Web Programming 1

oz i rogiaig i	Web Programming 1 (WEPR1)				
Assignment Number	1				
Assignment Name	Formative Assessment				
NQF Level	N/A				
Credits	N/A				
Due Date					
Marks	Total marks = 190  Formative assessments through the semester contribute towards the student's module mark and are used to assess progress and identify areas for improvement. This formative assessment will contribute 25% towards final mark.  Take note of the following with regards to late submissions:  a. One (1) day late (-5%)  b. Two (2) days late (-10%)  c. Three (3) days late (-15%)				
Individual / Group Assignment	Individual				
	Lecturer Information				
Lecturer					
Lecturer E-mail					

# Learning Objective:

Formative assessment 1 will cover the following concepts:

a. Javascript with React.JS

### Attributes/Competencies Assessed:

Unit standards:

- a. 115367 Demonstrate logical problem solving and error detection techniques
- b. 115392 Apply principles of creating computer software by developing a complete programme to meet given business specifications

### Scope:

The scope of this formative assessment is based on a solid knwoledge of coding techniques used in JavaScript and React. JS supported by theoretical components..

### Technical Aspects:

The number of pages for this formative assessment is <u>15</u> and the following font and size should be used in your report:

- a. Font: Arial
- b. Size: 12 and 14 for headings
- c. Font colour: Black









Save and upload the report as a .PDF (No backgrounds) with the following naming convention:

a. Student no\_StudentName\_StudentSurname\_ModuleCode\_FA1 (Only ZIP folder uploads)

Ensure adequate referencing is used when using information from either books or internet. Plagiarism is a serious offecne and can result in 0% for the assessment when excessive work is copied without proper referencing.

Please complete the following and sign as requested for Portfolio of Evidence (POE)

- a. Save code with screeshots of each question and upload when completed
- b. Pre-Assessment agreement (Save, sign and submit as PDF)
- c. Assessment Feedback Agreement (Save, sign and submit as PDF)

### Mark allocation for report

See Mark allocation sheet below









Question 1 (20)

Unit standard	Specific outcome	Assessment criterion		
115367	1	1		
	1	2		
	1	3		
	1	4		

- 1. Identify and discuss in short the different problem solving techniques. (8)
- 2. Identify and discuss situations where specific problem solving techniques would be more suitable than others. (6)
- 3. Considering question 1 (a) and the problem-solving techniques you have identified, create a visual representation or diagram for each of the problem-solving techniques mentioned. (6)

Question 2 (40)

Unit standard	Specific outcome	Assessment criterion	
	4	1	
115367	4	2	
	4	3	

- 1. Identify and discuss the three (3) types of errors. (17)
- 2. Identify and discuss in short "Error Isolation Techniques". (5)
- 3. Identify and discuss the various testing techniques. (18)

Question 3 (66)

Unit standard	Specific outcome	Assessment criterion	
	1	1	
115392	1	2	
	1	3	









1	4
2	1
2	2

- 1. Tic-tac-toe is a classic game played on a 3x3 grid. The objective is to be the first to form a line of three of your symbols (X or O) either horizontally, vertically, or diagonally. (50)
  - a. Here's a step-by-step description of how the game works:
    - i. The game begins with an empty 3x3 grid.
    - ii. Two players take turns, one using X and the other using O.
    - iii. A player selects an empty cell on their turn and places their symbol (X or O) in that cell.
    - iv. The turn then passes to the other player.
    - v. Steps iii and iv are repeated until a player wins or the game ends in a draw.
    - vi. A player wins if they have three of their symbols in a row, column, or diagonal.
    - vii. If all cells are filled and no player has won, the game ends in a draw.
  - b. Write the game using JavaScript and React.JS. (Paste your code below and also upload the working file that contains your code.
- 2. Do white-box testing for the above solution.

