Question 1: Say whether the following is true or false and support your answer by a proof. ($\exists m \in N$)($\exists n \in N$)($\exists m \in N$)($\exists m \in N$)($\exists m \in N$)

Answer: The statement $(\exists m \in N)(\exists n \in N)(3m+5n = 12)$ is false since no valid solutions exist.

Proof: by cases

Since both m and n are natural numbers, i.e. $m \ge 1$ and $n \ge 1$. This means that $3m \ge 3$ and $5n \ge 5$.

Therefore, there is no possible solution when $n \ge 2$. Thus, we only have to verify cases when n = 1.

If n = 1, i.e. 5n = 5, we need 3m = 7 to make the sum 3m+5n = 12. This is not possible since 3 does not divide 7.

Therefor it is proven that there are no valid solutions to $(\exists m \in N)(\exists n \in N)(\exists m \in N)(\exists m$

Question 2: Say whether the following is true or false and support your answer by a proof: The sum of any five consecutive integers is divisible by 5 (without remainder).

Answer: The statement the sum of any five consecutive integers is divisible by 5 (without remainder) is true.

Proof:

Let n be the smallest of the five integers. Then the other integers are n+1, ..., n+4.

The sum of the five integers thus are n + (n + 1) + (n + 2) + (n + 3) + (n + 4).

This reduces to 5n + 10 which equals 5(n + 2).

This proves that the sum of the five integers are divisible by 5. The proof is complete.

Question 3: Say whether the following is true or false and support your answer by a proof: For any integer n, the number n²+n+1 is odd.

Answer: The statement for any integer n, the number n²+n+1 is odd is true.

Proof: We prove this in two steps, first proving a few trivial facts, then proof by cases.

Statement 1: Even integers squared are always even.

Proof: Let there be two even numbers a and b.

Thus a = 2p and b = 2q by the definition of even numbers (divisible by two).

Then the product of the even numbers ab = (2p)(2q).

This reduces to 4pq by algebra.

Factoring out the common factor two from 4pq gives 2(2pq).

2(2pq) is in the format of even numbers 2n (where n is 2pq).

This proves that the product of any odd numbers a and b is even.

Statement 2: Odd integers squared are always odd.

Proof: Let there be two odd numbers a and b.

Thus a = 2p + 1 and b = 2q + 1 by the definition of odd numbers (not divisible by two).

Then the product of the odd numbers ab = (2p + 1)(2q + 1).

This reduces to 4pq + 2p + 2q + 1 by algebra.

Factoring out the common factor two from 4pq + 2p + 2q gives 2(2pq + p + q) + 1.

2(2pq + p + q) + 1 is in the format of odd numbers 2n + 1 (where n is 2pq + p + q).

This proves that the product of any odd numbers a and b is odd.

Statement 3: The sum of two even numbers are always even.

Proof: Let there be two even numbers a and b.

Thus, by definition of even numbers a = 2p and b = 2q.

Therefor the sum of the two integers can be written 2p + 2q.

Factoring out the common factor two gives us 2(p + q).

2(p + q) is in the format of even numbers 2n (where n is p + q).

This proves that the sum of any even numbers a and b is even.

Statement 4: The sum of two odd numbers are always even.

Proof: Let there be two odd numbers a and b.

Thus, by definition of even numbers a = 2p + 1 and b = 2q + 1.

Therefor the sum of the two integers can be written (2p + 1) + (2q + 1).

This is 2p + 2q + 2. Factoring out the common factor two thus gives us 2(p + q + 1).

2(p+q+1) is in the format of even numbers 2n (where n is p+q+1).

This proves that the sum of any odd numbers a and b is even.

Having proved the trivial facts regarding even and odd number sums and multiplications, we now prove the statement "for any integer n, the number n^2+n+1 is odd" via cases.

Case 1: Let n be even. Then n^2 is even. Thus $n^2 + n$ is also even. Adding 1 makes $n^2 + n + 1$ odd.

Case 2: Let n be odd. Then n^2 is odd. Thus $n^2 + n$ is even. Adding 1 makes $n^2 + n + 1$ odd.

