CO240 - Models of Computation

Tutorial Sheets

Tutorial 1 - Expressions

1. Consider the **big-step** operational semantics for the language SimpleExp given in the lectures. Find a number n such that

$$(4+1) + (2+2) \Downarrow n$$

Give the full derivation tree.

$$(\text{B-NUM}) \frac{4 \Downarrow 4}{(\text{B-ADD})} \frac{(\text{B-NUM}) \frac{1 \Downarrow 1}{1 \Downarrow 1}}{(\text{B-ADD})} \frac{(\text{B-NUM}) \frac{2 \Downarrow 2}{2 \Downarrow 2}}{(2+2) \Downarrow 2} \frac{(\text{B-NUM}) \frac{2 \Downarrow 2}{2 \Downarrow 2}}{(2+2) \Downarrow 2}$$

2. The big-step operation semantics for SimpleExp was only given for addition. Extend it to include multiplication. Give a proof that $((3+2)\times(1+4)) \downarrow 25$

To do this, we need to add an additional rule as follows;

(B-MUL)
$$\frac{E_1 \Downarrow n_1 \qquad E_2 \Downarrow n_2}{E_1 \times E_2 \Downarrow n_3} n_3 = n_1 \times n_2$$

Hence we can do the following;

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(B-NUM)} \\ \text{(B-ADD)} \\ \hline \text{(B-MUL)} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{(B-NUM)} \\ \hline \\ \text{(B-MUL)} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{(B-NUM)} \\ \hline \\ \text{(B-ADD)} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{(B-NUM)} \\ \hline \\ \text{(1+4)} \\ \text{\downarrow 5} \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$$

3. Extend the **big-step** semantics further to include *subtraction*. Remember that the numbers in the syntax of the language are $0, 1, 2, \ldots$ (no negative numbers).

How is an expression such as (3-7) handled in your semantics? Have you made any arbitrary decisions about this? If so, what other options were available?

Note that this question has multiple valid options; we can either introduce a NaN concept, representing an "invalid" operation, which has to be propagated in all rules, or we could have it be some value. The latter can lead to ambiguity, because if we had $(3-7) \downarrow 0$, and also $(4-7) \downarrow 0$, we may unexpected results.

- 4. Recall the **small-step** operational semantics of *SimpleExp*.
 - (a) Give the full derivation of the first step of evaluation of ((1+2)+(4+3)) give the derivation tree of the step (for some expression E);

$$((1+2)+(4+3)) \to E$$

For the first step, we have the following:

(S-LEFT)
$$\frac{\text{(S-ADD)} \ \overline{(1+2) \to 3}}{((1+2) + (4+3)) \to (3+(4+3))}$$

(b) Write down all the steps of evaluation needed to reduce the above expression to 10. Give the full derivation for each of these steps.

Note that the **evaluation path** is:

$$((1+2)+(4+3)) \to (3+(4+3)) \to (3+7) \to 10$$

The derivation tree for each step is as follows;

(S-RIGHT)
$$\frac{(S-ADD)}{(4+3) \to 7} \frac{}{(3+(4+3)) \to (3+7)}$$

Followed by;

(S-ADD)
$$\frac{}{(3+7) \to 10}$$

5. Here is the abstract syntax for a simple language *Bool* of boolean expressions:

$$B \in Bool ::= \mathsf{true} \mid \mathsf{false} \mid B \& B \mid \neg B \mid \mathsf{if} \ B \ \mathsf{then} \ B \ \mathsf{else} \ B$$

Intuitively, every expression evaluates to either true or false.

(a) Give a **small-step** operational semantics for *Bool*.

$$B_1 \rightarrow B_1'$$

$$B_1 \& B_2 \rightarrow B_1' \& B_2$$

$$B_2 \rightarrow B_2'$$

$$true \& B_2 \rightarrow true \& B_2'$$

$$B_2 \rightarrow B_2'$$

$$false \& B_2 \rightarrow false \& B_2'$$

$$true \& true \rightarrow true$$

$$true \& false \rightarrow false$$

$$false \& true \rightarrow false$$

$$false \& false \rightarrow false$$

$$alse \& false \rightarrow false$$

$$alse \& false \rightarrow false$$

$$alse & false$$

$$al$$

Note that these are all evaluated right-to-left.

