

Bias reduction in Y-90 PET with reconstruction that relaxes the non-negativity constraint

Hongki Lim¹ Kyungsang Kim ³ Quanzheng Li ³
Jeffrey A. Fessler¹ Yuni K. Dewaraja²

¹Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
University of Michigan

²Radiology
University of Michigan


³Gordon Center for Medical Imaging
Massachusetts General Hospital

SNMMI Annual Meeting 2018

Particulars about Y-90 imaging

- Y-90: Radioisotope for radioembolization
 - Almost pure beta emitter
 - Very low probability of positron emission : 3.2×10^{-5}
 - ^{176}Lu and Bremsstrahlung photons contribute to random coincidences
→ Low true coincidence counts & very high random fraction

Figure: True/Random counts in measurement of our Y90 phantom study



Reported Problems

- Several Y-90 PET papers^{1,2} reported bias in quantification
- Bias direction in calculation of the absorbed dose
 - Underestimation in hot (lesion) and warm (liver) region
→ Inaccurate absorbed dose-effect relationship
 - Overestimation in cold (no activity) region and total dose
→ False alarm due to high extra-hepatic (i.e., lung) deposition

¹Carlier, Thomas, et al. "Y90 PET imaging: Exploring limitations and accuracy under conditions of low counts and high random fraction." Medical physics 42.7 (2015): 4295-4309.

²Pasciak, Alexander S., et al. "Radioembolization and the dynamic role of 90Y PET/CT." Frontiers in oncology 4 (2014)

Formulation of emission tomography

- Measurement follows Poisson statistical model:

$$Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\bar{y}_i(\mathbf{x})), \quad i = 1, \dots, n_d$$

where, $\bar{y}_i(\mathbf{x}) = [\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}]_i + \bar{r}_i$

- (Negative) Poisson log likelihood function $f(\mathbf{x})$:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \bar{y}_i(\mathbf{x}) - y_i \log(\bar{y}_i(\mathbf{x}))$$

- Goal of conventional emission tomography:



$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(\mathbf{x})$$

subject to $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$

Limitation of conventional constraint


- Cases in negative Poisson log-likelihood function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} [\mathbf{Ax}]_i + \bar{r}_i - y_i \log([\mathbf{Ax}]_i + \bar{r}_i), & y_i > 0, \quad [\mathbf{Ax}]_i + \bar{r}_i > 0 \\ [\mathbf{Ax}]_i + \bar{r}_i, & y_i = 0 \\ \infty, & y_i > 0, \quad [\mathbf{Ax}]_i + \bar{r}_i \leq 0 \end{cases}$$



Bias introduced in cold region

- Histogram in cold region (where there is no activity)



Proposed method

- Enforce non-negativity on projection space:



$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}),$$

subject to $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$





$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}),$$

subject to $\mathbf{Ax} + \bar{\mathbf{r}} \geq 0$



Overview of our proposed method

- Histogram in cold region (where there is no activity)



Changing the formulation to solvable form

- To solve the new formulation, we introduce a function $g(\cdot)$ and an auxiliary variable \mathbf{v} :

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}), \quad \text{subject to } \mathbf{Ax} + \bar{\mathbf{r}} \geq 0$$

\Updownarrow

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{Ax} + \bar{\mathbf{r}}), \text{ where } g(\eta) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{any } \eta_i < 0 \\ 0, & \text{all } \eta_i \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

\Updownarrow

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} \min_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{1}^T (\mathbf{v} + \bar{\mathbf{r}}) - \mathbf{y}^T \log(\mathbf{v} + \bar{\mathbf{r}}) + g(\mathbf{v} + \bar{\mathbf{r}}) \quad \text{subject to } \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{Ax}$$

- We form augmented Lagrangian based on above minimization problem:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{1}^T (\mathbf{v} + \bar{\mathbf{r}}) - \mathbf{y}^T \log(\mathbf{v} + \bar{\mathbf{r}}) + g(\mathbf{v} + \bar{\mathbf{r}}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{v}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{v}\|_2^2$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} \min_{\mathbf{v}} \max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \Psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})^3$$

³Lim, Hongki, Yuni K. Dewaraja, and Jeffrey A. Fessler. "A PET reconstruction formulation that enforces non-negativity in projection space for bias reduction in Y-90 imaging." Physics in medicine and biology (2018)

Comparison with related works

- Neg-ML⁴ minimizes a modified data fit term $f_{\text{N-ML}}(\mathbf{x})$:

$$f_{\text{N-ML}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \tilde{q}_i([\mathbf{Ax}]_i)$$

$$\tilde{q}_i(t) = \begin{cases} t + \bar{r}_i - y_i \log(t + \bar{r}_i), & t + \bar{r}_i \geq \psi \\ \frac{(y_i - t - \bar{r}_i)^2}{2\psi} - y_i \log \psi + \psi - \frac{(y_i - \psi)^2}{2\psi}, & t + \bar{r}_i < \psi. \end{cases}$$

→ the Poisson distribution is replaced by Gaussian distribution when the estimated measurement is below than the parameter ψ .

⁴Nuyts, J., et al. "A dedicated ML-algorithm for non-attenuation corrected PET whole body images." Nuclear Science Symposium Conference Record, 2000 IEEE. Vol. 2. IEEE, 2000.

