

Study 1: Code Translation from SPSS to R

Jennifer Lin

11/5/2019

Contents

Reported Results for Study 1	1
Setup	2
Code Translation	2
T-test between average Individualizing and Binding Foundations	3
Paired t-test between average individualizing and binding foundations	3
Split-Half Reliability for Harm Foundation	4
Alpha Reliability for Harm Foundation	4
Alpha Reliability for Fairness Foundation	5
Alpha Reliability for Ingroup Foundation	6
Alpha Reliability for Authority Foundation	7
Alpha Reliability for Purity Foundation	8
Alpha Reliability for All Foundations	9
Correlation between politics and all relevance foundation scores	11
Correlation between politics, foundation relevance scores and average difference score	12
Paired t-test with binding and difference score	12
Oneway ANOVA with relevance scores by gender	13
T-test comparing relevance scores on each foundation by gender	14
Oneway ANOVA with relevance scores and politics by gender	16
Regression with politics and gender from Harm Relevance score	17
Regression with politics and gender from Fairness Relevance score	17
Regression with politics and gender from Ingroup Relevance score	18
Regression with politics and gender from Authority Relevance score	19
Regression with politics and gender from Purity Relevance score	20

Reported Results for Study 1

For reference, the results reported in the original paper were as follows.

1. The authors reported that the **aggregate moral relevance rating for individualizing foundations was *higher* than the aggregate ratings for the binding foundations** such that $F(1, 1207) = 1895.09, p < .001, \eta^2 = .61$.
2. The effect as moderated by politics: $F(1, 1207) = 224.34, p < .001, \eta^2 = .16$.

From this code, we are missing:

1. Calculations for the η^2 values on both results
2. Correct degrees of freedom in any ANOVA or t-test printout
3. Correct F-values on ANOVA printouts. The F-values reported could not be achieved even when t^2 was computed on the t-tests.

Setup

```
s1 <- read.csv("GrahamS1data.csv")

##### Load Packages #####
library(psych)
library(tidyverse)

## -- Attaching packages ----- tidyverse 1.2.1 --

## v ggplot2 3.2.0      v purrr 0.3.2
## v tibble 2.1.3      v dplyr 0.8.1
## v tidyr 0.8.3       v stringr 1.4.0
## v readr 1.3.1      v forcats 0.4.0

## -- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
## x ggplot2::%+%( ) masks psych::%+%( )
## x ggplot2::alpha( ) masks psych::alpha( )
## x dplyr::filter( ) masks stats::filter( )
## x dplyr::lag( ) masks stats::lag( )

##### Check Variable Structure ##### Gender -- From Integer to factor
str(s1$gender)

## int [1:1548] -1 NA -1 1 NA 1 NA 1 1 NA ...

s1$gender <- as.factor(s1$gender)
class(s1$gender)

## [1] "factor"
```

Code Translation

For each of the code translations, the original SPSS code is presented followed by the code in R. Results are also presented with each code chunk.

T-test between average Individualizing and Binding Foundations

T-TEST

/TESTVAL = 0

/MISSING = ANALYSIS

/VARIABLES = HandFexav IandAandPexav

/CRITERIA = CI(.95) .

```
t.test(s1$HandFexav)
```

```
##
```

```
## One Sample t-test
```

```
##
```

```
## data: s1$HandFexav
```

```
## t = 248.28, df = 1273, p-value < 2.2e-16
```

```
## alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
```

```
## 95 percent confidence interval:
```

```
## 5.041089 5.121391
```

```
## sample estimates:
```

```
## mean of x
```

```
## 5.08124
```

```
t.test(s1$IandAandPexav)
```

```
##
```

```
## One Sample t-test
```

```
##
```

```
## data: s1$IandAandPexav
```

```
## t = 155.93, df = 1272, p-value < 2.2e-16
```

```
## alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
```

```
## 95 percent confidence interval:
```

```
## 3.617258 3.709441
```

```
## sample estimates:
```

```
## mean of x
```

```
## 3.66335
```