(b) Write down all the steps of evaluation needed to reduce the following expression to a result:

$$\neg$$
(if (false&true) then (if true then (false&true) else false) else \neg true) $\rightarrow \neg$ (if false then (if true then (false&true) else false) else \neg true) $\rightarrow \neg$ (\neg true) $\rightarrow \neg$ false \rightarrow true

6. The syntax of SimpleExp is extended with a new operator?, as follows;

$$E \in SimpleExp ::= \dots \mid (E?E)$$

This operator allows the implementation to choose to give the result of E_1 , or E_2 , when given E_1 ? E_2 .

(a) Extend the **big-step** operational semantics with rules for ? that capture this meaning.

$$(\text{B-CHOICE-1}) \ \ \frac{E_1 \Downarrow n_1}{E_1?E_2 \Downarrow n_1} \qquad \qquad (\text{B-CHOICE-2}) \ \ \frac{E_2 \Downarrow n_2}{E_1?E_2 \Downarrow n_2}$$

(b) For what values of n does $(0?1) + (2?3) \downarrow n$?

$$(B-NUM) \frac{1}{0 \Downarrow 0} \qquad (B-NUM) \frac{1}{2 \Downarrow 2} \\ (B-CHOICE-1) \frac{1}{(0?1) \Downarrow 0} \qquad (B-CHOICE-1) \frac{1}{2 (2?3) \Downarrow 2} \\ (B-ADD) \frac{1}{(0?1) + (2?3) \Downarrow 2} \\ (B-NUM) \frac{1}{(0?1) \Downarrow 0} \qquad (B-NUM) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-1) \frac{1}{(0?1) \Downarrow 0} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{(2?3) \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-ADD) \frac{1}{(0?1) \Downarrow 1} \qquad (B-CHOICE-1) \frac{1}{2 \Downarrow 2} \\ (B-ADD) \frac{1}{(0?1) \Downarrow 1} \qquad (B-CHOICE-1) \frac{1}{2 (2?3) \Downarrow 2} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{(0?1) \Downarrow 1} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{(0?1) \Downarrow 1} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{(0?1) \Downarrow 1} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{(0?1) \Downarrow 1} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{(0?1) \Downarrow 1} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \\ (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3} \qquad (B-CHOICE-2) \frac{1}{3 \Downarrow 3}$$

(c) Is the semantics deterministic? Is it total?

It is not deterministic as we have $0.91 \downarrow 0$, as well as $0.91 \downarrow 1$ - but $0 \neq 1$. It is total as it is applies to every expression (for something to be total, we need some number n for every expression E such that $E \downarrow n$).

7. (a) Extend the **small-step** semantics for SimpleExp to handle the ? operator by adding appropriate derivation rules for \rightarrow .

(S-CHOICE-1)
$$\overline{E_1?E_2 \to E_1}$$
 (S-CHOICE-2) $\overline{E_1?E_2 \to E_2}$

(b) Give all possible derivations of the first step of evaluation of (0?1) + (2?3).

$$\text{(S-CHOICE-1)} \frac{}{0?1 \to 0} \frac{}{(0?1) + (2?3) \to 0 + (2?3)}$$
 (S-LEFT)
$$\frac{}{(0?1) + (2?3) \to 0 + (2?3)}$$

(c) Give all of the possible evaluation paths for (0?1) + (2?3).

$$(0?1) + (2?3) \rightarrow 0 + (2?3) \rightarrow 0 + 2 \rightarrow 2$$

$$(0?1) + (2?3) \rightarrow 0 + (2?3) \rightarrow 0 + 3 \rightarrow 3$$

$$(0?1) + (2?3) \rightarrow 1 + (2?3) \rightarrow 1 + 2 \rightarrow 3$$

$$(0?1) + (2?3) \rightarrow 1 + (2?3) \rightarrow 1 + 3 \rightarrow 4$$

(d) Is the semantics confluent?

