Student: Lina Mi @01377283

```
I. R commands used:
```

Load "votes.csv" dataset and store it into a local R variable "lenses":
 In order to load votes.csv as sparse matrix and use apriori analysis of arules package, it needs to load arules package first

```
>library(arules)
>votes<-read.transactions("M:/Data Mining/week4/votes.csv", sep=",")</pre>
```

2. Display the content of variable "votes"

```
> votes
```

```
transactions in sparse format with 435 transactions (rows) and 5 items (columns)
```

3. Display some basic information about the dataset using the "summary" R command >summary(votes)

```
transactions as itemMatrix in sparse format with 435 rows (elements/itemsets/transactions) and 5 columns (items) and a density of 0.691954
```

```
most frequent items:
```

```
y n democrat ? republican (Other) 434 433 267 203 168 0
```

element (itemset/transaction) length distribution:

```
sizes
2 3 4
1 233 201
```

```
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max. 2.00 3.00 3.00 3.46 4.00 4.00
```

includes extended item information - examples:

```
labels
1 ?
2 democrat
3 n
```

4. Examine the frequency of *democrat* and *republican* using the "*itemFrequency*" R Command. Also plot these frequencies using "*itemFrequencyPlot*" R command.

5. Creating training dataset and testing dataset(training: 20, testing:4).

```
> set.seed(10203)
> train_sample<-sample(24,20, replace=FALSE)</pre>
```

```
> train_lenses<-lenses_attr[train_sample,]</pre>
   >test_lenses<-lenses_attr[-train_sample,]
   > train_lenses
          age prescription
                                     astigmatic
                                                    tear classification
    19
        old nearsightedness non-astigmatic reduced
    21
        old farsightedness astigmatic reduced
                                                                      none
    3 young nearsightedness non-astigmatic reduced
                                                                      none
       young farsightedness astigmatic reduced young nearsightedness non-astigmatic normal
                                                                      none
                                                                     hard
    6 young farsightedness astigmatic normal
                                                                     soft
        old farsightedness
                                    astigmatic normal
                                                                     soft
    7 young farsightedness non-astigmatic reduced
                                                                     none
    16 adult farsightedness non-astigmatic normal
8 young farsightedness non-astigmatic normal
13 adult farsightedness astigmatic reduced
                                                                     none
                                                                     hard
                                                                      none
    24 old farsightedness non-astigmatic normal
                                                                      none
    17 old nearsightedness astigmatic reduced
                                                                     none
    2 young nearsightedness astigmatic normal
18 old nearsightedness astigmatic normal
                                                                     soft
                                                                     none
    15 adult farsightedness non-astigmatic reduced
                                                                     none
    9 adult nearsightedness astigmatic reduced
                                                                      none
    12 adult nearsightedness non-astigmatic normal
14 adult farsightedness astigmatic normal
15 young nearsightedness astigmatic normal
                                                                      hard
                                                                     soft
    1 young nearsightedness
                                    astigmatic reduced
                                                                     none
    >test_lenses
    age prescription astigmatic tear classification 10 adult nearsightedness astigmatic normal soft
    11 adult nearsightedness non-astigmatic reduced
                                                                     none
                                                                     hard
    20 old nearsightedness non-astigmatic normal
    23
          old farsightedness non-astigmatic reduced
                                                                      none
6. Train decision tree using C5.0 algorithm (C5.0 function) using training dataset, train lenses:
   > train_lenses$classification<-as.factor(train_lenses$classification)</pre>
   > lenses_model<-C5.0(train_lenses[-5], train_lenses$classification)</pre>
   > lenses_model
   C5.0.default(x = train_lenses[-5], y = train_lenses$classification)
   Classification Tree
   Number of samples: 20
Number of predictors: 4
   Tree size: 3
   Non-standard options: attempt to group attributes
   > summary(lenses_model)
   call:
   C5.0.default(x = train_lenses[-5], y = train_lenses$classification)
   C5.0 [Release 2.07 GPL Edition]
                                                Sat Mar 03 11:52:20 2018
   Class specified by attribute `outcome'
   Read 20 cases (5 attributes) from undefined.data
```