Paired t-test between average individualizing and binding foundations

T-TEST

PAIRS = HandFexav WITH IandAandPexav (PAIRED)

/CRITERIA = CI(.95)

/MISSING = ANALYSIS.

```
t.test(s1$HandFexav, s1$IandAandPexav, paired = TRUE)

##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: s1$HandFexav and s1$IandAandPexav
## t = 55.85, df = 1272, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 1.368146 1.467762
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
## 1.417954
```

Split-Half Reliability for Harm Foundation

```
RELIABILITY
/VARIABLES=harmed1 suffered1 violence1
/SCALE('Harm items') ALL
/MODEL=SPLIT
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE CORR COV ANOVA
/SUMMARY=TOTAL.

Harm <- s1 %>% select(c("harmed1", "suffered1", "violence1"))
psych::splitHalf(Harm)

## Split half reliabilities
## Call: psych::splitHalf(r = Harm)
##
## Maximum split half reliability (lambda 4) = 0.6
## Guttman lambda 6 = 0.52
## Average split half reliability = 0.74
## Guttman lambda 3 (alpha) = 0.62
## Minimum split half reliability (beta) = 0.52
## Average interitem r = 0.35 with median = 0.37
```

Alpha Reliability for Harm Foundation

```
RELIABILITY
/VARIABLES=harmed1 suffered1 violence1
/SCALE('Harm items')
ALL/MODEL=ALPHA
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE CORR COV ANOVA
```

```
/SUMMARY=TOTAL.
```

```
Harm <- s1 %>% select(c("harmed1", "suffered1", "violence1"))  
psych::alpha(Harm)
```

```
##  
## Reliability analysis  
## Call: psych::alpha(x = Harm)  
##  
##   raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N   ase mean   sd median_r  
##     0.62     0.62    0.52     0.35 1.6 0.017  5.1 0.86     0.37  
##  
##   lower alpha upper      95% confidence boundaries  
## 0.59 0.62 0.65  
##  
## Reliability if an item is dropped:  
##           raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N alpha se var.r med.r  
## harmed1      0.56     0.56    0.39     0.39 1.29  0.022  NA  0.39  
## suffered1     0.45     0.45    0.29     0.29 0.83  0.028  NA  0.29  
## violence1     0.54     0.54    0.37     0.37 1.17  0.023  NA  0.37  
##  
## Item statistics  
##           n raw.r std.r r.cor r.drop mean  sd  
## harmed1  1272  0.74  0.74  0.51  0.40  5.2 1.1  
## suffered1 1270  0.80  0.78  0.60  0.47  4.7 1.2  
## violence1 1273  0.72  0.75  0.53  0.42  5.3 1.0  
##  
## Non missing response frequency for each item  
##           1 2 3 4 5 6 miss  
## harmed1  0.02 0.02 0.04 0.14 0.20 0.58 0.18  
## suffered1 0.02 0.03 0.09 0.25 0.27 0.33 0.18  
## violence1 0.01 0.01 0.04 0.12 0.25 0.56 0.18
```

Alpha Reliability for Fairness Foundation

```
RELIABILITY  
/VARIABLES=unfairly1 rights1 differently1  
/SCALE('Fairness items') ALL  
/MODEL=ALPHA  
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE CORR COV ANOVA  
/SUMMARY=TOTAL.
```

```
Fairness <- s1 %>% select(c("unfairly1", "rights1", "differently1"))  
psych::alpha(Fairness)
```

```
##
## Reliability analysis
## Call: psych::alpha(x = Fairness)
##
##   raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N   ase mean   sd median_r
##     0.67     0.67    0.58     0.41    2 0.014  5.1 0.82     0.41
##
## lower alpha upper      95% confidence boundaries
## 0.64 0.67 0.7
##
## Reliability if an item is dropped:
##           raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N alpha se var.r
## unfairly1      0.60     0.61    0.43     0.43 1.5    0.020   NA
## rights1        0.58     0.58    0.41     0.41 1.4    0.021   NA
## differently1    0.54     0.54    0.37     0.37 1.2    0.023   NA
##           med.r
## unfairly1     0.43
## rights1       0.41
## differently1  0.37
##
## Item statistics
##           n raw.r std.r r.cor r.drop mean   sd
## unfairly1 1272 0.77 0.76 0.56 0.46 5.0 1.08
## rights1    1272 0.76 0.77 0.59 0.48 5.3 0.97
## differently1 1271 0.80 0.79 0.62 0.51 4.9 1.09
##
## Non missing response frequency for each item
##           1    2    3    4    5    6 miss
## unfairly1 0.01 0.02 0.06 0.19 0.31 0.41 0.18
## rights1    0.01 0.01 0.04 0.10 0.27 0.57 0.18
## differently1 0.01 0.02 0.07 0.21 0.31 0.39 0.18
```

