MULTIVARIATE STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Lecture 6 Canonical Correlation Analysis

Associate Professor Lý Quốc Ngọc



KHOA CÔNG NGHỆ THÔNG TIN TRƯỜNG ĐẠI HỌC KHOA HỌC TỰ NHIÊN



Contents

- 6. Canonical Correlation Analysis
- **6.1**. Purpose of FA
- 6.2. Problem Statement
- **6.3**. Method
- **6.4**. Geometrical Explanation
- 6.5. Model Checking
- **6.6**. Case study



6.1. Purpose of CCA

Canonical correlation analysis seeks to identify and quantify the associations between two sets of variables.

CCA focuses on the correlation between a linear combination of the variables in one set and a linear combination of the variables in another set.



6.2. Problem statement

fit@hcmus

Consider the first group, of p variables, is represented by the random vector $X^{(1)}(p \times 1)$.

Consider the second group, of q variables, is represented by the random vector $X^{(2)}(q \times 1)$.

Consider the linear combination of $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$

$$U = a^T X^{(1)}$$

$$V = b^T X^{(2)}$$

We shall seek coefficient vectors a,b such that correlation of U,V is as large as possible.

$$Corr(U,V) = \frac{a^{T} \sum_{12} b}{\sqrt{a^{T} \sum_{11} a} \sqrt{b^{T} \sum_{22} b}}$$

Associate Professor LÝ QUỐC NGỌC



6.2. Problem statement

With the canonical variates satisfy the constraints of variance, covariance as follows:

$$Var(U_k) = Var(V_k) = 1$$
 $Cov(U_k, U_l) = Corr(U_k, U_l) = 0 \text{ k} \neq 1$
 $Cov(V_k, V_l) = Corr(V_k, V_l) = 0 \text{ k} \neq 1$
 $Cov(U_k, V_l) = Corr(U_k, V_l) = 0 \text{ k} \neq 1$
 $k, l = 1, 2, ..., p$



Seek a,b to maximize Corr(U,V) with constraints:

$$\max_{a,b} Corr(U,V) = \frac{a^T \sum_{12} b}{\sqrt{a^T \sum_{11} a} \sqrt{b^T \sum_{22} b}}$$

$$a^T \sum_{11} a = 1$$

$$b^T \sum_{22} b = 1$$

Consider Lagrange function:

$$L(a,b,\lambda) = a^{T} \sum_{12} b + \frac{\lambda_{a}}{2} (1 - a^{T} \sum_{11} a) + \frac{\lambda_{b}}{2} (1 - b^{T} \sum_{22} b)$$



fit@hcmus

The necessary condition for Corr(U,V) reaching the maximum with constraints is

$$\frac{\partial L(a,b,\lambda)}{\partial a} = \sum_{12} b - \lambda_a \sum_{11} a = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial L(a,b,\lambda)}{\partial b} = \sum_{12}^{T} a - \lambda_b \sum_{22} b = \sum_{21} a - \lambda_b \sum_{22} b = 0 \quad (2)$$

Từ (1) và (2) ta có:

$$\mathbf{a}^{T} \sum_{12} b - \lambda_{a} \mathbf{a}^{T} \sum_{11} a + \lambda_{b} \mathbf{b}^{T} \sum_{22} b - \mathbf{b}^{T} \sum_{12} a = 0$$

$$\lambda_b \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{22} b - \lambda_a \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{11} a = 0$$

 $\lambda_b - \lambda_a = 0$

Từ (1) ta có:
$$a = \frac{\sum_{11}^{-1} \sum_{12} b}{\lambda}$$

Associate Professor LÝ QUỐC NGỌC



fit@hcmus

Replace a into (2) we have:

$$\sum_{22}^{-1} \sum_{21} \sum_{11}^{-1} \sum_{12} b = \lambda^2 b$$

Similarly we have:

$$\sum_{11}^{-1} \sum_{12} \sum_{22}^{-1} \sum_{21} a = \lambda^2 a$$

Therefore a is the eigenvector of $\sum_{11}^{-1} \sum_{12} \sum_{22}^{-1} \sum_{21}$

b is the eigenvector of $\Sigma_{22}^{\text{--}1}\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{\text{--}1}\Sigma_{12}$



fit@hcmus

The first pair of canonical variables:

$$U_1 = a_1^T X = e_1^T \sum_{11}^{-1/2} X, \quad V_1 = b_1^T Y = f_1^T \sum_{22}^{-1/2} Y$$

Generalization for the kth pair of canonical variables::

$$U_{k} = a_{k}^{T} X = e_{k}^{T} \sum_{11}^{-1/2} X$$

$$V_{k} = b_{k}^{T} Y = f_{k}^{T} \sum_{22}^{-1/2} Y$$

$$\max_{a,b} Corr(U_{k}, V_{k}) = \rho_{k}^{*}$$

Assume $\rho_1^{*2} \ge \rho_2^{*2} \ge ... \ge \rho_p^{*2}$ and $(\rho_k^{*2}, e_k), (\rho_k^{*2}, f_k)$ are eigen values, eigenvectors of:

$$\sum_{11}^{-1} \sum_{12} \sum_{22}^{-1} \sum_{21} \sum_{11}^{-1/2}$$
 and $\sum_{22}^{-1} \sum_{21} \sum_{11}^{-1} \sum_{12} \sum_{22}^{-1/2}$



6.4. Geometrical Explanation

Discuss in class



6.5. Model Checking

Discuss in class



6.6. Case study

Discuss in class