

#2.

$$(a) J = -\log P(O=0|C=c) = -\sum_{w \in \text{vocab}} y_w \log P(O=w|C=c)$$

$$= 0 - \log P(O=0|C=c) = -\sum_{\substack{w \in \text{vocab}, \\ w \neq 0}} y_w \log P(O=w|C=c) - y_0 \log P(O=0|C=c)$$

$$= -\sum_{w \in \text{vocab}} y_w \log P(O=w|C=c) = -\sum_{w \in \text{vocab}} y_w \log(\hat{y}_w) = -\log(\hat{y}_0)$$

$$(b) \frac{\partial J}{\partial v_c} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_c} \left(\frac{\exp(u_0^T v_c)}{\sum \exp(u_w^T v_c)} \right) = -\frac{\sum \exp(u_w^T v_c)}{\exp(u_0^T v_c)} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_c} \left(\frac{\exp(u_0^T v_c)}{\sum \exp(u_w^T v_c)} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial v_c} = \frac{\partial (-\log \hat{y})}{\partial v_c} = -\frac{1}{\hat{y}_0} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial v_c} = \frac{1}{\hat{y}_0} \frac{u_0 \exp(u_0^T v_c) \sum \exp(u_w^T v_c) - \exp(u_0^T v_c) \sum u_w \exp(u_w^T v_c)}{\left(\sum \exp(u_w^T v_c) \right)^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\hat{y}_0} \left(u_0 \hat{y}_0 - \hat{y}_0 \frac{\sum u_w \exp(u_w^T v_c)}{\sum \exp(u_w^T v_c)} \right) = \left(\frac{\sum u_w \exp(u_w^T v_c)}{\sum \exp(u_w^T v_c)} - u_0 \right)$$

$$= \frac{(u_0 \exp(u_0^T v_c) + \dots + u_w \exp(u_w^T v_c))}{\sum \exp(u_w^T v_c)} - U y = u_0 \hat{y}_0 + \dots + u_w \hat{y}_w - U y$$

$$= U(\hat{y} - y)$$

$$U y = u_0$$

$$\hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} P(O=q_1|C=c) \\ \vdots \\ P(O=q_n|C=c) \end{bmatrix} v_c = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(c) \frac{\partial J}{\partial u_0} = \frac{\partial (-\log \exp(u_0^T v_c) + \log \sum \exp(u_w^T v_c))}{\partial u_0} = -v_c + \frac{1}{\sum \exp(u_w^T v_c)} \frac{\partial (\exp(u_0^T v_c) + \dots + \exp(u_w^T v_c))}{\partial u_0}$$

$$= -v_c + \frac{\exp(u_0^T v_c)}{\sum \exp(u_w^T v_c)} v_c = v_c (\hat{y}_0 - y_0)$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial u_{w \neq 0}} = \frac{u_w \exp(u_w^T v_c)}{\sum \exp(u_w^T v_c)} = v_c \hat{y}_w$$

$$(d) \partial U = [\partial u_1 \dots \partial u_w]$$

$$(e) \sigma'(x) = \frac{e^x (e^x + 1) - e^x e^x}{(e^x + 1)^2} = \frac{e^x}{e^x + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{e^x + 1} = \sigma(1 - \sigma)$$

$$(f) \frac{\partial J}{\partial v_c} = -\frac{1}{\sigma(u_0^T v_c)} \cdot \sigma(u_0^T v_c) (1 - \sigma(u_0^T v_c)) \cdot u_0 - \sum_{k=1}^K (1 - \sigma(-u_k^T v_c)) \cdot (-u_k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^K u_k (1 - \sigma(-u_k^T v_c)) - u_0 (1 - \sigma(u_0^T v_c))$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial u_0} = -v_c (1 - \sigma(u_0^T v_c))$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial u_k} = v_c (1 - \sigma(-u_k^T v_c))$$

no exp + less word vocab

#4. 1-19) set attention score to $-\infty$ for the padded part. padding implementation shouldn't affect the calculation.

(h) 12.29

(i) I. dot product attention is computationally cheap and forces encoder and decoder to have similar embedding spaces. Multiplicative attention has learnable parameters.

II. Additive attention has more freedom of embedding but is more expensive.

2-1a) polysynthetic language has lots of affixes, so a word means a sentence.

(b) It needs to contain less information.

(c) transfer; insights gained through one can be applied to other.

It is effective at learning generalization.

(d) I. crown of daisies is rare, so her hair got attended high.

~~probably not enough samples.~~ change attention mechanism not to capture several times.

II. didn't catch sexual bias.
provide more examples.

III. Don't know phrase Littlefish.
put Littlefish in training data.

(e) I. "well", said Charlotte. It captured dialogue form. It contains name of person.

II. The Abrahams said unto him, crosses and the prophets; that he may be with me.
It fails to understand the end-part meaning of a sentence.