Alpha Reliability for Ingroup Foundation

```
RELIABILITY
/VARIABLES=betray1 friend1 loyalty1
/SCALE('Ingroup items')
ALL/MODEL=ALPHA
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE CORR COV ANOVA
/SUMMARY=TOTAL.

Ingroup <- s1 %>% select(c("betray1", "friend1", "loyalty1"))
psych::alpha(Ingroup)
```

```
##
## Reliability analysis
## Call: psych::alpha(x = Ingroup)
##
##   raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N   ase mean  sd median_r
##      0.59      0.6    0.52      0.34 1.5 0.018  3.8 1.1      0.27
##
##   lower alpha upper      95% confidence boundaries
## 0.56 0.59 0.63
##
## Reliability if an item is dropped:
##      raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r  S/N alpha se var.r med.r
## betray1      0.42      0.43   0.27      0.27 0.74   0.029   NA 0.27
## friend1      0.63      0.63   0.46      0.46 1.74   0.019   NA 0.46
## loyalty1      0.42      0.43   0.27      0.27 0.74   0.029   NA 0.27
##
## Item statistics
##      n raw.r std.r r.cor r.drop mean  sd
## betray1 1273 0.76 0.78 0.61 0.45 3.7 1.4
## friend1 1273 0.73 0.69 0.40 0.32 3.5 1.6
## loyalty1 1271 0.75 0.78 0.61 0.45 4.1 1.4
##
## Non missing response frequency for each item
##      1 2 3 4 5 6 miss
## betray1 0.06 0.14 0.23 0.28 0.17 0.12 0.18
## friend1 0.17 0.14 0.17 0.25 0.14 0.13 0.18
## loyalty1 0.03 0.11 0.18 0.28 0.22 0.18 0.18
```

Alpha Reliability for Authority Foundation

```
RELIABILITY
/VARIABLES=duties1 rank1 respect1
/SCALE('Authority items')
ALL/MODEL=ALPHA
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE CORR COV ANOVA
/SUMMARY=TOTAL.

Authority <- s1 %>% select(c("duties1", "rank1", "respect1"))
psych::alpha(Authority)

##
## Reliability analysis
## Call: psych::alpha(x = Authority)
##
```

```
##   raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N   ase mean   sd median_r
##     0.39     0.41    0.33     0.19 0.68 0.027  3.6 0.95     0.14
##
##   lower alpha upper      95% confidence boundaries
## 0.34 0.39 0.45
##
##   Reliability if an item is dropped:
##           raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N alpha se var.r med.r
## duties1      0.25     0.25    0.142     0.142 0.33   0.038   NA 0.142
## rank1        0.48     0.49    0.320     0.320 0.94   0.026   NA 0.320
## respect1     0.17     0.17    0.093     0.093 0.21   0.041   NA 0.093
##
##   Item statistics
##           n raw.r std.r r.cor r.drop mean   sd
## duties1 1271 0.65 0.70 0.45 0.27 4.4 1.2
## rank1   1272 0.65 0.61 0.22 0.15 2.6 1.5
## respect1 1273 0.72 0.72 0.50 0.30 3.6 1.4
##
## Non missing response frequency for each item
##           1    2    3    4    5    6 miss
## duties1 0.03 0.05 0.14 0.30 0.27 0.22 0.18
## rank1   0.33 0.20 0.17 0.18 0.07 0.06 0.18
## respect1 0.08 0.16 0.23 0.25 0.17 0.11 0.18
```