Thus, we have proven that n^2+n+1 is always odd for any integer n. The proof is complete.

Question 4: Prove that every odd natural number is of one of the forms 4n + 1 or 4n + 3, where n is an integer.

Proof: We will prove that every odd number can be written as 4n + 1 or 4n + 3 where n is an integer.

The definition of odd numbers is 2n + 1 and the definition of even numbers is 2n where n is an integer.

Thus, every odd number can be written as 2p + 1 where p is an integer.

p can be either even or odd, i.e. be written as p = 2n or p = 2n + 1.

Now for any n, we see that 2p + 1 is always odd no matter if p is even or odd, i.e. p = 2n or p = 2n + 1:

- if n is even we get 2p + 1 = 2(2n) + 1 which reduces to 4n + 1.
- If n is odd we get 2p + 1 = 2(2n + 1) + 1 which reduces to 4n + 2 + 1 = 4n + 3.

Thus, we have proved that every odd number can be written as 4n + 1 or 4n + 3 where n is an integer. The proof is complete.

Question 5: Prove that for any integer n, at least one of the integers n, n + 2, n + 4 is divisible by 3.

Proof: by cases

It must be true that for any integer which we take modulo 3 we get the answer 0, 1 or 2. This means that n can be rewritten so that only one of the cases below holds.

Case 1: n = 3k + 0. This clearly shows that 3 divides n.

Case 2: n = 3k + 1. Using n, n + 2 and n + 4 (from the statement to be proven) gives us the alternatives:

$$3n + 1$$

 $3n + 2 + 1 = 3n + 3$
 $3n + 4 + 1 = 3n + 5$

Taking 3n + 3 and factoring out 3 gives 3(n + 1) which clearly shows that 3 divides n.

Case 3: n = 3k + 2. Using n, n + 2 and n + 4 (from the statement to be proven) gives us the alternatives:

$$3n + 2$$

 $3n + 2 + 2 = 3n + 4$
 $3n + 4 + 2 = 3n + 6$

Taking 3n + 6 and factoring out 3 gives 3(n + 2) which clearly shows that 3 divides n.

Since 3 divides n in all possible cases, we have proven that for any integer n, at least one of the integers n, n + 2, n + 4 is divisible by 3 holds. The proof is complete.

Question 6: A classic unsolved problem in number theory asks if there are infinitely many pairs of 'twin primes', pairs of primes separated by 2, such as 3 and 5, 11 and 13, or 71 and 73. Prove that the only prime triple (i.e. three primes, each 2 from the next) is 3, 5, 7.

Proof: by contradiction

Suppose that we have a prime triplet (n, n + 2, n + 4) such that n is an integer larger than 3.

It must be true that for any integer which we take modulo 3 we get the answer 0, 1 or 2. This means that n must be possible to rewrite so that one of the cases below holds.

- If n is a multiple of three (n mod 3 = 0), n is clearly not prime.
- If n is one more than a multiple of three (n mod 3 = 1), then n + 2 will be divisible by 3 and thus not prime.
- If n is two more than a multiple of three (n mod 3 = 2), then n + 4 will be divisible by 3 and thus not prime.

Thus, since each possible case shows that it is divisible by 3, we have reached a contradiction, which means that the initial assumption that there exists a prime triplet (n, n + 2, n + 4) such that n is an integer larger than 3 is invalid.

Therefore, we have proven that there is no other prime triplet apart from 3, 5, 7. The proof is complete.

Question 7: Prove that for any natural number n, $2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + ... + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 2$

Proof: by direct proof

Let L = $2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + ... + 2^n$ (the left side of the statement)

Let $R = 2^{n+1} - 2$ (the right side of the statement)

Thus, $2L = 2^2 + 2^3 + ... + 2^{n+1}$ (multiply by 2 and put in exponent)

Therefore, $2L - L = 2^{n+1} - 2$ (all terms except first and last canceling out)

Since 2L - L = L and thus L equals the right side of the statement R, we have proven that the statement holds for any natural number n. The proof is complete.

