constraint satisfaction problems (CSP)

- states: variables \$X_i \in D_i\$ and some constraints limiting the allowed combinations of values
- an assignment is:
 - consistent if there are no constraint violations
 - o complete if there are no unassigned variables
- a **solution** is a complete, consistent assignment

restriction graph

- variables are nodes, constraints are edges
- used by algorithms to speed up searching

variables

- discrete
 - o finite domains
 - future assumption: each variable's domain has \$d\$ unique values
 - infinite domains
 - ex: real numbers, character strings
- continuous
 - linear constraints

constraints

- unary: \$X_1 \neq 2\$
- binary: \$X_1 \neq X_2\$
 - o binary CSP problems: unary/binary constraints
- superior order (\$\geq 3\$)
- preferences (soft restrictions)
 - o usually represented as costs
 - o optimization problems

search algorithms

- initial state: no variables assigned
- successor function: assigning an unassigned variable
- objective testing: no variables unassigned
- solutions: depth \$n\$ in the tree
- ramification factor: \$nd\$, \$(n-1)d\$, ...
 - \$n!d^n\$ leaves, \$d^n\$ possible assignments

backtracking

- basically DFS
- assignments are commutative ($X_1 = 1$, $X_2 = 2$ is identical to $X_2 = 1$, $X_1 = 2$)
- one assignment per tree node

• the basic uninformed algorithm

improving backtracking

- 1. which variable must be assigned?
- 2. what order do the values need to be checked in?
- 3. can we detect failure early?
- 4. can we take advantage of the problem's structure?

minimum-remaining-values

- assign to the variable with the least allowed values (the most constrained)
- "fail-first" heuristic

least-constraining-value

choose the value least constrained (which excludes the least values from adjacent variables)

forward checking

- idea: update the domain of unassigned variables
- when we select a value for a variable, eliminate it from connected variable's domains

constraint propagation

- forward checking propagates info to unassigned variables, but does not ensure early failure detection
- need to propagate between unassigned variables
- arc consistency the simplest form of propagation, makes each arc consistent
 - \$X \rightarrow Y\$ consistent \$\iff \forall x \in X, \exists \$ allowed \$y \in Y\$
 - o if \$X\$ loses a value, \$X\$'s neighbors need to be checked
 - o fails faster than forward checking
 - o can be executed as a preprocessing step or after every assignment
- using constraint propagation implies an increase in execution time
 - o 3-consistency (path-consistency), k-consistency
 - k-consistency any consistent assignment of \$k-1\$ variables can be extended to a \$k\$ variable instantiation
 - o if a CSP problem with \$n\$ variables is \$n\$-consistent, then backtracking is no longer necessary
- a compromise is needed between propagation and searching
 - o if propagation takes longer than searching, it's useless
- directional consistency

conflict-directed backjumping (CBJ)

- bkt: return to the previous variable to assign a new value
 - o go back one level
- when we reach a point of conflict, we can try to identify the cause
 - o go back to the source of the problem
- idea: keep a *conflict set* for each variable (updated with variable assignments)

- consider current variable \$X_i\$ its conflict set is the set of previously-assigned variables connected to \$X_i\$ (due to constraints)
- if we can't find a valid assignment for \$X_i\$, go back to \$X_k\$, the deepest in \$X_i\$'s conflict set
- update X_k 's conflict set: $S(X_k) = CS(X_k) \setminus CS(X_i) \{X_k\}$

problem structure and decomposition

- recognize independent subproblems
 - o connected components of the constraint graph
 - solve them separately

tree-structured CSPs

- theorem: if the constraint graph is a tree, it can be solved in \$O(nd^2)\$ time
 - way better than the normal \$O(d^n)\$
- a CSP is *directed arc-consistent* for an ordering of the variables \$X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \iff X_i\$ is arc consistent with \$X_j, \forall j > i\$
 - o choose a root variable
 - o order the variables root-to-leaves s.t. the parent of a node precedes it
 - o for \$j = \overline{n,2}\$, apply MakeArcConsistent(Xj.parent, Xj)
 - o for \$j = \overline{1,n}\$, assign \$X_j\$

almost tree-structured CSPs

- choose a subset \$S\$ of variables s.t. the constraint graph becomes a free after \$S\$ is erased (cutset)
- for each assignment of the variables in \$\$\$, erase inconsistent values from the domains of other variables

local search

- hill-climbing and simulated annealing work with complete assignments
- to apply them to CSPs:
 - o allow states with unsatisfied constraints
 - o have operators for variable re-assignment
- variable selection: a random, conflicting variable
- selecting value: using the min-conflicts heuristic
 - o choose the value that creates the least conflicts
 - \$h(n)\$ the number of violated constraints
- algorithm summary: start from an inconsistent, complete assignment, and gradually fix it to have less and less conflicts

conclusions

- CSPs states (assignments), constraints
- BKT: DFS with one assignment per node
- heuristics for ordering the variables and selecting their values
- forward-checking prevents assignments which guarantee future failure; arc-consistency further constrains values

- representation using the constraint graph permits problem structure analysis; tree-like CSPs can be solved in linear time
- local-search methods are usually efficient in practice