Alpha Reliability for Purity Foundation

```
RELIABILITY
/VARIABLES=purity1 disgust1 unnatural1
/SCALE('Purity items')
ALL/MODEL=ALPHA
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE CORR COV ANOVA
/SUMMARY=TOTAL.
```

```
Purity <- s1 %>% select(c("purity1", "disgust1", "unnatural1"))
psych::alpha(Purity)
```

```
##
## Reliability analysis
## Call: psych::alpha(x = Purity)
##
##   raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N   ase mean   sd median_r
##     0.7      0.7     0.61     0.44 2.3 0.013  3.7 1.1     0.43
##
##   lower alpha upper      95% confidence boundaries
```



```
## 0.68 0.7 0.73
##
## Reliability if an item is dropped:
##      raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N alpha se var.r med.r
## purity1      0.60      0.60   0.43      0.43 1.5   0.020   NA  0.43
## disgust1      0.59      0.59   0.42      0.42 1.4   0.021   NA  0.42
## unnatural1    0.64      0.64   0.47      0.47 1.8   0.018   NA  0.47
##
## Item statistics
##      n raw.r std.r r.cor r.drop mean  sd
## purity1  1273 0.81 0.79 0.63  0.52 3.5 1.5
## disgust1  1272 0.79 0.80 0.64  0.53 3.4 1.4
## unnatural1 1270 0.77 0.78 0.59  0.49 4.1 1.4
##
## Non missing response frequency for each item
##      1 2 3 4 5 6 miss
## purity1  0.10 0.18 0.22 0.23 0.15 0.12 0.18
## disgust1  0.08 0.20 0.24 0.25 0.12 0.09 0.18
## unnatural1 0.05 0.08 0.19 0.26 0.22 0.20 0.18
```

Alpha Reliability for All Foundations

```
RELIABILITY
/VARIABLES=purity1 disgust1 unnatural1 betray1 differently1 duties1
  friend1 harmed1 loyalty1 rank1 respect1 rights1 suffered1
  unfairly1 violence1
/SCALE('Full scale')
ALL/MODEL=ALPHA
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE CORR COV ANOVA
/SUMMARY=TOTAL.

AllFoundations <- s1 %>% select(c("harmed1", "suffered1", "violence1",
  "unfairly1", "rights1", "differently1", "betray1", "friend1", "loyalty1",
  "duties1", "rank1", "respect1", "purity1", "disgust1", "unnatural1"))
psych::alpha(AllFoundations)

##
## Reliability analysis
## Call: psych::alpha(x = AllFoundations)
##
##      raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N   ase mean   sd median_r
##      0.79      0.8   0.82      0.21 3.9 0.0077  4.2 0.66      0.2
##
## lower alpha upper      95% confidence boundaries
```

```

## 0.78 0.79 0.81
##
## Reliability if an item is dropped:
##      raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N alpha se var.r
## harmed1      0.79      0.79      0.81      0.21 3.8   0.0079 0.014
## suffered1     0.78      0.78      0.80      0.20 3.6   0.0082 0.014
## violence1     0.78      0.78      0.80      0.21 3.6   0.0081 0.014
## unfairly1     0.78      0.78      0.80      0.21 3.6   0.0081 0.014
## rights1       0.79      0.79      0.81      0.21 3.8   0.0079 0.013
## differently1  0.78      0.79      0.80      0.21 3.7   0.0080 0.013
## betray1       0.77      0.78      0.80      0.20 3.5   0.0085 0.014
## friend1       0.79      0.80      0.82      0.22 3.9   0.0077 0.014
## loyalty1      0.77      0.78      0.80      0.20 3.5   0.0085 0.014
## duties1       0.78      0.78      0.81      0.21 3.6   0.0082 0.015
## rank1         0.80      0.80      0.82      0.22 4.1   0.0075 0.013
## respect1      0.78      0.78      0.81      0.21 3.6   0.0083 0.014
## purity1       0.78      0.78      0.80      0.21 3.6   0.0083 0.013
## disgust1      0.77      0.78      0.80      0.20 3.6   0.0085 0.013
## unnatural1    0.77      0.78      0.80      0.20 3.6   0.0084 0.015
##
##      med.r
## harmed1    0.21
## suffered1   0.20
## violence1   0.19
## unfairly1   0.20
## rights1     0.20
## differently1 0.20
## betray1     0.19
## friend1     0.21
## loyalty1    0.19
## duties1     0.19
## rank1       0.21
## respect1    0.20
## purity1     0.20
## disgust1    0.20
## unnatural1  0.20
##
## Item statistics
##      n raw.r std.r r.cor r.drop mean  sd
## harmed1    1272 0.41 0.44 0.37 0.30 5.2 1.15
## suffered1   1270 0.52 0.55 0.52 0.42 4.7 1.22
## violence1   1273 0.50 0.54 0.50 0.41 5.3 1.05
## unfairly1   1272 0.49 0.53 0.49 0.40 5.0 1.08
## rights1     1272 0.41 0.47 0.42 0.32 5.3 0.97
## differently1 1271 0.47 0.52 0.48 0.38 4.9 1.09
## betray1     1273 0.62 0.59 0.56 0.52 3.7 1.39