We've shown $(0?1) + (2?3) \rightarrow^* 2$ and also $(0?1) + (2?3) \rightarrow^* 3$. Therefore, for the semantics to be confluent, there must be some E' such that $2 \rightarrow^* E'$ and $3 \rightarrow^* E'$ - however, since they are both in normal forms, they can only evaluate to themselves. $2 \neq 3$, hence it is not confluent.

(e) Is the semantics normalising?

Yes, there are no infinite sequences of expressions, hence any evaluation path will eventually reach a normal form.

8. Suppose that instead of the SimpleExp small-step rule (s-RIGHT), we had the following;

(S-RIGHT')
$$\frac{E_2 \to E_2'}{(E_1 + E_2) \to (E_1 + E_2')}$$

(a) Given an evaluation path using the s-right rule, is it also an evaluation path using the s-right rule?

Yes, as the original rule constrained E_1 to be in a normal form, but the new rule doesn't. This means that the new rule covers all the cases of the original rule.

(b) Find an expression that has an evaluation path using the s-right rule that it did not have with the s-right rule.

$$(0+1) + (2+3) \rightarrow (0+1) + 5 \rightarrow 1 + 5 \rightarrow 6$$

(c) Is \rightarrow deterministic?

No, starting with (0+1) + (2+3), we can go to either 1 + (2+3) s-Left, or (0+1) + 5 with s-Right' - however the two expressions are not equal.

(d) Is \rightarrow confluent?

Yes, the rule allows for different evaluation order, but doesn't change the result of the evaluation.

Tutorial 2 - State

1. Consider the small-step operation semantics of the language While. Write down all of the evaluation steps of the program (z := x; x := y); y := z, with the initial state $s = (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7)$. Give the full derivation tree for the first step in this evaluation.

$$\frac{(\text{W-EXP.VAR})}{\langle w.\text{ASS.EXP}\rangle} \frac{\overline{\langle x, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7) \rangle} \rightarrow_e \langle 5, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7) \rangle}{\overline{\langle z := x, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7) \rangle} \rightarrow_c \langle z := 5, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7) \rangle} \\ \frac{(\text{W-SEQ.LEFT})}{\langle z := x; x := y, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7) \rangle} \frac{\langle z := x; x := y, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7) \rangle}{\overline{\langle z := x; x := y, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7) \rangle}} \\ \frac{\langle z := x; x := y, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7) \rangle}{\langle z := x; x := y, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7) \rangle}$$

All of the steps are as follows;

$$\langle (z := x; x := y); y := z, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow_c \langle (z := 5; x := y); y := z, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow_c \langle (\mathtt{skip}; x := y); y := z, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7, z \mapsto 5) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow_c \langle x := y; y := z, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7, z \mapsto 5) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow_c \langle x := 7; y := z, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7, z \mapsto 5) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow_c \langle x := 7; y := z, (x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 7, z \mapsto 5) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow_c \langle x := 7; y := z, (x \mapsto 7, y \mapsto 7, z \mapsto 5) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow_c \langle y := z, (x \mapsto 7, y \mapsto 7, z \mapsto 5) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow_c \langle y := 5, (x \mapsto 7, y \mapsto 7, z \mapsto 5) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow_c \langle skip, (x \mapsto 7, y \mapsto 5, z \mapsto 5) \rangle$$

2. Consider the small-step operational semantics of the language While. Write down all of the evaluation steps of the program (given the initial state $s = (x \mapsto 1)$)

(let
$$W =$$
) while $x < 4$ do $x := x + 2$

Give full derivation trees for the first four steps.