(f) I. $P_{c1,1} = \frac{0+1+1+1+0}{5} = \frac{3}{5}$ $P_{c1,2} = \frac{0+1+1+0}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$

$BP_{c1} = 1$ $BLEU_{c1} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{3}{5} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2}\right) = 0.5477$

$P_{c2,1} = \frac{1+1+0+1+1}{5} = \frac{4}{5}$ $P_{c2,2} = \frac{1+0+0+1}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$

$BP_{c2} = 1$ $BLEU_{c2} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{4}{5} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2}\right) = 0.6325$

Second. c2 sounds like to be better translation.

II. $P_{c1,1} = \frac{0+1+1+1+0}{5} = \frac{3}{5}$ $P_{c1,2} = \frac{0+1+1+0}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$ $BP_{c1} = \exp\left(1 - \frac{6}{5}\right) = 0.8187$

$BLEU_{c1} = 0.8187 \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{3}{5} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2}\right) = 0.4474$

$P_{c2,1} = \frac{1+1+0+0+0}{5} = \frac{2}{5}$ $P_{c2,2} = \frac{1+0+0+0}{4} = \frac{1}{4}$ $BP_{c2} = 0.8187$

$BLEU_{c2} = 0.8187 \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{2}{5} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{4}\right) = 0.2889$

c1 receives. No.

III. better translation can get low BLEU score due to lack of exact overlap of n-grams.

Adv) quantitative, simple.

disadv) only count of overlaps, no semantic score.

#5. 1. (a) suppose $\exists j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ s.t. $c = v_j$

That means, $\alpha_j = 1$, α_i for $v_i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \exp(k_i^T q) \text{ for } v_i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\} = 0, \exp(k_j^T q) = 1$$

$$k_i = -\infty, q = 1, k_j = 0 \Rightarrow k_j^T q = 0$$

(b) $\alpha_a = \alpha_b = 1/2$, $\alpha_{etc} = 0 \Rightarrow \exp(k_1^T q) = \exp(k_2^T q) \gg 1$, $\exp(k_{etc}^T q) = 1$

let $q = \frac{1}{2}(k_a + k_b)$ $\alpha_a = \frac{\exp(k_a^T q)}{\exp(k_a^T q) + \exp(k_b^T q) + \exp(k_{etc}^T q)} \approx \frac{\exp \alpha}{2 \exp \alpha + n-2} = \frac{1}{2}$

let $q = \frac{k_a + k_b}{2 \log n}$ $\frac{2 \log n \times \frac{1}{n-2}}{2 \log n} \alpha_a = \frac{n}{2n + \frac{n-2}{n-2}} = \frac{n}{2n+1} \approx \frac{1}{2}$

(c) T. since. $E(k_a^T (\log n (k_a + k_b) + \sum_{etc} \log \frac{1}{n-2})) = E[\log n] + E[\log n k_a^T k_b] + E[\sum_{etc} \log \frac{1}{n-2}] = \log n$

II. since k_a becomes larger, c will tilt to a magnitude.

(d) T. $q_1 = \log n k_a + \sum_{etc} \log \frac{1}{n-1}$ $q_2 = \log n k_b + \sum_{etc} \log \frac{1}{n-1}$

II. scale becomes equal at α_a so c remains steady.

(e) T. $c_2 = \frac{n}{2} \alpha_2 v_j$ $\alpha_{21} = \frac{1}{\exp((u_a + u_b)^T v_a) + \exp(u_a^T u_a) + \exp((u_c + u_b)^T u_a)}$

$$\approx \alpha_{22} v_2 = \frac{1}{e^{\beta^2}} u_a$$

NO. α_{21} or α_{12} won't get affected.

II. $V(u_a + u_b) = u_b$, $V(u_c + u_b) = u_b - u_c$

$$V = \frac{1}{\beta^2} (u_b u_b^T - u_c u_c^T)$$

$$u_1 = u_b$$

$$v_2 = 0$$

$$v_3 = u_b - u_c$$

$$c_2 = \alpha_{21} u_b + \alpha_{23} (u_b - u_c) = u_b$$

$$c_1 = \alpha_{11} u_b + \alpha_{13} (u_b - u_c) = u_b - u_c$$

$$k_1^T q_2 = \beta^4, k_2^T q_2 = 0, k_3^T q_2 = 0$$

$$k_1^T q_1 = 0, k_2^T q_1 = 0, k_3^T q_1 = 2\beta^4$$

$$(u_a + u_b)^T K^T Q u_a = \beta^2$$

$$(u_c + u_b)^T K^T Q (u_a + u_b) = \beta^2$$

$$Q = u_a u_a^T + u_c (u_a + u_b)^T \quad K = (u_a u_a^T + u_c u_c^T)$$

$$Q_1 = 2\beta^2 u_c \quad Q_2 = u_b \beta^2 \quad Q_3 = \beta^2 u_c$$

$$k_1 = u_b \beta^2 \quad k_2 = 0 \quad k_3 = u_c \beta^2$$

2. 19) II. It cannot learn relationship between X_s .

3. (a) by the character corruption dataset model, it can learn more information and knowledge for deep epochs.

Also, it can be injected more general knowledge and fine-tune for specific target.

(b) A person cannot know whether the model is precise or lucky.
It can lead to distrust of the system.

(c) It will see relevant person such as similar job, age, address, etc.

However, it will never guarantee the answer hence resulting less reliability.