(16)

# Question 4 (64)

Unit standard	Specific outcome	Assessment criterion	
115392	2	3	
	2	4	
	3	1	
	3	2	
	3	3	
	4	1	
	4	2	
	4	3	
	5	1	









5	2
5	3
6	1
6	2
6	3
6	4

1.	Write the pseudocode for the Tic-Tac-Toe game:	(23)
2.	Draw a program flowchart for the above pseudocode.	(18)

3. As part of your testing, create a trace table from your program flowchart. (13)

4. Create a short testing plan on how the users must use your program with appropriate screenshots for the user. (10)









Mark allocation for student				
Section	Sub-section	Maximum Mark	Learner mark	
	Question 1.1	8		
	Question 1.2	6		
	Question 1.3	6		
	Question 2.1	17		
	Question 2.2	5		
	Question 2.3	18		
Body of the report	Question 3.1	50		
	Question 3.2	16		
	Question 4.1	23		
	Question 4.2	18		
	Question 4.3	13		
	Question 4.4	10		
	1 day late	-5		
Deductions	2 days late	-10		
	3 days late	-15		
	Total:	190		









# PRE-ASSESSMENT AGREEMENT

# Assessment Preparation: Preparing the Candidate

Student name and			Dat	e	
surname			Tim	ie	
Assessor name and			Ver	nue	
surname			, с		
How to prepare the candidate	ne	Document Requireme	nts	Agı (tid	Action Required
Explain to the candidate	-	Assessment Policy			
you are meeting and the purpose of the assessme		Assessment process			
Discuss the assessment in detail.	plan	Assessment strategy			
Explain assessment process, show assessment instruments to candidate and describe assessment conditions.		Assessment instruments			
Identify the role-players		Assessors			
during assessment.		Moderator			
Describe the evidence required to be declared competent.		Examples of evidence			
Explain how evidence will be judged.		Mark allocation explained	d		
Explain to the candidate how to prepare: Give candidate assessment task description.		Assessment task descript	ion		
Confirm with the candidate what he/she should bring to the assessment.		Detailed briefing on exact requirements to be given candidate in writing			
Ensure that candidate understands the procedures of all assessment practices.		Appeals Policy			
		Appeals procedure			
		Assessment Policy			
		Assessment Procedure			
		Moderation Policy			
		Moderation procedure			
		Verification Policy			
		Verification Procedure			









Ask the candidate if he/she	List needs	
foresees any problems or		
identify any special needs.		

Agreed Assessment Plan					
Student name and surn	ame:				
Assessor name and surr	name:				
Module name:		Web Programming 1			
Unit Standard/s:		US115367			
		US115392			
7 '	nt i.e. Formative assignment, rmative Practical, Summative Formative Assessment 1		1		
Special Assessment Requirements:		N/A			
Event	Date, time and location	Resources required Evidence to generated			
Assessments due date		Assessments	Completed documentation		
Complete activity on MyAIE and upload to MyAIE			Completed Portfolio of Evidence		
Submit Portfolio of					

	Assessor Roles and Responsibility
Roles	Assessor
	Guide
	Feedback Agent
	Reviewer
Responsibilities	Consult candidate re-assessment, assessment process and plan.
	Agree assessment process and plan with candidate.
	Forward documentation to candidate: plan, guide and assessment instruments.
	Assess candidate with the use of different instruments.
	Provide feedback on assessment findings.
	Support candidate through assessment process.
	Source feedback from candidate on assessment process.









Review assessment process and outcome.

Use assessment process as opportunity to transform assessment activities and outcomes.

(	Candidate Roles and Responsibility
Roles	<ul><li>Leaner</li><li>Feedback agent</li><li>Reviewer</li></ul>
Responsibilities	<ul> <li>Be available for assessment.</li> <li>Be actively involved in the consultative process.</li> <li>Learn from the assessment process.</li> <li>Provide feedback to the assessor in terms of the assessment as learning activity.</li> <li>Provide feedback to the assessor on the efficacy of the assessment process.</li> <li>Review own role and assessor role in the assessment process.</li> </ul>
Assessment Instruments	<ul> <li>Portfolio of Evidence</li> <li>Questionnaire</li> <li>Report</li> <li>Presentation</li> <li>Reflexive questions</li> <li>Work sample</li> <li>Practical's</li> <li>Group Activity</li> <li>Research activities</li> </ul>

### **Assessment Process**

- Evaluation of POE addressing Essential Embedded Knowledge in unit standards.
- Evaluation of Research Projects and other evidence addressing specific unit standards.
- Consultation: assessment plan and assessment activities and instruments. Pre-assessment moderation and interviews conducted at this stage.
- Observation: feedback on assessment against specific outcomes, critical outcomes in unit standards.
- Feedback: to candidate regarding sufficiency of evidence and possible interview to gain supplementary evidence.
- Feedback to candidate regarding assessment findings as well as review process.









