- 6. Selection of Best Model

### 2 Introduction

The primary goal of this project is to develop a predictive model for future video game sales using historical data. This model aims to assist game developers in creating better games, understanding current market trends, and devising effective promotional strategies.

#### 3 Model Selection

Model selection is a critical step in the machine learning pipeline, as it dictates the approach to handling the dataset 'scharacteristics. Afterperforming Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA), we identified key challenges: the dataset contains both numerical (e.g., launch\_year, sales\_usa, sales\_europe, sales\_asia, sales\_misc) and categorical features (e.g., device\_type, game\_genre, publisher\_name), and the target variable sales\_total exhibits significant right skewness (mean: 0.537, max: 82.74). Additionally, the dataset includes potential non-linear relationships and outliers.

To address these challenges, the following models were selected:

- RandomForestRegressor
- GradientBoostingRegressor
- XGBRegressor
- LGBMRegressor
- VotingRegressor (Ensemble of LightGBM, GradientBoosting, and XGBoost)

## 4 Why These Models Were Selected

The models were chosen for their ability to handle the dataset's complexities. Below are the reasons for their selection:

- 1. Robustness to Mixed Data Types: The dataset includes both numerical and categorical features. Tree-based models (Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, XGBoost, Light GBM) effectively handle mixed data types after preprocessing with One Hotencoder for categorical features and Robust Scaler for numerical features. These models do not assume specific data distributions, unlike linear regression.
- 2. Ability to Capture Non-Linear Relationships: Video game sales likely depend on complex, non-linear interactions (e.g., between game\_genre and sales\_usa). Tree-based models excel at capturing such patterns without extensive feature engineering.
- 3. **RobustnesstoSkewedDataandOutliers**: Thetargetvariablesales\_total ishighlyskewed, andregionalsalesfeaturescontainoutliers(e.g., sales\_usa max: 41.49). Tree-based models are less sensitive to skewness and outliers, as they use feature thresholds for splits.
- 4. FeatureImportanceCapabilities: Allmodelssupportfeature\_importances\_, enabling identification of key predictors (e.g., sales\_usa, game\_genre). Thisenhancesinterpretabilityforstakeholders, supportedbyvisualizations using seaborn bar plots.
- 5. **EnsembleApproachforImprovedPerformance**: RandomForestusesbagging, while GradientBoosting, XGBoost, and LightGBM use boosting. The VotingRegressor combines the top three models (LightGBM, GradientBoosting, XGBoost) to balance stability and accuracy, reducing variance and bias.
- 6. **ScalabilityandEfficiency**: XGBoostandLightGBMareoptimizedforspeed, handling the dataset's 16,598 entries efficiently. LightGBM's native categorical feature processing and XGBoost's optimized boosting enhance computational performance.
- 7. **Hyperparameter Tuning Support**: The use of RandomizedSearchCV allowedoptimizationofmodelparameters(e.g., n\_estimators, max\_depth), improving accuracy. The ensemble model leverages the strengths of tuned individual models.
- 8. **Industry Relevance**: Tree-based and ensemble models are widely used in industry for regression tasks like sales prediction due to their accuracy, interpretability, and versatility.

### 5 Model Parameters

Hyperparameters were tuned using RandomizedSearchCV to optimize performance while balancing accuracy and generalization. Below are the key parameters for each model:

## 5.1 RandomForestRegressor

- n estimators: 200 (number of trees for robust predictions)
- max depth: None (allows full tree growth to capture complex patterns)

- min samples split: 5 (minimum samples to split a node, balancing flexibility)
- max features: 0.8 (fraction of features per split for diversity)

### 5.2 GradientBoostingRegressor

- n estimators: 300 (number of boosting stages for accuracy)
- learning rate: 0.1 (step size for updates)
- max depth: 5 (controls tree complexity)
- subsample: 1.0 (fraction of samples per tree, reducing variance)

### 5.3 XGBRegressor

- n estimators: 200 (number of trees for boosting)
- learning rate: 0.1 (stepsize for updates) max depth : 5 (depth to capture patterns)
- subsample: 1.0 (sample fraction to prevent overfitting)
- colsample bytree: 0.8 (feature fraction for efficiency)

## 5.4 LGBMRegressor

- n estimators: 300 (number of trees for robust boosting)
- learningrate: 0.05(stepsizeforconvergence) max depth: 7(limitstreedepth)
- num leaves: 31 (leaves per tree, capturing detailed patterns)
- subsample: 0.8 (sample fraction to reduce overfitting)
- colsample bytree: 0.8 (feature fraction for speed)

# **5.5** VotingRegressor (Ensemble)

• CombinesLGBMRegressor, GradientBoostingRegressor, andXGBRegressor with tuned parameters as above, using equal weights to leverage their collective strengths.