```

```

## friend1      1273  0.42  0.37  0.29  0.27  3.5 1.61
## loyalty1     1271  0.61  0.60  0.57  0.52  4.1 1.35
## duties1      1271  0.52  0.53  0.48  0.42  4.4 1.24
## rank1        1272  0.35  0.32  0.22  0.21  2.6 1.54
## respect1     1273  0.55  0.53  0.48  0.44  3.6 1.44
## purity1      1273  0.57  0.53  0.49  0.45  3.5 1.50
## disgust1     1272  0.61  0.58  0.55  0.51  3.4 1.40
## unnatural1   1270  0.58  0.56  0.51  0.47  4.1 1.41
##
## Non missing response frequency for each item
##           1      2      3      4      5      6 miss
## harmed1    0.02  0.02  0.04  0.14  0.20  0.58  0.18
## suffered1   0.02  0.03  0.09  0.25  0.27  0.33  0.18
## violence1   0.01  0.01  0.04  0.12  0.25  0.56  0.18
## unfairly1  0.01  0.02  0.06  0.19  0.31  0.41  0.18
## rights1     0.01  0.01  0.04  0.10  0.27  0.57  0.18
## differently1 0.01  0.02  0.07  0.21  0.31  0.39  0.18
## betray1     0.06  0.14  0.23  0.28  0.17  0.12  0.18
## friend1     0.17  0.14  0.17  0.25  0.14  0.13  0.18
## loyalty1    0.03  0.11  0.18  0.28  0.22  0.18  0.18
## duties1     0.03  0.05  0.14  0.30  0.27  0.22  0.18
## rank1       0.33  0.20  0.17  0.18  0.07  0.06  0.18
## respect1    0.08  0.16  0.23  0.25  0.17  0.11  0.18
## purity1     0.10  0.18  0.22  0.23  0.15  0.12  0.18
## disgust1    0.08  0.20  0.24  0.25  0.12  0.09  0.18
## unnatural1  0.05  0.08  0.19  0.26  0.22  0.20  0.18

```

Correlation between politics and all relevance foundation scores

CORRELATIONS

```

/VARIABLES=politics HarmRel FairnessRel IngroupRel AuthorityRel PurityRel
/PRINT=TWOTAIL NOSIG
/MISSING=PAIRWISE.

