

Map the Meal Gap 2018:



Child Food Insecurity in Missouri by County in 2016 ¹

County	assistance ²
Adair 18.2% 4,730 19.0% 900 715% 29% Andrew 10.9% 3,992 14.1% 560 73% 27% 27% Andrew 10.9% 3,992 14.1% 560 73% 27% 27% 33% Audrain 14.9% 6,013 19.9% 1,190 73% 27% 27% 287% 680 80% 20% 88ry 13.9% 8,181 21.6% 1,770 88% 12% 88rd 14.3% 4,006 19.7% 790 83% 17% 88rd 16.0% 2,988 22.5% 680 80% 20% 80% 19.7% 800 80% 19.7% 800 83% 17% 800 80% 10.0% 10.0% 16.6% 33.3% 2.25% 570 94% 66% 80% 80% 20% 800% 20% 800% 10.0%	
Andrew 10.9% 3,992 14.1% 5600 73% 27% Atchson 12.9% 1,041 17.4% 180 67% 33% Atchson 12.9% 1,041 17.4% 180 67% 33% 27% 27% 27% 27% 27% 27% 27% 27% 27% 27	
Atchison 12.9% 1,041 17.4% 180 67% 33% Audrain 14.9% 6,013 19.9% 1,190 73% 27% 27% 88ry 13.9% 8,181 21.6% 1,770 88% 12.78 88rton 16.0% 2,998 22.5% 680 80% 20% 88tes 14.3% 4,006 19.7% 790 83% 17% 88tenton 16.6% 3,325 22.5% 750 94% 66% 80llinger 15.3% 2,724 22.7% 620 67% 33% 80one 16.2% 35,459 15.2% 5,410 61% 39% 80thann 14.8% 20,504 19.6% 4,020 68% 32% 80thann 14.8% 20,504 19.6% 320 69% 32% 80thann 14.8% 20,504 19.6% 690 82% 80thann 14.8% 20,504 19.6% 690 82% 80thann 14.8% 19.5% 19.5% 310 95% 32% 80thann 14.8% 19.5% 19.5% 300 88% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8% 19.8	
Audrain 14.9% 6,013 19.9% 1,190 73% 27% 28my 13.9% 8,181 21.6% 1,770 88% 12% 88% 12% 88mt 16.0% 2.998 22.5% 680 80% 20% 20% 20% 25.5% 680 80% 20% 20% 20% 25.5% 680 80% 20% 20% 20% 20% 25.5% 25.5% 750 94% 6% 6% 806linger 15.3% 2,724 22.7% 620 67% 33% 20.00 67% 20.00 67	
Barry 13.9% 8,181 21.6% 1,770 88% 12% Barton 16.0% 2,998 22.5% 680 80% 20% Barton 16.0% 2,998 22.5% 680 80% 20% Benton 14.6% 3,325 22.5% 750 94% 6% Bollinger 15.3% 2,724 22.7% 620 67% 33% Buchana 14.8% 20,540 19.6% 4,020 68% 32% Butler 17.2% 10,043 21.9% 2,200 77% 23% Callwary 13.0% 9,638 17.4% 1,680 58% 42% Camden 14.1% 8,226 21.5% 1,770 74% 26% Carge Grardau 15.4% 16,748 17.5% 2,940 65% 35% Carrer 16.2% 1,513 21.6% 330 79% 22% Cars 11.4% 2,547 15.0	
Barton 16.0% 2,998 22.5% 680 80% 20% Bates 14.3% 4,006 19.7% 790 83% 17% Benton 14.6% 3,325 22.5% 750 94% 6% Bolinger 15.3% 2,7724 22.7% 620 67% 33% Boone 16.2% 35,459 15.2% 5,410 61% 39% Butler 17.2% 10,043 21.9% 2,200 77% 23% Butler 17.2% 10,043 21.9% 2,200 77% 23% Caldwell 12.6% 2,195 17.5% 380 67% 33% Callway 13.0% 9,638 17.4% 1,680 58% 42% Camden 14.1% 8,226 21.5% 1,770 74% 26% Cape Girardeau 15.4% 16,748 17.5% 2,940 65% 35% Carroll 14.7% 2,063	
