

## Meeting with Paolo

- So Paolo seems to think a single transcript/exon analysis is NOT the way to go...tend to miss information (ie. Nodes in our overlap graph) this way
- Also thinks that Olga's software won't do the job....both of them thinking the usefulness of this type (ie. Exon by exon) of software is extremely limited
- better approach: do assembly of ENTIRE transcriptome
- Paolo developed new software for this ^, which he seems to think is better than anything else out there
- Not just for AS type analysis, but for transcriptome assembly in general
- Big idea here: his software looks for overlapping K-mers w/in BOTH reads of paired-end set
- Idea is that we minimize graph complexity this way....ie. the number of uncertainties, or bubbles in our graph
- Most software will initially form a hell a complex graph, and then go to great lengths to detangle it
- Graph is constructed of k-mers (i.e.. 16 bp) of size smaller than actual read length
- After graph construction, you have a very messy graph, which we clean up by mapping on the actual reads, which are hopefully long enough to resolve bubbles
- Two major sources of graph complexity:
  - A) Repetitive/complex regions longer than K-mers
  - B) Repetitive/complex regions longer than actual reads
- So even after graph cleaning, things are still gonna be very messy
- Here's where PacBio reads come in!
- De Bruijn graph vs overlap graph based assembly
- Here we're doing overlap graph based

---

## FancyPantz Transcriptome Assembler

- Need to run from Ubuntu instance, on AWS cloud
- Are we doing *de novo* assembly here? Are all transcriptome assemblies *de novo*?
  - Trinity definitely is....
- Need dir called /reads
- Put everything else in a dir
- so file -> shared object file....actual code is in c++, but we have some sort of a python wrapper
- Whats actually in Spyros-3cells.py?
- We're not actually doing anything in here?
- Lowercase bases -> lower coverage
- what commands is he actually running??
- kmer length -> this is a param, can be changed....how much overlap do you have between
- Paolo away 20-27th
- but here this week!
- hashFraction variable -> the higher the better, but memory requirement goes up
- docker vs virtualBox -> ubuntu environments for Mac
- bloom filter?
- python memory mapping?
- are you supposed to run all of these options one after another?? They look like steps...
- single cell RNAseq reads/cell vs bulk seq??
- look into: chanzuckerberg-docker (GitHub)
- gpu cluster?

---

## STEPS

- > import cziRNA1.so
  - will make reads binary file?
  - this could be really huge....be careful
- > spyros-3cells.py 1

1 is an option flag

not sure about the difference between options here

>spyros-3cells.py 2

>spyros-3cells.py 3 ...etc.

-----

Questions (for Olga)

- What is a standard object (.so) file?

- Is Spyros-3cells.py a wrapper function for his code? If so, then where is his code (c++) actually located?

- Are the option flags intended to be run one after another? Is it a series of steps?