```

```

Relpolcor <- s1 %>% select(c("HarmRel", "FairnessRel", "IngroupRel", "AuthorityRel",
  "PurityRel", "politics"))
cor(Relpolcor, method = "pearson", use = "complete.obs")

```

```

##           HarmRel FairnessRel IngroupRel AuthorityRel PurityRel
## HarmRel      1.0000000    0.5303343    0.2803263    0.2080561 0.2572554
## FairnessRel   0.5303343    1.0000000    0.2134403    0.2463292 0.1946201
## IngroupRel    0.2803263    0.2134403    1.0000000    0.4221912 0.4564582
## AuthorityRel  0.2080561    0.2463292    0.4221912    1.0000000 0.4446942
## PurityRel     0.2572554    0.1946201    0.4564582    0.4446942 1.0000000

```

```
## politics      -0.1545757 -0.2085178  0.1180143    0.2061546 0.2642959
##              politics
## HarmRel       -0.1545757
## FairnessRel   -0.2085178
## IngroupRel    0.1180143
## AuthorityRel  0.2061546
## PurityRel     0.2642959
## politics      1.0000000
```

Correlation between politics, foundation relevance scores and average difference score

CORRELATIONS

```
/VARIABLES=politics HarmRel FairnessRel IngroupRel AuthorityRel
PurityRel HFminusIAPexav
/PRINT=TWOTAIL NOSIG
/MISSING=PAIRWISE.
```

```
diffcor <- s1 %>% select(c("HarmRel", "FairnessRel", "IngroupRel", "AuthorityRel",
  "PurityRel", "politics", "HFminusIAPexav"))
cor(diffcor, method = "pearson", use = "complete.obs")
```

```
##              HarmRel FairnessRel IngroupRel AuthorityRel PurityRel
## HarmRel       1.0000000  0.5303343  0.2803263    0.2080561 0.2572554
## FairnessRel   0.5303343  1.0000000  0.2134403    0.2463292 0.1946201
## IngroupRel    0.2803263  0.2134403  1.0000000    0.4221912 0.4564582
## AuthorityRel  0.2080561  0.2463292  0.4221912    1.0000000 0.4446942
## PurityRel     0.2572554  0.1946201  0.4564582    0.4446942 1.0000000
## politics      -0.1545757 -0.2085178  0.1180143    0.2061546 0.2642959
## HFminusIAPexav 0.4252407  0.4511372 -0.5021068   -0.4903684 -0.5412954
##              politics HFminusIAPexav
## HarmRel       -0.1545757    0.4252407
## FairnessRel   -0.2085178    0.4511372
## IngroupRel    0.1180143   -0.5021068
## AuthorityRel  0.2061546   -0.4903684
## PurityRel     0.2642959   -0.5412954
## politics      1.0000000   -0.3958994
## HFminusIAPexav -0.3958994    1.0000000
```

Paired t-test with binding and difference score

T-TEST

```
PAIRS = IandAandPexav WITH HFminusIAPexav (PAIRED)
```

```
/CRITERIA = CI(.95)
/MISSING = ANALYSIS.
```

```
t.test(s1$IandAandPexav, s1$HFminusIAPexav, paired = TRUE)
```

```
##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: s1$IandAandPexav and s1$HFminusIAPexav
## t = 50.543, df = 1272, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 2.158241 2.332551
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
## 2.245396
```

Oneway ANOVA with relevance scores by gender

```
ONEWAY HarmRel FairnessRel IngroupRel AuthorityRel PurityRel BY gender
/MISSING ANALYSIS.
```

```
summary(aov(HarmRel ~ gender, data = s1)) #Harm
```

```
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## gender          1   36.0   36.04   50.49  2e-12 ***
## Residuals    1260   899.5    0.71
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## 286 observations deleted due to missingness
```

```
summary(aov(FairnessRel ~ gender, data = s1)) #Fairness
```

```
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## gender          1    4.6    4.594   6.943 0.00852 **
## Residuals    1259   833.1    0.662
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## 287 observations deleted due to missingness
```

```
summary(aov(IngroupRel ~ gender, data = s1)) #Ingroup
```

```
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## gender          1    0.6    0.611   0.527 0.468
## Residuals    1259 1458.9    1.159
## 287 observations deleted due to missingness
```

```
summary(aov(AuthorityRel ~ gender, data = s1)) #Authority
```

```
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## gender          1    0.6  0.6426   0.716  0.398
## Residuals    1259 1129.4  0.8971
## 287 observations deleted due to missingness
```

```
summary(aov(PurityRel ~ gender, data = s1)) #Purity
```

```
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## gender          1    7.4   7.362   5.753 0.0166 *
## Residuals    1259 1611.1   1.280
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## 287 observations deleted due to missingness
```