Bates 14.3% 4,006 19.7% 790 83% 17% Benton 14.6% 3.325 22.5% 750 94% 6% Bollinger 15.3% 2.724 22.7% 620 67% 33% Boone 16.2% 35,459 15.2% 5.410 61% 39% Buchanan 14.8% 20,540 19.6% 4,020 68% 32% Butler 17.2% 10,043 21.9% 2,200 77% 23% Calidwell 12.6% 2,195 17.5% 380 67% 33% Callaway 13.0% 9,638 17.4% 1,680 58% 42% Camden 14.1% 8,226 21.5% 1,770 74% 26% Cape Girardeau 15.4% 16,748 17.5% 2,940 65% 35% Carber 16.2% 1,513 21.6% 330 79% 22% Carse 11.1% 25.47 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Benton 14.6% 3,325 22.5% 750 94% 6% 80llinger 15.3% 2,724 22.7% 620 67% 33% 800ne 16.2% 35.459 15.2% 5.410 61% 39% 8uchanan 14.8% 20,540 19.6% 4,020 68% 32% 8utler 17.2% 10,043 21.9% 2,200 77% 23% Caldwell 12.6% 2,195 17.5% 380 67% 33% 620 68% 32% 620 68% 32% 620 68% 32% 620 68% 32% 620 68% 32% 620 68% 32% 620 68% 32% 620 62% 62% 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 62% 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 62% 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 62% 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 62% 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 62% 62% 32% 620 62% 32% 62% 62% 62% 62% 62% 62% 62% 62% 62% 6	
Bollinger 15.3% 2,724 22.7% 620 67% 33% Boone 16.2% 35.459 15.2% 5,410 61% 39% Buchanan 14.8% 20,540 19.6% 4,020 68% 32% Butler 17.2% 10,043 21.9% 2,200 77% 23% Callaway 13.0% 9,638 17.4% 1,680 58% 42% Camden 14.1% 8,226 21.5% 1,770 74% 26% Carded 15.4% 16,748 17.5% 2,940 65% 35% Carded 15.4% 16,748 17.5% 2,940 65% 35% Carroll 14.7% 2,063 20.1% 410 63% 38% Carter 16.2% 1,513 21.6% 330 79% 22% Cass 11.1% 25,477 15.9% 3,820 59% 44% Chair 16.3% 3,327 20	
Boone 16.2% 35,459 15.2% 5,410 61% 39% Buchanan 14.8% 20,540 19.6% 4,020 68% 32% Buther 17.2% 10,043 21.9% 2,200 77% 23% Caldwell 12.6% 2,195 17.5% 380 66% 33% Callaway 13.0% 9,638 17.4% 1,680 58% 42% Camden 14.1% 8,226 21.5% 1,770 74% 26% Carpe Girardeau 15.4% 16,748 17.5% 330 59% 433 Carroll 14.7% 2,063 20.1% 410 63% 35% Carroll 14.7% 2,063 20.1% 410 63% 35% Carroll 14.7% 2,063 20.1% 410 63% 35% Carte 16.2% 1,513 21.6% 330 79% 22% Cass 11.1% 25,477 <th< td=""><td></td></th<>	
Buchanan 14.8% 20,540 19.6% 4,020 68% 32% Butler 17.2% 10,043 21.9% 2,200 77% 23% Caldwell 12.6% 2,195 17.5% 380 67% 33% Callaway 13.0% 9,638 17.4% 1,680 58% 42% Camden 14.1% 8,226 21.5% 1,770 74% 26% Cape Girardeau 15.4% 16,748 17.5% 2,940 65% 35% Carroll 14.7% 2,063 20.1% 410 63% 38% Carret 16.2% 1,513 21.6% 330 79% 22% Cases 11.1% 25,477 15.0% 3,820 59% 41% Cedar 16.3% 3,327 20.6% 690 82% 13% Chariton 13.4% 1,726 17.3% 300 72% 25% Clary 11.3% 5,518 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Butler 17.2% 10,043 21.9% 2,200 77% 23% Caldwell 12.6% 2,195 17.5% 380 67% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 42% Camden 14.1% 8,226 21.5% 1,770 74% 26% Cape Girardeau 15.4% 16,748 17.5% 2,940 65% 35% Carroll 14.7% 2,063 20.1% 410 63% 33% Carter 16.2% 1,513 21.6% 33.0 79% 22% Cass 11.1% 25,477 15.0% 3,820 59% 41% Cedar 16.3% 3,327 20.6% 690 82% 18% Chariton 13.4% 1,726 17.3% 300 83% 17% Christian 11.5% 21,461 16.2% 3,490 72% 28% Clark 14.4% 1,597 19.5% 310 75% 25% 24% Clark 11.3% 58,158 14.3% 8,320 56% 44% Clinton 11.5% 4,940 15.7% 780 69% 31% Cole 13.7% 17.554 16.1% 2,820 62% 38% Cooper 14.1% 3,882 17.6% 680 82% 18% Crawford 15.5% 5,812 21.2% 1,230 82% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18	