### 6 Performance of Models

Models were evaluated using the following metrics:

- RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error): Measures prediction error, emphasizing larger deviations; lower is better.
- MAE (Mean Absolute Error): Average absolute prediction error, robust to outliers.
- **R<sup>2</sup> Score**: Proportion of variance explained; closer to 1 is better.

Cross-validation ensured robust performance estimates.

## 6.1 RandomForestRegressor

- **Description**: An ensemble of decision trees using bagging, robust to noise and outliers in skewed sales data.
- Performance:
  - RMSE: 0.0599
  - MAE: 0.0230
  - $R^2: 0.9671$

## 6.2 GradientBoostingRegressor

- **Description**: Sequentially builds trees to correct errors, effective for complex patterns.
- Performance:
  - RMSE: 0.0555
  - MAE: 0.0228
  - $R^2: 0.9717$

## 6.3 XGBRegressor

- **Description**: Optimized gradient boosting, fast and regularized, suitable for large datasets.
- Performance:
  - RMSE: 0.0558
  - MAE: 0.0248
  - $R^2: 0.9714$

## 6.4 LGBMRegressor

- **Description**: Fast, histogram-based boosting model, efficient for complex relationships.
- Performance:
- Performance:
  - RMSE: 0.0539
  - MAE: 0.0208

 $- R^2: 0.9734$ 

# 6.5 VotingRegressor (Ensemble)

• **Description**: Combines predictionsfrom LightGBM,GradientBoosting, and XGBoost to leverage their strengths, reducing variance and improving accuracy.

#### • Performance:

- RMSE: 0.0538

- MAE: 0.0211

 $- R^2: 0.9734$ 

## **6.6 Sample Predictions**

The ensemble model's predictions on a test set sample (first 10 instances) are shown below, with actual values and percentage errors:

- Sample 1: Predicted: 0.116024 | Actual: 0.122218 | Error: 5.1% Sample 2: Predicted:
- 0.914119 | Actual: 0.924259 | Error: 1.1% Sample 3: Predicted: 0.133093 | Actual:
- 0.122218 | Error: 8.9% Sample 4: Predicted: 0.858542 | Actual: 0.854415 | Error: 0.5%
  - Sample 5: Predicted: 0.160110 | Actual: 0.157004 | Error: 2.0%
  - Sample 6: Predicted: 0.037774 | Actual: 0.019803 | Error: 90.8%
  - Sample 7: Predicted: 0.799278 | Actual: 0.756122 | Error: 5.7% Sample 8: Predicted:
- 0.505743 | Actual: 0.500775 | Error: 1.0%
  - Sample 9: Predicted: 0.162748 | Actual: 0.157004 | Error: 3.7%
  - Sample 10: Predicted: 0.286073 | Actual: 0.285179 | Error: 0.3% Prediction Statistics:
  - Min: 0.037774
  - Max: 0.914119
  - Mean: 0.397350
  - Std: 0.324810 Actual Values Statistics:
  - Min: 0.019803
  - Max: 0.924259
  - Mean: 0.389900
  - Std: 0.341151

## 7 Selection of Best Model

The **VotingRegressor** (ensemble of LightGBM, GradientBoosting, and XGBoost) was selected as the best model, achieving an RMSE of 0.0538, slightly outperforming the best individual model (LightGBM, RMSE: 0.0539). The ensemble's superior performance is attributed to its ability to combine the strengths of boostingbased models, reducing both bias and variance. The high R<sup>2</sup> score (0.9734) indicates excellent explanatory power, and the low MAE (0.0211) reflects robust average prediction accuracy. Hyperparameter tuning via RandomizedSearchCV and the ensemble approach ensured optimal performance, making this model suitable for real-world video game sales prediction.