## Decision tree:

```
tear = reduced: none (10)
tear = normal:
:...astigmatic = non-astigmatic: hard (5/2)
    astigmatic = astigmatic: soft (5/1)
```

# Evaluation on training data (20 cases):

	ree	ision <sup>-</sup>	Dec
	rors	E	Size
	. 0%)	3(1	3
-classified as	(c)	(b)	(a)
a): class hard b): class none c): class soft	1 4	10	3 2

# Attribute usage:

100.00% tear 50.00% astigmatic

Time: 0.0 secs

- 7. Make predictions on test dataset and using CrossTable to evaluate the prediction result of the tra ined decision tree model.
  - > lenses\_pred<-predict(lenses\_model, test\_lenses)
    > install.packages("gmodels")

  - > library(gmodels)
    > CrossTable(test\_lenses\$classification, lenses\_pred)

### Cell Contents

```
|-----|
Chi-square contribution |
  N / Row Total |
       N / Col Total |
     N / Table Total |
```

## Total Observations in Table: 4

	lenses_pre	d		
test_lenses\$classification	hard	none none	soft	Row Total
h a sad	1			1 1
hard	•	0 500	0 050	
	2.250		•	
	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.250
	1.000	0.000	0.000	

	0.250	0.000	0.000	
none	0 0.500 0.000 0.000	2   1.000   1.000   1.000	0   0.500   0.000	2
	0.000		0.000	 
soft	0.250	0.500	1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	1
	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.250
Column Total	   1   0.250	2	      1	      4

From the result of crosstable displayed above, it can be seen that the decision tree model we built based on training dataset performs very well on the testing dataset

#### 24 Questions:

- a. it easy or difficult to build the decision tree model?

  Ans: it is very easy to build the decision tree model once the training dataset and testing dataset are ready, just use C5.0() function
- b. Is it intuitive or hard to understand and interpret?

  Ans: it is quite intuitive and easy to understand and interpret the decision tree model build on training dataset. From the output of <a href="summary(lenses\_model">summary(lenses\_model</a>), we know that the decision tree model is 3 depth. It used 20 observations with 5 attributes as training data. the first split is based on attribute tear: if the value of attribute "tear"= "reduced", then 10 out of 20 observations are classified as "none" (patient should not be fitted with contact lenses) without any error, namely 10 observations are correctly classified as "none"; if "tear"= "normal", then need to investigate the feature of "astigmatic", if value of feature "astigmatic" = "non-astigmatic", then 5 observations are classified, namely, two observations with "classification" of other than "hard" is mistakenly classified into "hard"; if value of feature "astigmatic" = "astigmatic", then 5 observations are classified into "soft" class with 1 observation is misclassified. The total error rate is 15%
- c. What are the possible decisions that the tree can make? The decisions that the tree model can make include "none" (patient should not be fitted with contact lenses), "soft" (patient should be fitted with soft contact lenses) and "hard" (patient should be fitted with hard contact lenses)
- d. What is the best-case scenario and what is the worst case scenario of using the model you generated?
   Ans: The best-case scenario is using decision tree model I generated to correctly predict the
  - classifications of unseen observations with rate of 100%, just like the situation of testing dataset, the generated decision tree model predicted the classification of observations on testing dataset with rate of 100%. The worst-case scenario is tree model built on training dataset could not provide

correct prediction on the classification for any unseen observation, or provide correct prediction at very low rate.

e. Are there any risky decisions or consequences that can result from that model?

Ans: yes, there are risky decisions or consequences could result from the model, if the model gave wrong classification, the patient will be prescribed wrong type of lenses, that would lead to the det erioration of patient's condition.