

St. Lawrence University Course Evaluation Summary - Control #622
2020 FA - SUBJ 10001 - NAME OF COURSE - Doe, John

TOTAL EVALUATIONS

This Course	17
Total Undergraduate	4998

STUDENT BACKGROUNDS

Your primary reason(s) for enrolling in this course

			Univ.
Major	11	65%	43%
Minor	-	-	12%
Distribution/Diversity	4	24%	17%
Interest in subject	6	35%	39%
Instructor	-	-	7%
Ability to take course from off campus (hybrid/online)	-	-	5%
Ability to work on course on my own schedule (hybrid/online)	-	-	3%
Other	2	12%	7%
Unduplicated Count	17	100%	100%

Gender

			Univ.
Male	5	29%	38%
Female	12	71%	60%
Other	-	-	-
Prefer not to disclose	-	-	2%
Total	17	100%	100%

Class Year

			Univ.
First Year	9	53%	34%
Sophomore	6	35%	20%
Junior	-	-	22%
Senior	2	12%	24%
Graduate Student	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-
Total	17	100%	100%

STUDENT RESPONSES

Q1: Taking this course has been a valuable educational experience

			Univ.
Agree strongly	7	41%	39%
Agree	6	35%	34%
Agree somewhat	2	12%	13%
Neutral	1	6%	7%
Disagree somewhat	1	6%	2%
Disagree	-	-	3%
Disagree strongly	-	-	2%
Total	17	100%	100%

Q2: The course was effectively organized

			Univ.
Agree strongly	10	59%	41%
Agree	5	29%	32%
Agree somewhat	1	6%	12%
Neutral	1	6%	6%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	4%
Disagree	-	-	3%
Disagree strongly	-	-	2%
Total	17	100%	100%

Q3: The instructor created an environment conducive to learning

			Univ.
Agree Strongly	8	47%	48%
Agree	6	35%	30%
Agree Somewhat	1	6%	9%
Neutral	-	-	6%
Disagree Somewhat	1	6%	3%
Disagree	1	6%	2%
Disagree Strongly	-	-	2%
Total	17	100%	100%

Q4a: In this course, the workload was

			Univ.
Too High	1	6%	5%
(Somewhat High)	5	29%	22%
Appropriate	11	65%	70%
(Somewhat Low)	-	-	4%
Too Low	-	-	1%
Total	17	100%	100%

Q4b: In this course, the grading standards were

			Univ.
Too High	-	-	6%
(Somewhat High)	3	18%	18%
Appropriate	14	82%	74%
(Somewhat Low)	-	-	2%
Too Low	-	-	-
Total	17	100%	100%

Q4c: In this course, the level of intellectual and/or creative sophistication required was

			Univ.
Too High	-	-	3%
(Somewhat High)	3	18%	20%
Appropriate	12	71%	72%
(Somewhat Low)	2	12%	4%
Too Low	-	-	1%
Total	17	100%	100%

Q5a: The instructor's assessments of student work were fair

			Univ.
Agree strongly	8	47%	32%
Agree	7	41%	39%
Agree somewhat	-	-	12%
Neutral	-	-	10%
Disagree somewhat	2	12%	4%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	17	100%	100%

Q5b: The instructor's assessments of student work were timely

			Univ.
Agree strongly	9	53%	31%
Agree	7	41%	34%
Agree somewhat	1	6%	14%
Neutral	-	-	11%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	5%
Disagree	-	-	3%
Disagree strongly	-	-	2%
Total	17	100%	100%

Q5c: The instructor's assessments of student work were constructive

			Univ.
Agree strongly	8	47%	35%
Agree	6	35%	36%
Agree somewhat	1	6%	12%
Neutral	1	6%	10%
Disagree somewhat	1	6%	4%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	2%
Total	17	100%	100%

Q6a: I would recommend this instructor to another student

			Univ.
Agree strongly	9	53%	48%
Agree	6	35%	28%
Agree somewhat	1	6%	9%
Neutral	-	-	7%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	3%
Disagree	1	6%	3%
Disagree strongly	-	-	3%
Total	17	100%	100%

Q6b: I would recommend this course to another student

			Univ.
Agree strongly	7	41%	38%
Agree	4	24%	31%
Agree somewhat	2	12%	13%
Neutral	-	-	9%
Disagree somewhat	1	6%	3%
Disagree	3	18%	3%
Disagree strongly	-	-	3%
Total	17	100%	100%

Q6c: The instructor was an effective teacher

			Univ.
Agree strongly	6	38%	46%
Agree	6	38%	30%
Agree somewhat	2	13%	10%
Neutral	-	-	6%
Disagree somewhat	1	6%	3%
Disagree	1	6%	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	3%
Total	16	100%	100%

Summary of Means

	Mean	Univ.
Q1: Taking this course has been a valuable educational experience	- 6.0	5.9
Q2: The course was effectively organized	- 6.4	5.9
Q3: The instructor created an environment conducive to learning	- 6.0	6.0
Q5a: The instructor's assessments of student work were fair	- 6.1	5.8
Q5b: The instructor's assessments of student work were timely	- 6.5	5.6
Q5c: The instructor's assessments of student work were constructive	- 6.1	5.8
Q6a: I would recommend this instructor to another student	- 5.9	5.9
Q6b: I would recommend this course to another student	- 6.0	5.7
Q6c: The instructor was an effective teacher	- 5.8	5.9

Scale:

7 = Agree Strongly, 6 = Agree, 5 = Agree Somewhat, 4 = Neutral, 3 = Disagree Somewhat, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Disagree Strongly