
Sprint Retrospective: Sprint 5

Group 16

Thomas Jinton	jintont
Jennifer Krogh	kroghj
Ludvig Lindell	ludlinde
Jesper Lundgren	jeslundg
Johan Nilsson	nilssojo
Emma Pettersson	emmp
Antonia Welzel	welzel

Agile Software Project Management [DAT257]

Information Technology
Chalmers Institute of Technology
Gothenburg, Sweden
2020-
Version 1.0

Contents

1	What went well in the Sprint	1
2	What could be improved	2
3	What will we commit to improve in the next Sprint	3

1 What went well in the Sprint

- Wrapping-up the project and making it more complete
- Estimation
- Task breakdown
- Compared to earlier sprints, we were more synchronized and worked well as a team

2 What could be improved

- Testing
- Time usage on planning activities

3 What will we commit to improve in the next Sprint

- If there was another sprint, we would commit to being more efficient in our planning activities, especially with the time we spend on them and also do more extensive testing.