

## Part II — Theory

### Problem 2

You have proven in class that the OLS estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  is a consistent estimator of  $\beta$  in the following simple regression:

$$y = \alpha + \beta x + u$$

Given such an estimator, define an estimator of  $\alpha$  by  $\hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x}$ . Show that this estimator is consistent.

We first take the average of the regression equation:

$$\bar{y} = \alpha + \beta\bar{x} + \bar{u}$$

as  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are constants, we can remove the bars from them.

Then we substitute  $\bar{y}$  into the estimator:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\alpha} &= \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x} \\ &= \alpha + \beta\bar{x} + \bar{u} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x} \\ &= \alpha + (\beta - \hat{\beta})\bar{x} + \bar{u}\end{aligned}$$

As  $\hat{\beta}$  is a consistent estimator of  $\beta$ , we have  $\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} \beta$ , implying that  $(\beta - \hat{\beta}) \xrightarrow{p} 0$ .

Also,  $\bar{u} \xrightarrow{p} 0$  as the error term is assumed to be mean zero.

Therefore,  $\hat{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} \alpha$  and  $\hat{\alpha}$  is a consistent estimator of  $\alpha$ .

### Problem 3

Let's consider the following model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ki} + u_i$ , for  $i = 1 \dots n$ . We use OLS to estimate the model. We assume  $\mathbb{E}[x_i u_i] = 0$  and that  $\mathbb{E}[x_i x_i^T]$  is non-singular. Prove that

$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(u_i)$ .

*Hint: Start by proving that  $\hat{u}_i = (u_i - \bar{u}) - \sum_{j=1}^k (\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j)(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j)$ .*

We use OLS to estimate the parameters and define the residuals as

$$\hat{u}_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i,$$

where the fitted values are

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k x_{ki}.$$

Using the results from Problem 2, we can express the intercept as,

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\beta}_j \bar{x}_j,$$

Thus, the fitted value can be rewritten as

$$\hat{y}_i = \bar{y} + \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\beta}_j (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j),$$

and the residual becomes

$$\hat{u}_i = y_i - \bar{y} - \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\beta}_j (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j).$$

Then we try to express  $y_i - \bar{y}$  in terms of the true model.

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{ki} + u_i,$$

the sample mean is

$$\bar{y} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \bar{x}_1 + \cdots + \beta_k \bar{x}_k + \bar{u},$$

Subtracting  $\bar{y}$  from  $y_i$ , we have

$$y_i - \bar{y} = \beta_1 (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1) + \cdots + \beta_k (x_{ki} - \bar{x}_k) + (u_i - \bar{u}).$$

Substitute this expression into the residual:

$$\hat{u}_i = [\beta_1 (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1) + \cdots + \beta_k (x_{ki} - \bar{x}_k) + (u_i - \bar{u})] - \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\beta}_j (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j).$$

By summing the terms with  $\beta$

$$\hat{u}_i = (u_i - \bar{u}) + \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\beta}_j (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j).$$

Then we factor out  $x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j$  to have

$$\hat{u}_i = (u_i - \bar{u}) - \sum_{j=1}^k (\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j) (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j)$$

We now turn to the estimator

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n - k - 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2$$

we square both sides of the expression for  $\hat{u}_i$ :

$$\hat{u}_i^2 = (u_i - \bar{u})^2 - 2(u_i - \bar{u}) \sum_{j=1}^k (\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j) (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j) + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k (\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j) (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j) \right]^2.$$

Averaging over  $i$  gives

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i - \bar{u})^2 - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i - \bar{u}) \sum_{j=1}^k (\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j)(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k (\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j)(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j) \right]^2.$$

1. **First Term:**

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i - \bar{u})^2.$$

By the Law of Large Numbers, this sample variance converges in probability to  $\sigma^2$ .

2. **Second Term:** Since  $\mathbb{E}[x_i u_i] = 0$  and that  $\mathbb{E}[x_i x_i^T]$  is non-singular, we have that  $\hat{\beta}_j$  is a consistent estimator of  $\beta_j$  and  $\hat{\beta}_j \xrightarrow{p} \beta_j$ . Thus, we have

$$\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i - \bar{u}) \sum_{j=1}^k (\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j)(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j) \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

3. **Third Term:** Similarly, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k (\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j)(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j) \right]^2 \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i - \bar{u})^2,$$

The right hand side is by definition the sample variance of the residuals, which converges in probability to  $\sigma^2$ . Thus, we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2 \xrightarrow{p} \sigma^2.$$

Since

$$\frac{n}{n-k-1} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

dividing by  $n-k-1$  rather than  $n$  does not affect the limit. Thus, we conclude that

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2 \xrightarrow{p} \sigma^2,$$

which means that  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .