

Term Project Report

Due date & time: December 13, 2024, 10:00AM

The term project report has to be submitted **on time**. The deadline may not be extended because the peer review process is part of the final examination. Please follow the requirements:

Language Everything has to be done in **English**, including the report content and the peer review comments.

Format The format of your report has to follow the format requirements for ACM proceedings (ACM Standard). You can get the information from ACM website at

<https://www.acm.org/publications/proceedings-template>

Sample report: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/1389095.1389169>

Note: The paper size for the report must be **A4** (preferred) or **Letter**.

Organization The report cannot exceed eight (8) pages. Everything has to fit into these pages. The organization of the report follows common organizations of journal or conference papers, such as

- Title;
- Author's name, affiliation, address, and email;
- Abstract;
- Full text of the paper, including sections, tables, figures, etc.;
- References.

Target Audience Consider that you would like to submit this report as a conference paper to an evolutionary computation related symposium. Most of your audience are EC experts. Please organize and prepare your term project report accordingly.

Submission Please submit one softcopy (in PDF) online **per group** before or on the deadline. No extension will be allowed.

Grading Policy The instructor will grade all reports.

Peer Review There will be a peer review process as a problem in the final examination. The evaluation of the peer review will **not** influence the grade of the reports being reviewed, but the quality of the evaluation will reflect on reviewers' scores of the final examination. The peer-review procedure is:

1. The reports are submitted to the NYCU E3 platform before due.
2. The instructor arranges the reviews for every student.
3. Each student will be issued a reviewer account for doing reviews. The review website (powered by iChair) is at:
<http://submission.nclab.tw/EC-2401/iChair/review/>
4. The most important factor of your own grade is your review comments, not the grades you assign to the reports reviewed by you. If you assign only grades and give no comments, you will get 0 point for the peer-review problem of your final examination.
5. You can give your evaluation and comments based on, but not limited to, the following seven (7) parts:
 - (a) Writing quality. Evaluate the organization, grammar, sentence structure, spelling, and clarity of the paper.
 - (b) Problem specification. Evaluate whether the paper clearly identifies the problem to solve or the goal to reach.
 - (c) Achievement of objectives. Evaluate whether the paper achieves its objectives, partially or in full.
 - (d) Strengths & weaknesses.
 - (e) Contributions. Evaluate the contributions of this paper.
 - (f) Suggestions. Make suggestions to the author(s) as regarding how to improve the paper.
 - (g) Reasons of your recommendation. Why do you assign the overall grade, say, 2, to this paper? Let the author(s) know your reasons, thoughts, and/or critics.
6. After all reviews come in, the comments will be sent back to the author(s) as feedback.
7. The peer review process is single-blind. The author(s) will not know the reviewers for the paper.