$$\frac{(\text{W-EXP.VAR})}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle \rightarrow_e \langle 1, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x < 4, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle \rightarrow_b \langle 1 < 4, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x < 4, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle \rightarrow_b \langle 1 < 4, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x < 4, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle \rightarrow_b \langle x < 4, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x < 4, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle \rightarrow_b \langle x > 1, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle \rightarrow_b \langle x > 1, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle x > 1, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle x > 1, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle x > 1, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle} \frac{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}{\langle x, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle}$$

Note that rule 1 is (W-WHILE), and rule 2 is (W-COND.BEXP). The full evaluation path is as follows;

```
\langle \text{while } x < 4 \text{ do } x := x + 2, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \text{if } x < 4 \text{ then } (x := x + 2; W) \text{ else skip}, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \text{if } 1 < 4 \text{ then } (x := x + 2; W) \text{ else skip}, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \text{if true then } (x := x + 2; W) \text{ else skip}, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle x := x + 2; W, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle x := 1 + 2; W, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle x := 3; W, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \mathtt{skip}; W, (x \mapsto 3) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \text{while } x < 4 \text{ do } x := x + 2, (x \mapsto 3) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \text{if } x < 4 \text{ then } (x := x + 2; W) \text{ else skip}, (x \mapsto 3) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \text{if } 3 < 4 \text{ then } (x := x + 2; W) \text{ else skip}, (x \mapsto 3) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \text{if true then } (x := x + 2; W) \text{ else skip}, (x \mapsto 3) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle x := x + 2; W, (x \mapsto 3) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle x := 3 + 2; W, (x \mapsto 3) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle x := 5; W, (x \mapsto 3) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \mathtt{skip}; W, (x \mapsto 5) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \text{while } x < 4 \text{ do } x := x + 2, (x \mapsto 5) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \text{if } x < 4 \text{ then } (x := x + 2; W) \text{ else skip}, (x \mapsto 5) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \text{if } 5 < 4 \text{ then } (x := x + 2; W) \text{ else skip}, (x \mapsto 5) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \text{if false then } (x := x + 2; W) \text{ else skip}, (x \mapsto 5) \rangle
\rightarrow_c \langle \text{skip}, (x \mapsto 5) \rangle
```

3. Consider adding the increment expression x + + to the language While. The expression returns the value of the variable (only applied to variables) x and then updates the value of x to be one greater than the old value; its semantics is given by the following rule:

$$(\text{W-EXP.PP}) \; \overline{\;\; \left\langle x++,s\right\rangle \to_e \left\langle n,s\big[x\mapsto n'\big]\right\rangle \;\;} \; s(x) = n, n' = n+1$$

(a) Give the full execution path for the program x := (x + +) + (x + +) from the initial state $(x \mapsto 2)$.

$$\langle x := (x++) + (x++), (x \mapsto 2) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow_c \langle x := 2 + (x++), (x \mapsto 3) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow_c \langle x := 2 + 3, (x \mapsto 4) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow_c \langle x := 5, (x \mapsto 4) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow_c \langle \text{skip}, (x \mapsto 5) \rangle$$

(b) Given an operational semantics rule for + + x, which increments x and then returns the result.

$$(\text{W-EXP.PP}) \; \frac{}{\left< + + x, s \right> \to_e \left< n', s [x \mapsto n'] \right>} \; s(x) = n, n' = n+1$$

4. Consider what happens if we add a 'side-effecting expression' of the form

do
$$C$$
 return E

This runs first runs the command C, and returns the value of E.

$$\frac{\langle C, s \rangle \to_c \langle C', s' \rangle}{\langle \text{do } C \text{ return } E, s \rangle \to_e \langle \text{do } C' \text{ return } E, s' \rangle} \qquad \overline{\langle \text{do skip return } E, s \rangle \to_e \langle E, s \rangle}$$

5. Consider the *While* language extend with parallel composition of commands: $C \parallel C$. The semantics of parallel composition is given by interleaving the execution steps of the two composed commands in an arbitrary fashion. This is expressed formally as;

$$\frac{\langle C_1, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle C_1', s' \rangle}{\langle C_1 \mid\mid C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle C_1' \mid\mid C_2, s' \rangle} \quad \frac{\langle C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle C_2', s' \rangle}{\langle C_1 \mid\mid C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle C_1 \mid\mid C_2', s' \rangle} \quad \overline{\langle \operatorname{skip} \mid\mid \operatorname{skip}, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle \operatorname{skip}, s \rangle}$$

(a) Consider the command $(x := 1) \mid | (x := 2; x := (x + 2))$, run with initial state $s = (x \mapsto 0)$. How many possible final values for x does this command have?