Question 8: Prove (from the definition of a limit of a sequence) that if the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ tends to limit L as $n \to \infty$, then for any fixed number M > 0, the sequence $\{Ma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ tends to the limit ML.

Proof: based on the definition of the limit of a sequence.

Definition:
$$(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})(\forall n \geq N)(|a_n - a| < \epsilon)$$

The definition of the limit of a sequence tells us that a sequence a_n tends to the real number a, if for each positive real number a, there exists a natural number a such that, for every natural number a N, we have $a_n - a < \epsilon$.

The sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ tends to the limit L as $n \to \infty$ (as described in the question). I.e. in this case we let L correspond to a in the definition.

Based on the definition of the limit of a sequence we are now going to prove that the sequence $\{Ma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ tends to the limit ML as $n \to \infty$, for any fixed number M > 0. I.e. using the definition, we let Ma_n correspond to a_n and ML correspond to a.

We need to prove that the statement $(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})(\forall n \geq N)(|a_n - L| < \epsilon/M)$ is true. This means that from some point n onwards, for any ϵ all the members of the sequence $\{Ma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are within the distance ϵ of ML. This is possible by making ϵ as small as we want to get closer and closer to ML.

Thus, given any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find an $n \ge N \Longrightarrow |a_n - L| < \epsilon/M$

 $n \ge N \Longrightarrow |Ma_n - ML| < M \in /M$

Therefore $n \ge N \Longrightarrow M(|a_n - L|) < \epsilon$

This shows that $\{Ma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ tends to the limit ML as $n \to \infty$, thus the proof is complete.

Question 9: Given an infinite collection A_n , n = 1, 2, ... of intervals of the real line, their intersection is defined to be $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{x \mid (\forall n)(x \in A_n)\}$

Give an example of a family of intervals A_n , n = 1, 2, ..., such that $A_{n+1} \subseteq A_n$ for all n and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$. Prove that your example has the stated property.

Example: $A_n = (0, 1/n)$ where $n = (1, +\infty)$

For intuition:

$$n = 2$$
 1 / 2 = 0.5 giving the interval (0, 0.5)

$$n = 3$$
 1 / 3 = 0.3333... giving the interval (0, 1/3)

Proof: by direct proof

1/n tends to 0 as n approaches ∞ .

For each n in the interval $(1, +\infty)$, A_{n+1} is a smaller subset of the previous interval, i.e. A_n , in the sequence. i.e. $(0, 1/\infty)$... $\subset (0, 1/3) \subset (0, 1/2) \subset (0, 1/1)$.

We can also see that the intersection of these intervals, i.e. $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is empty since as n goes to infinity we will never get any overlap between all intervals in the sequence. As n goes to ∞ the interval will be infinitely small and we can always find a smaller interval by letting the right point of the interval be smaller than the previous. Also, since we have an open interval 0 is not part of the interval and thus the point 0 does not overlap. This shows that the proof is complete.

Question 10: Give an example of a family of intervals A_n , n = 1,2,..., such that $A_{n+1} \subseteq A_n$ for all n and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ consists of a single real number. Prove that your example has the stated property.

Example: $A_n = (-1/n, 1/n)$ where $n = (1, +\infty)$

For intuition:

$$n = 1$$
 An = $(-1/1, 1/1) = (-1, 1)$

$$n = 2$$
 An = $(-1/2, 1/2)$

$$n = 3$$
 An = $(-1/3, 1/3)$

Proof: by direct proof

Both -1/n and 1/n tends to 0 as n approaches ∞ .

For each n in the interval $(1, +\infty)$, A_{n+1} is a smaller subset of the previous interval, i.e. A_n , in the sequence. i.e. $(-1/+\infty, 1/+\infty)$... \subseteq $(-1/3, 1/3) \subseteq (-1/2, 1/2) \subseteq (-1, 1)$.

We can also see that the intersection of these intervals, i.e. $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is 0 since as n goes to infinity we will get infinitely close to 0 from both the left (-1/n) and right side (1/n) of the interval. Thus, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{0\}$, i.e. contains a single real number and the proof is complete.