$(p \cdot q) :: (q \cdot p)$

 $(p \lor q) :: (q \lor p)$

Contraposition (Contrap.)
$$(p \supset q) :: (\sim q \supset \sim p)$$

DeMorgan's (DeM.)

 $((p \lor q) \lor r) :: (p \lor (q \lor r))$ $((p \cdot q) \cdot r) :: (p \cdot (q \cdot r))$

$$\sim (p \lor q) :: (\sim p \cdot \sim q)$$
$$\sim (p \cdot q) :: (\sim p \lor \sim q)$$

$$(p \equiv q) :: ((p \supset q) \cdot (q \supset p))$$

Conditional Exchange (C.E.)

$$(p\supset q)::(\sim p\vee q)$$

Distribution (Dist.)

$$\begin{array}{l} (p\cdot (q\vee r))::((p\cdot q)\vee (p\cdot r))\\ (p\vee (q\cdot r))::((p\vee q)\cdot (p\vee r)) \end{array}$$

$$((p\cdot q)\supset r)::(p\supset (q\supset r))$$

- C. Restrictions on the Use of C.P. and I.P.
- 1. Every assumption made in a proof must eventually be discharged.
- 2. Once an assumption has been discharged, neither it nor any step that falls

within its scope may be used in the proof again.

- 3. Assumptions inside the scope of other assumptions must be discharged in the reverse order in which they were made; that is, not two schope markers may cross.
- D. General Instructions for Using C.P. and I.P.
 - 1. For both C.P. and I.P., an assumption may be introduced at any point in the proof, provided we label it as an assumption.
 - 2. In using C.P., we assume the antecedent of the conditional to be proved and then derive the consequent. In using I.P., we assume the opposite of what we want to prove and then derive a contradiction. All the steps from the assumption to the consequent (for C.P.) or the contradiction (for I.P.) are said to be within the scope of the assumption.

Quantifier Negation (Q.N.) Equivalences

1.
$$\sim (\exists x)\phi x \equiv (x) \sim \delta x$$

2.
$$\sim (x)\phi x \equiv (\exists x) \sim \phi x$$

3.
$$\sim (\exists x) \sim \phi x \equiv (x)\phi x$$

4.
$$\sim (x) \sim \phi x \equiv (\exists x) \phi x$$

STATEMENT OF THE QUANTIFIER RULES, WITH ALL NECESSARY RESTRICTIONS

- A. Preliminary Definitions
- 1. ϕx is a propositional function on x, simple or complex. If complex it is assumed that it is enclosed in parentheses, so that the scope of any prefixed quantifier extends to the end of the formula.
- 2. ϕa is a formula just like ϕx , except that every occurrence of x in ϕx has been replaced by an a.
- 3. An instance of a general formula is the result of deleting the initial quantifier and replacing each variable bound by that quantifier uniformly with some name.

- 4. An a-flagged subproof is a subproof that begins with the words "flag a" and ends with some instance containing a.
- B. The Four Quantifier Rules
 Universal Instantiation (U.I.)

Existential Instantiation (E.I.)

$$\frac{(x)\phi x}{/ \therefore \phi a}$$

 $\frac{(\exists x)\phi x}{/ \therefore \phi a} \quad provided \ we \ flag \ a$

Existential Generalization (E.G.)

Universal Generalization (U.G.)

 $\frac{\phi a}{/ \therefore (\exists x) \phi x}$

- C. Flagging Restrictions
- 1. A letter being flagged must be new to the proof; that is, it may not appear, either in a formula or as a letter being flagged, previous to the step in which it gets flagged.
- 2. A flagged letter may not appear either in the premises or in the conclusion of a proof.
- 3. A flagged letter may not appear outside the subproof in which it gets flagged.