T-test comparing relevance scores on each foundation by gender

T-TEST

```
GROUPS = gender(-1 1)
```

```
/MISSING = ANALYSIS
```

```
/VARIABLES = HarmRel FairnessRel IngroupRel AuthorityRel PurityRel
```

```
/CRITERIA = CI(.95).
```

```
t.test(HarmRel ~ gender, data = s1) #Harm
```

```
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: HarmRel by gender
## t = -7.1884, df = 1242.6, p-value = 1.128e-12
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.4308429 -0.2460923
## sample estimates:
## mean in group -1 mean in group 1
## 3.907631 4.246098
```

```
t.test(FairnessRel ~ gender, data = s1) #Fairness
```

```
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: FairnessRel by gender
## t = -2.6467, df = 1258.3, p-value = 0.00823
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
```

```

## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.21049825 -0.03128043
## sample estimates:
## mean in group -1 mean in group 1
##      4.034890      4.155779

t.test(IngroupRel ~ gender, data = s1) #Ingroup

##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: IngroupRel by gender
## t = -0.72974, df = 1258.8, p-value = 0.4657
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.16260616 0.07443522
## sample estimates:
## mean in group -1 mean in group 1
##      2.726155      2.770240

t.test(AuthorityRel ~ gender, data = s1) #Authority

##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: AuthorityRel by gender
## t = 0.84979, df = 1257.9, p-value = 0.3956
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.05916579 0.14958947
## sample estimates:
## mean in group -1 mean in group 1
##      2.569779      2.524567

t.test(PurityRel ~ gender, data = s1) #Purity

##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: PurityRel by gender
## t = -2.4, df = 1247.6, p-value = 0.01654
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.27813469 -0.02793885
## sample estimates:
## mean in group -1 mean in group 1
##      2.604920      2.757956

```

Oneway ANOVA with relevance scores and politics by gender

ONEWAY HarmRel FairnessRel IngroupRel AuthorityRel PurityRel politics BY gender
/MISSING ANALYSIS.

```
summary(aov(HarmRel ~ gender, data = s1)) #Harm
```

```
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## gender          1    36.0   36.04   50.49  2e-12 ***
## Residuals    1260   899.5    0.71
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## 286 observations deleted due to missingness
```

```
summary(aov(FairnessRel ~ gender, data = s1)) #Fairness
```

```
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value  Pr(>F)
## gender          1     4.6   4.594   6.943 0.00852 **
## Residuals    1259   833.1    0.662
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## 287 observations deleted due to missingness
```

```
summary(aov(IngroupRel ~ gender, data = s1)) #Ingroup
```

```
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## gender          1     0.6   0.611   0.527 0.468
## Residuals    1259 1458.9    1.159
## 287 observations deleted due to missingness
```

```
summary(aov(AuthorityRel ~ gender, data = s1)) #Authority
```

```
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## gender          1     0.6   0.6426   0.716 0.398
## Residuals    1259 1129.4    0.8971
## 287 observations deleted due to missingness
```

```
summary(aov(PurityRel ~ gender, data = s1)) #Purity
```

```
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## gender          1     7.4   7.362   5.753 0.0166 *
## Residuals    1259 1611.1    1.280
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## 287 observations deleted due to missingness
```


