Sprint Retrospective: Sprint 3

Group 16

Thomas Jinton	jintont
Jennifer Krogh	kroghj
Ludvig Lindell	ludlinde
Jesper Lundgren	jeslundg
Johan Nilsson	nilssojo
Emma Pettersson	emmp
Antonia Welzel	welzel

Agile Software Project Management [DAT257]

Information Technology
Chalmers Institute of Technology
Gothenburg, Sweden
2020Version 1.0

Contents

1	What went well in the Sprint	
2	What could be improved	2
3	What will we commit to improve in the next Sprint	3

1 What went well in the Sprint

- Improved task breakdown
- Team communication and work distribution
- Commenting code
- The meetings are better structured with proper breaks and specific time

2 What could be improved

- Task estimation for certain tasks
- A more efficient meeting with detailed timetable for tasks(we want to focus on the important parts and not take on multiple problems at the same time)

3 What will we commit to improve in the next Sprint

- We will create a meeting agenda and try to follow it so that our meetings will be more efficient.
- Task estimation