

Quiz 3

Answer

1. Determine the keyword length of these two encrypted message using I.C.

For Part 1, we determine the keyword length by the following steps:

1. Assume the keyword length = n , $n \geq 2$.
2. Split the encrypted message into n groups.
3. Calculate the I.C. of each group.
4. Calculate the average I.C. of all groups.
5. Increase n by 1 and restart the process until we find the average I.C that is closest to the English value 0.068.

For Message 1, we get the following result:

Keyword Length (n) = 4 , IC = 0.04091422889361049

Keyword Length (n) = 5 , IC = 0.06575767270709668

Keyword Length (n) = 6 , IC = 0.0409509053353688

Keyword Length (n) = 7 , IC = 0.04166062944082544

As the result, we can determine that the keyword length of Message 1 = 5.

For Message 2, we get the following result:

Key Length (n) = 4 , IC = 0.04471388881468223

Key Length (n) = 5 , IC = 0.04133164277800678

Key Length (n) = 6 , IC = 0.07013502242860041

Key Length (n) = 7 , IC = 0.04247888079842709

As the result, we can determine that the keyword length of Message 2 = 6.

2. Solve the encryption keyword letters.

For Part 2, we solve the encryption keyword letters by the following steps:

1. Determine the alphabet frequency.
2. Assume the shift value of alphabet = n, $0 \leq n < 26$
3. Calculate the inner product of the shifted alphabet and the alphabet frequency.
4. Iterate step 2 and step 3 from $n = 0$ to $n = 25$, find the maximum inner product and determine the shift value.
5. Calculate the shift value of each group and find the keyword letters.

According to the step above, we get the result:

For Message 1, keyword letters = HOMER.

For Message 2, keyword letters = POIROT.

3. Break this ciphertext and recover the plaintext.

According to the keyword letters above, we can shift the alphabet of each group correctly and find the plaintext.

For Message 1:

SCEPTICISMISSMUCHTHERESULTOFKNOWLEDGEASKNOWLEDGEISOFSCPTICISMTO
BECONTENTWITHWHATWEATPRESENTKNOWISFORTHEMOSTPARTTOSHUTOUREARS
AGAINSTCONVICTIONSINCEFROMTHEVERYGRADUALCHARACTEROFONEEDUCATION
WEMUSTCONTINUALLYFORGETANDEMANCIPATEOURSELVESFROMKNOWLEDGEPREVI
OUSLYACQUIREDWEMUSTSETASIDEOLDNOTIONSANDEMBRACEFRESHONESANDASW
ELEARNWEMUSTBEDAILYUNLEARNINGSOMETHINGWHICHITHASCOSTUSNOSMALLA
BOURANDANXIETYTOACQUIREANDTHISDIFFICULTYATTACHESITSELFMORECLOSELYTO
ANAGEINWHICHPROGRESSHASGAINEDASTRONGASCENDENCYOVERPREJUDICEANDI
NWHICHPERSONSANDTHINGSAREDAYBYDAYFINDINGTHEIRREALLEVELINLIEUOF THEIR
CONVENTIONALVALUETHESAMEPRINCIPLESWHICHHAVESWEPTAWAYTRADITIONALAB
USESANDWHICHAREMAKINGRAPIDHAVOCAMONGTHEREVENUESOFSINECURISTSAN
DSTRIPPINGTHEHINTAWDRYVEILFROMATTRACTIVESUPERSTITIONSAREWORKINGAS
ACTIVELYINLITERATUREASINSOCIETYTHECREDULITYOFONEWRITERORTHEPARTIALITY
OFANOTHERFINDSASPOWERFULATOUCHSTONEANDASWHOLESOMEACHASTISEMENT
INTHEHEALTHYSCEPTICISMOFATEMPERATECLASSOFANTAGONISTSASTHEDREAMSOFC
ONSERVATISMORTHEIMPOSTURESOFPLURALISTSINECURESINTHECHURCHHISTORYAN
DTRADITIONWHETHEROFANCIENTORCOMPARATIVELYRECENTTIMESARESUBJECTEDT
OVERYDIFFERENTHANDLINGFROMTHATWHICHTHEINDULGENCEORCREDULITYOFFOR
MERAGESCOULDALLOWMERESTATEMENTSAREJEALOUSLYWATCHEDANDTHEMOTIVE
SOFTHEWRITERFORMASIMPORTANTANINGREDIENTINTHEANALYSISOFHISHISTORYAS
THEFACTSHERECORDSPROBABILITYISAPOWERFULANDTROUBLESOMETESTANDITISBY
THISTROUBLESOMESTANDARDTHATALARGEPORTIONOFHISTORICALEVIDENCEISSIFTE
DCONSISTENCYISNOLESSPERTINACIOUSANDEXACTINGINITSDEMANDSINBRIEFTOWRI
TEAHISTORYWEMUSTKNOWMORETHANMEREFACTSHUMANNATUREVIEWEDUNDER
ANINDUCTIONOFEXTENDEDEXPERIENCEISTHEBESTHELPTOTHECRITICISMOFHUMAN
HISTORYHISTORICALCHARACTERSCANONLYBEESTIMATEDBYTHESTANDARDWHICHHU

MAN EXPERIENCE WHETHER ACTUAL OR TRADITIONAL HAS FURNISHED TO FORM CORRECT VIEWS OF INDIVIDUALS WE MUST REGARD THEM AS FORMING PARTS OF A GREAT WHOLE WE MUST MEASURE THEM BY THEIR RELATION TO THE MASS OF BEINGS BY WHOM THEY ARE SURROUNDED AND IN CONTEMPLATING THE INCIDENTS IN THEIR LIVES OR CONDITIONS WHICH TRADITION HAS HANDED DOWN TO US WE MUST RATHER CONSIDER THE GENERAL BEARING OF THE WHOLE NARRATIVE THAN THE RESPECTIVE PROBABILITY OF ITS DETAILS

For Message 2:

THAT PROCESS SAID STARTS UP ON THE SUPPOSITION THAT WHEN YOU HAVE ELIMINATED ALL WHICH IS IMPOSSIBLE THEN WHATEVER REMAINS HOWEVER IMPROBABLE MUST BE THE TRUTH IT MAY WELL BE THAT SEVERAL EXPLANATIONS REMAIN IN WHICH CASE ONE TRIEST AFTER TEST UNTIL ONE OR OTHER OF THEM HAS A CONVINCING AMOUNT OF SUPPORT WE WILL NOW APPLY THIS PRINCIPLE TO THE CASE IN POINT AS IT WAS FIRST PRESENTED TO ME THERE WERE THREE POSSIBLE EXPLANATIONS OF THE SECLUSION OR INCARCERATION OF THIS GENTLEMAN IN AN OUTHOUSE OF HIS FATHER'S MANSION THERE WAS THE EXPLANATION THAT HE WAS HIDING FOR A CRIME OR THAT HE WAS MAD AND THAT THEY WISHED TO AVOID AN ASYLUM OR THAT HE HAD SOME DISEASE WHICH CAUSED HIS SEGREGATION I COULD THINK OF NO OTHER ADEQUATE SOLUTIONS THESE THEN HAD TO BE SIFTED AND BALANCED AGAINST EACH OTHER

Bonus 1: Recover the two hash values.

5f4dcc3b5aa765d61d8327deb882cf99

=> password

5a105e8b9d40e1329780d62ea2265d8a

=> test1

Bonus 2: Perfect secrecy achieved with RSA?

No, because the key must be changed every time the perfect password is sent, but the public key and private

key of RSA are unchanged, so it cannot be called as a perfect password.