Feedback	Written feedback to be given to all stakeholders at the end of the
	assessment process, as well as verbal feedback to the candidate
	during assessment activities.
Recording	Process and findings to be recorded and submitted for record
Process	keeping purposes as well as moderation and verification.
Review Process	The review process is the responsibility of the assessor and the
	candidate. Joint reviewing will take place after feedback has been
	given to the candidate.
Right to appeal	The candidate must be advised of the right to appeal.
Resources	Assignments
Required	• POE
	<ul> <li>Assessments</li> </ul>
	Guides

#### I confirm that:

Signed:

- I have been consulted on and have agreed to the training and assessment process as detailed in the assessment guide.
- I have been advised of my right to appeal against any assessment that is unfair, unreliable, invalid or impracticable.
- I have read and understood the appeal procedure.
- I know that assessments may be moderated or verified by an external party.
- The purpose of the assessment has been clearly explained to me.
- The criteria have been discussed with me, and I know I will be assessed against these criteria.
- I know when and where I will be assessed, and I was given fair notice.
- I know how the assessment will be done, and any other requirements related to the assessment.

·				
Overall Assessment Decision	Competent	Not yet compet	tent	
Student's Signature		Date:		
Assessor's Signature		Date:		
Moderator's Signature		Date:		

Date:









## ASSESSMENT FEEDBACK AGREEMENT

Assessment feedback: Feedback to learner

Qualification Name:	
Qualification SAQA Number:	
Subject Name:	Web Programming 1
Subject Code:	WEPR1
Assessment Name:	Formative Assessment 1
Assessment Code:	WEPR1_FA1
Assessment Type:	Formative

Foodbook was ast	1st At	tempt	2nd <i>i</i>	Attempt
Feedback report	С	NYC	С	NYC
Unit standard Number(s)				
US115367:				
SO1;AC1				
SO1;AC2				
SO1;AC3				
SO1;AC4				
SO4;AC1				
SO4;AC2				
SO4;AC3				
US115392:				









SO1;AC1		
SO1;AC2		
SO1;AC3		
SO1;AC4		
SO2;AC1		
SO2;AC2		
SO2;AC3		
SO2;AC4		
SO3;AC1		
SO3;AC2		
SO3;AC3		
SO4;AC1		
SO4;AC2		
SO4;AC3		
SO5;AC1		
SO5;AC2		
SO5;AC3		
SO6;AC1		
SO6;AC2		
SO6;AC3		
SO6;AC4		









General feedback to learner (Attempt	1)			
Supply comprehensive feedback why	learner is found N	YC		
Learner Number:				
Learner name and surname:			Date:	
Learner Signature:				
Lecturer name and surname:			Date:	
Lecturer Signature:				
Assessor name and surname:			Date:	
Assessor Signature:				
Moderator name and surname:			Date:	
Moderator Signature:				

### Note to learner

Review the feedback provided by your lecturer to check that you have been found competent in this assessment. If there are any areas where you have been found not yet competent, you must redo those parts of the assessment and resubmit within the stipulated time frame.

The section below will only be completed in cases where the learner was asked to









resubmit parts of the assessment where they were found not yet competent.

General feedback to learner (Attempt 2	2)			
Supply comprehensive feedback why le	earner is found NY	С		
Learner Number:				
Learner name and surname:			Date:	
Learner Signature:				
Lecturer name and surname:			Date:	
Lecturer Signature:				
Assessor name and surname:			Date:	
Assessor Signature:				
Moderator name and surname:			Date:	
Moderator Signature:				