Regularization for extremely low-count imaging

- Add regularization term to cost function to penalize the roughness and control the noise:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(\mathbf{x}) + \beta R(\mathbf{x})$$

subject to $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \bar{\mathbf{r}} \geq 0$ (proposed)
or $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ (conventional),

- Implemented a quadratic regularization for $R(\mathbf{x})$:

$$R(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{([\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}]_k)^2}{2}$$

where \mathbf{C} is a $K \times n_p$ finite differencing matrix.

Evaluation metrics

- Contrast Recovery (CR):


$$\text{CR} = \frac{C_{\text{hotspot}}/C_{\text{bkg}} - 1}{R - 1} \times 100(\%),$$

- C_{hotspot} : Mean counts in hot spot.
- C_{bkg} : Mean counts in background liver.
- R : True activity concentration ratio between hot sphere and liver.
- Noise:
- STD_{bkg} : Standard deviation of counts in background liver.
- Contrast to Noise Ratio (CNR):

$$\text{CNR} = \frac{C_{\text{hotspot}} - C_{\text{bkg}}}{STD_{\text{bkg}}}$$

Simulation results summary


- Lim, Hongki, Yuni K. Dewaraja, and Jeffrey A. Fessler. "A PET reconstruction formulation that enforces non-negativity in projection space for bias reduction in Y-90 imaging." Physics in medicine and biology (2018).
- Simulated Y-90 PET with XCAT phantom



	Patient A		Patient B	
	CR	Noise	CR	Noise
NEG-ML-Reg ($\psi = 4$)	80.0	12.1	54.3	6.2
NEG-ML-Reg ($\psi = 10^{-3}$)	91.6	40.9	87.2	39.9
Proposed (ADMM-Reg)	91.7	41.6	87.3	40.0

Experimental setting

- A liver/lung torso phantom setting



- Lung: 5% lung shunt
- Liver: 1.2 MBq/mL at Day 0
- 3 hepatic lesions: 6.5 MBq/mL at Day 0
- Scanned for 30 minutes

- Acquisition at two time points


	Day 0	Day 3
Total Y-90 activity (GBq)	1.96	0.96
Total Net Trues	220K	120K
Total Randoms	2.1M	1.7M
Total Prompts	2.3M	1.8M
Random Fraction (%)	91	94

$$\text{* Random Fraction} = (\text{Total randoms} / \text{Total prompts}) \times 100$$


- Reconstructed with TOF data and TOF system model (13 time bins)

Reconstructed images at Day 0 & Day 3

- For visualization, iteration number selected to achieve highest CNR
- Day 0 images: Proposed method improved CNR by 12.9% compared to EM



- Day 3 images: Proposed method improved CNR by 16.2% compared to EM



- NEGML gave lower CNR than EM at both acquisition points

Evaluation results

- CR-H1/H2/H3: Contrast recovery at 29/16/8 ml hot spheres
- Selected iteration number to achieve equivalent noise
- Proposed method achieved highest contrast recovery in both data acquisition points.

Table: Evaluation results on methods

	Day 0				Day 3			
	CR-H1	CR-H2	CR-H3	Noise	CR-H1	CR-H2	CR-H3	Noise
EM	49.9	41.8	41.6	55.0	50.9	40.0	37.1	85.6
NEG-ML-Reg ¹	48.1	40.5	39.2	54.3	51.1	40.7	37.8	85.9
NEG-ML-Reg ²	48.0	40.5	39.2	54.0	51.2	40.8	37.9	85.6
Proposed method	65.5	50.5	49.1	55.1	74.9	53.8	46.8	85.4

- NEG-ML-Reg¹: $\psi := 2 \times \text{mean counts of sinogram}$
- NEG-ML-Reg²: $\psi := 10^{-3} \times \text{mean counts of sinogram}$

Evaluation results

- CR-H1/H2/H3: Contrast recovery at 29/16/8 ml hot spheres
- Evaluate the converged images (100 iterations)
- Proposed method achieved highest contrast recovery in both data acquisition points.

Table: Evaluation results on methods

	Day 0				Day 3			
	CR-H1	CR-H2	CR-H3	Noise	CR-H1	CR-H2	CR-H3	Noise
NEG-ML-Reg ¹	51.9	43.8	43.6	97.6	54.8	42.5	43.2	146.5
NEG-ML-Reg ²	51.9	43.8	43.6	95.0	54.5	42.4	42.5	136.8
Proposed method	66.4	51.6	51.0	62.2	80.0	57.6	52.3	106.2

- NEG-ML-Reg¹: $\psi := 2 \times \text{mean counts of sinogram}$
- NEG-ML-Reg²: $\psi := 10^{-3} \times \text{mean counts of sinogram}$

Discussion & Conclusion

- Our proposed algorithm is distinct in avoiding modifying or approximating the Poisson log-likelihood used in the data term compared to related works (NEG-ML, AB-EMML).
- Applicable to other low true count rates and high random fractions imaging situations
 - Ion-beam therapy
- Future works
 - Implement and test ordered subsets version
 - Use matched TOF projector for regularized methods
- This work is supported by NIH(NIBIB) grant R01EB022075
- We acknowledge Siemens for providing TOF projector and e7 tools

Thank You