There are 3 possible values; 1, 3, or 4.

- (b) How many different evaluation paths exist for obtaining the final value 4?
 - 3 paths. I really can't be bothered to type out all of the steps. The point is the operation x := x + 2 is not atomic; even if we have obtained x := 4, we can execute x := 1, and then still obtain a state with $x \mapsto 4$, if the former is executed at the end.
- (c) A useful operation in concurrency is atomic compare-and-swap. This operation is added to the While language in the form of a new boolean expression CAS(x, E, E). To execute the operation $CAS(x, E_1, E_2)$, first E_1 and then E_2 are evaluated to numbers n_1 and n_2 in the usual way. Then, in a single step, the operation compares the value of variable x with n_1 ; if the values are equal, it updates the value of x to be number n_2 and returns true, otherwise, it simply returns false. Extend the operational semantics with rules for CAS that implement this behaviour.

$$\frac{\langle E_1,s\rangle \rightarrow_e \langle E_1',s'\rangle}{\langle \mathtt{CAS}(x,E_1,E_2),s\rangle \rightarrow_b \langle \mathtt{CAS}(x,E_1',E_2),s'\rangle} \\ \frac{\langle E_2,s\rangle \rightarrow_e \langle E_2',s'\rangle}{\langle \mathtt{CAS}(x,n_1,E_2),s\rangle \rightarrow_b \langle \mathtt{CAS}(x,n_1,E_2'),s'\rangle} \\ \frac{\langle \mathtt{CAS}(x,n_1,E_2),s\rangle \rightarrow_b \langle \mathtt{CAS}(x,n_1,E_2'),s'\rangle}{\langle \mathtt{CAS}(x,n_1,n_2),s\rangle \rightarrow_b \langle \mathtt{false},s\rangle} s(x) \neq n_1} \\ \frac{\langle \mathtt{CAS}(x,n_1,n_2),s\rangle \rightarrow_b \langle \mathtt{false},s\rangle}{\langle \mathtt{CAS}(x,n_1,n_2),s\rangle \rightarrow_b \langle \mathtt{false},s\rangle} s(x) \neq n_1}$$

6. Suppose that $\langle C_1; C_2, s \rangle \to_c^* \langle C_2, s' \rangle$. Show that it is not necessarily the case that $\langle C_1, s \rangle \to_c^* \langle \operatorname{skip}, s' \rangle$.

Let there be a state $s'' \neq s'$, where $\langle C_1, s \rangle \to_c^* \langle \text{skip}, s'' \rangle$. For $\langle C_1, C_2, s \rangle \to_c^* \langle C_2, s' \rangle$, we can find C_2 such that $\langle C_2, s'' \rangle \to_c^* \langle C_2, s' \rangle$. From here, we see that our foal is to find C_2 as something that evaluates to itself, but in a different state (hence a loop).

$$C_1 = \mathtt{skip}$$
 $C_2 = \mathtt{while}$ true do $x := 1$ $s = (x \mapsto 0)$

Executing this we have;

```
\begin{split} & \langle \texttt{while true do } x := 1, (x \mapsto 0) \rangle \\ \to_c & \langle \texttt{if true then } x := 1; C_2 \texttt{ else skip}, (x \mapsto 0) \rangle \\ \to_c & \langle x := 1; C_2, (x \mapsto 0) \rangle \\ \to_c & \langle \texttt{skip}; C_2, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle \\ \to_c & \langle C_2, (x \mapsto 1) \rangle \end{split}
```