

## HW 2

(Q1. (a))

$$\begin{array}{l} \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 2.51 & 1.48 & 4.53 & 0.05 \\ 1.48 & 0.93 & -1.30 & 1.03 \\ 2.68 & 3.04 & -1.48 & -0.53 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 2.51 & 1.48 & 4.53 & 0.0500 \\ 0 & 0.0593 & -3.97 & 1.00 \\ 0 & 1.46 & -6.32 & -0.583 \end{array} \right] \\ = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 2.51 & 1.48 & 4.53 & 0.0500 \\ 0 & 0.0593 & -3.97 & 1.00 \\ 0 & 0 & 94.8 & -26.1 \end{array} \right] \quad x = 1.46 \quad y = -1.60 \quad z = -0.275 \end{array}$$

$$2.51(1.46) + 1.48(-1.60) + 4.53(-0.275) = 0.05085$$

$$1.48(1.46) + 0.93(-1.60) - 1.30(-0.275) = 1.03030$$

$$2.68(1.46) + 3.04(-1.60) - 1.48(-0.275) = -0.54420$$

They actually match quite well! The total error is  
 $|0.05085 - 0.05| + |1.03030 - 1.03| + |-0.54420 - (-0.53)|$   
 $= 0.00085 + 0.0003 + 0.0142 = 0.01535$

(b)

$$\begin{array}{l} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 2.51 & 1.48 & 4.53 & 0.05 \\ 1.48 & 0.93 & -1.30 & 1.03 \\ 2.68 & 3.04 & -1.48 & -0.53 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 2.68 & 3.04 & -1.48 & -0.53 \\ 2.51 & 1.48 & 4.53 & 0.05 \\ 1.48 & 0.93 & -1.30 & 1.03 \end{array} \right] \\ = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 2.68 & 3.04 & -1.48 & -0.530 \\ 0 & -1.37 & 5.92 & 0.546 \\ 0 & -0.749 & -0.483 & 1.32 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 2.68 & 3.04 & -1.48 & -0.530 \\ 0 & -1.37 & 5.92 & 0.546 \\ 0 & 0 & -3.72 & 1.02 \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

$$x = 1.44 \quad y = -1.58 \quad z = -0.274$$

$$2.51(1.44) + 1.48(-1.58) + 4.53(-0.274) = 0.03478$$

$$1.48(1.44) + 0.93(-1.58) - 1.30(-0.274) = 1.018$$

$$2.68(1.44) + 3.04(-1.58) - 1.48(-0.274) = -0.53848$$

Surprisingly, they did worse than (a), it may just be a coincidence though. The total error is  
 $|0.03478 - 0.05| + |1.018 - 1.03| + |-0.53848 - (-0.53)|$   
 $= 0.01522 + 0.012 + 0.00848 = 0.0357$

$$(c) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 2.51 & 1.48 & 4.53 & 0.05 \\ 1.48 & 0.93 & -1.30 & 1.03 \\ 2.68 & 3.04 & -1.48 & -0.53 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 2.68 & 3.04 & -1.48 & -0.53 \\ 2.51 & 1.48 & 4.53 & 0.05 \\ 1.48 & 0.93 & -1.30 & 1.03 \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 2.68 & 3.04 & -1.48 & -0.53 \\ 0 & -1.36 & 5.91 & 0.546 \\ 0 & -0.748 & -0.482 & 1.32 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 2.68 & 3.04 & -1.48 & -0.53 \\ 0 & -1.36 & 5.91 & 0.546 \\ 0 & 0 & -3.73 & 1.01 \end{array} \right]$$

$$x = 1.43 \quad y = -1.57 \quad z = -0.270$$

$$2.51(1.43) + 1.48(-1.57) + 4.53(-0.270) = 0.0426$$

$$1.48(1.43) + 0.93(-1.57) - 1.30(-0.270) = 1.0073$$

$$2.68(1.43) + 3.04(-1.57) - 1.48(-0.270) = -0.5408$$

This result did even worse than (a) & (b), but this is not as surprising since it chops number off instead of rounding it up in some cases. The total error is

$$|0.0426 - 0.05| + |1.0073 - 1.03| + |-0.5408 - (-0.53)|$$

$$= 0.0074 + 0.0227 + 0.0108 = 0.0409$$

Q2.

(a) (b) are provided in the code.

(c). Modify matrix:

From  $i=2 \sim n$  with 2 operations yields  $(n-1) \times 2 = 2(n-1)$ .

Backward substitution:

From  $i=n \sim 1$  with 1 operation yields  $n \times 1 = n$

$2(n-1) + n = 3n-1$  operations in total.

Q3. is in the given code.

Q4.

Using the given code, here is the table:

W	iterations	W	iterations
1.0	112	1.43	29
1.1	90	1.44	24
1.2	70	1.45	25
1.3	53	1.46	23 *
1.4	35	1.47	26
1.5	29 *	1.48	26
1.6	44	1.49	29
1.7	95	1.51	29
1.8	X	1.52	32
1.9	X	1.53	32
2.0	X	1.54	32
		1.55	31

Q5. The result is calculated in the given code.

- (a). condition =  $10^{20}$   $\rightarrow$  ill-conditioned
- (b). condition = 1  $\rightarrow$  well-conditioned
- (c). condition = 1  $\rightarrow$  well-conditioned
- (d). condition =  $\infty$   $\rightarrow$  ill-conditioned