# Springboard Capstone Project I: Healthcare Provider Fraud Detection: Inferential Statistics Zheng Zhang April 8, 2020

In order to identify the factors that could distinguish the potentially fraudulent providers from the others, we looked at both provider level variable (such as the indicator variable that flags the providers as potentially fraudulent) as well as patient level variables such as gender and baseline chronic conditions. After the extensive exploratory data analyses, we have identified several factors that may impact the claim amount, specifically:

### I. Patient level factors

1. Gender: Question: Is there a difference of mean claim amount between man and woman?

Approach: We used two-sample t-test to compare inpatient or outpatient mean claim amount between men and women.

Results: There is no statistical significant difference for mean inpatient claim amount (\$3641 vs. \$3675, P=0.51). However, there is a statistical significant difference for mean outpatient claim amount (\$1278 vs. \$1313, P=0.009), albeit the difference is small (\$35).

2. Chronic disease: Is there difference of mean claim amount between patients with chronic disease and those without?

Approach: We used two-sample t-test to compare inpatient or outpatient mean claim amount between patients with the condition vs. those without.

Result: Across the board, the patients with the condition have significant higher claim amounts compared with the patients without on average, as shown in the following tables.

#### 2a. Inpatient:

Condition	Yes	No	P-value
Alzheimer	5371	2809	< 0.0001
<b>Heart Failure</b>	5422	1943	< 0.0001
<b>Kidney Disease</b>	7501	1916	< 0.0001
Cancer	6068	3332	< 0.0001
Obstructive	7362	2510	< 0.0001
Pulmonary			
Depression	5022	2909	< 0.0001
Diabetes	4871	1831	< 0.0001

Ischemic Heart	4698	1497	< 0.0001
Osteoporosis	4608	3301	< 0.0001
Rheumatoid	5102	3162	< 0.0001
Arthritis			
Stroke	8111	3278	< 0.0001

## 2b. Outpatient:

Condition	Yes	No	P-value
Alzheimer	1623	1136	<0.0001
<b>Heart Failure</b>	1671	935	< 0.0001
<b>Kidney Disease</b>	2062	951	< 0.0001
Cancer	1788	1231	< 0.0001
Obstructive	1811	1139	< 0.0001
Pulmonary			
Depression	1604	1130	< 0.0001
Diabetes	1607	831	< 0.0001
<b>Ischemic Heart</b>	1521	834	< 0.0001
Osteoporosis	1495	1224	< 0.0001
Rheumatoid	1548	1212	< 0.0001
Arthritis			
Stroke	1925	1244	<0.0001

# II. Patient level factors: Potentially Fraud vs. Not

Question: Is there difference of mean number of claims and the total claim amount between the providers who are flagged as "potentially fraud" vs. those that are not?

Approach: We used two-sample t-test to compare inpatient or outpatient mean claim number and the total amount between providers who are flagged as "potentially fraud" vs. those who are not.

Result: Across the board, the providers that are potentially fraudulent have filed significantly more claims and significant higher total claim amount compared to those who are not flagged on average, as shown in the following tables.

	Fraud	Not-Fraud	P-value
Number of claims - inpatient	46.2	3.5	<0.0001
Number of claims - outpatient	374	67	<0.0001
Claim amount - inpatient(\$)	476,855	34,056	<0.0001
Claim amount - outpatient(\$)	107,495	19,138	<0.0001