Sprint Retrospective: Sprint 4

Group 16

Thomas Jinton   jintont
Jennifer Krogh   kroghj
Ludvig Lindell   ludlinde
Jesper Lundgren   jeslundg
Johan Nilsson   nilssojo
Emma Pettersson   emmp
Antonia Welzel   welzel

Agile Software Project Management [DAT257]

Information Technology
Chalmers Institute of Technology
Gothenburg, Sweden
2020-05-15
Version 1.0

Contents

1   What went well in the Sprint

- Task breakdown, more details and descriptions to avoid confusion.

- Working with technical tools, such as Git and Trello, for working in an agile way

2   What could be improved

- We feel that we could be more consistent with reviewing each other's code. Using the tools on Github, such as code reviews and pull requests.

- Some of our estimations could be a bit more defined. Because we do not have the best knowledge of html we tend to overestimate or underestimate a few tasks concerning html/css.

- Acceptance criteria have improved, but can still get better and be more clearly defined for individual tasks.

3   What will we commit to improve in the next Sprint

- Improve acceptance criteria - make them more clear and detailed
- Code reviews with GitHub's review function