Regression with politics and gender from Harm Relevance score

```
REGRESSION
/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT HarmRel
/METHOD=ENTER politics gender.
```

```
summary(lm(HarmRel ~ politics + gender, data = s1))
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = HarmRel ~ politics + gender, data = s1)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.9973 -0.5130  0.1537  0.6693  1.3012
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   3.84805     0.03536 108.811 < 2e-16 ***
## politics     -0.07464     0.01528  -4.886 1.17e-06 ***
## gender1       0.33157     0.04872   6.806 1.58e-11 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.8408 on 1204 degrees of freedom
## (341 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared:  0.0603, Adjusted R-squared:  0.05874
## F-statistic: 38.63 on 2 and 1204 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Regression with politics and gender from Fairness Relevance score

```
REGRESSION
/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT FairnessRel
/METHOD=ENTER politics gender.
```

```
summary(lm(FairnessRel ~ politics + gender, data = s1))
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = FairnessRel ~ politics + gender, data = s1)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -4.1633 -0.3936  0.0775  0.6168  1.3516
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   3.95733    0.03352 118.049 < 2e-16 ***
## politics     -0.10297    0.01448  -7.110 1.99e-12 ***
## gender1       0.09255    0.04621   2.003  0.0454 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.797 on 1203 degrees of freedom
## (342 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared:  0.04587,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.04429
## F-statistic: 28.92 on 2 and 1203 DF,  p-value: 5.411e-13
```

Regression with politics and gender from Ingroup Relevance score

```
REGRESSION
/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT IngroupRel
/METHOD=ENTER politics gender.
```

```
summary(lm(IngroupRel ~ politics + gender, data = s1))
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = IngroupRel ~ politics + gender, data = s1)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.10438 -0.69244  0.04228  0.72328  2.37562
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   2.78916    0.04484  62.200 < 2e-16 ***
```

```
## politics      0.08239    0.01937    4.253 2.28e-05 ***
## gender1       0.06806    0.06181    1.101    0.271
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1.066 on 1203 degrees of freedom
## (342 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared:  0.0152, Adjusted R-squared:  0.01356
## F-statistic: 9.284 on 2 and 1203 DF,  p-value: 9.975e-05
```

Regression with politics and gender from Authority Relevance score

```
REGRESSION
/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT AuthorityRel
/METHOD=ENTER politics gender.
```

```
summary(lm(AuthorityRel ~ politics + gender, data = s1))
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = AuthorityRel ~ politics + gender, data = s1)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.04654 -0.62868 -0.00309  0.57985  2.57985
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  2.669760   0.038995  68.464 < 2e-16 ***
## politics     0.124803   0.016848   7.408 2.41e-13 ***
## gender1      0.002368   0.053750   0.044  0.965
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.9271 on 1203 degrees of freedom
## (342 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared:  0.04397,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.04238
## F-statistic: 27.66 on 2 and 1203 DF,  p-value: 1.795e-12
```

Regression with politics and gender from Purity Relevance score

```
REGRESSION
```

```
/MISSING LISTWISE
```

```
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA
```

```
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
```

```
/NOORIGIN
```

```
/DEPENDENT PurityRel
```

```
/METHOD=ENTER politics gender.
```

```
summary(lm(PurityRel ~ politics + gender, data = s1))
```

```
##
```

```
## Call:
```

```
## lm(formula = PurityRel ~ politics + gender, data = s1)
```

```
##
```

```
## Residuals:
```

```
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
```

```
## -3.5636 -0.7159 -0.0334  0.7539  2.8224
```

```
##
```

```
## Coefficients:
```

```
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
```

```
## (Intercept)  2.76815     0.04573  60.538 < 2e-16 ***
```

```
## politics     0.19684     0.01976   9.964 < 2e-16 ***
```

```
## gender1      0.20494     0.06303   3.252 0.00118 **
```

```
## ---
```

```
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
##
```

```
## Residual standard error: 1.087 on 1203 degrees of freedom
```

```
## (342 observations deleted due to missingness)
```

```
## Multiple R-squared:  0.07998,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.07845
```

```
## F-statistic: 52.29 on 2 and 1203 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```