Caldwell 12.6% 2,195 17.5% 380 67% 33% Callaway 13.0% 9,638 17.4% 1,680 58% 42% Camden 14.1% 8,226 21.5% 1,770 74% 26% Cape Girardeau 15.4% 16,748 17.5% 2,940 65% 35% Carroll 14.7% 2,063 20.1% 410 63% 38% Carroll 14.7% 2,063 20.1% 410 63% 38% Carroll 16.2% 1,513 21.6% 330 79% 22% Cass 11.1% 25,477 15.0% 3,820 59% 41% Cedar 16.3% 3,327 20.6% 690 82% 18% Chariton 13.4% 1,726 17.3% 300 83% 17% Chirstian 11.5% 21,461 16.2% 3,490 72% 28% Clark 14.4% 1,597 <th< td=""><td></td></th<>	
Callaway 13.0% 9,638 17.4% 1,680 58% 42% Camden 14.1% 8,226 21.5% 1,770 74% 26% Cape Girardeau 15.4% 16,748 17.5% 2,940 65% 35% Carroll 14.7% 2,063 20.1% 410 63% 38% Carter 16.2% 1,513 21.6% 330 79% 22% Cass 11.1% 25,477 15.0% 3,820 59% 41% Cedar 16.3% 3,327 20.6% 690 82% 13% Chariton 13.4% 1,726 17.3% 300 83% 17% Chirtian 11.5% 21,461 16.2% 3,490 72% 28% Clark 14.4% 1,597 19.5% 310 75% 25% Clay 11.3% 58,158 14.3% 8,320 56% 44% Clinton 11.5% 4,940 15	
Camden 14.1% 8,226 21.5% 1,770 74% 26% Cape Girardeau 15.4% 16,748 17.5% 2,940 65% 35% Carroll 14.7% 2,063 20.1% 410 63% 38% Carter 16.2% 1,513 21.6% 330 79% 22% Cass 11.1% 25,477 15.0% 3,820 59% 41% Cedar 16.3% 3,327 20.6% 690 82% 18% Chariton 13.4% 1,726 17.3% 300 83% 17% Christian 11.5% 21,461 16.2% 3,490 72% 28% Clark 14.4% 1,597 19.5% 310 75% 25% Clay 11.3% 58,158 14.3% 8,320 56% 44% Clinton 11.5% 4,940 15.7% 780 69% 33% Cole 13.7% 17.554 16.1%<	
Cape Girardeau 15.4% 16,748 17.5% 2,940 65% 35% Carroll 14.7% 2,063 20.1% 410 63% 38% Carter 16.2% 1,513 21.6% 330 79% 22% Cass 11.1% 25,477 15.0% 3,820 59% 41% Cedar 16.3% 3,327 20.6% 690 82% 18% Chariton 13.4% 1,726 17.3% 300 83% 17% Christian 11.5% 21,461 16.2% 3,490 72% 28% Clark 14.4% 1,597 19.5% 310 75% 25% Clay 11.3% 58,158 14.3% 8,320 56% 44% Clark 14.4% 1,597 780 69% 31% Cole 13.7% 17,554 16.1% 2,820 62% 38% Cooper 14.1% 3,882 17.6% 680	
Carroll 14.7% 2,063 20.1% 410 63% 38% Carter 16.2% 1,513 21.6% 330 79% 22% Cass 11.1% 25,477 15.0% 3,820 59% 41% Cedar 16.3% 3,327 20.6% 690 82% 18% Charitton 13.4% 1,726 17.3% 300 83% 17% Christian 11.5% 21,461 16.2% 3,490 72% 28% Clark 14.4% 1,597 19.5% 310 75% 25% Clay 11.3% 58,158 14.3% 8,320 56% 44% Clay 11.3% 58,158 14.3% 8,320 56% 44% Clay 11.5% 4,940 15.7% 780 69% 31% Cole 13.7% 17,554 16.1% 2,820 62% 38% Cooper 14.1% 3,882 17.6%	
Carter 16.2% 1,513 21.6% 330 79% 22% Cass 11.1% 25,477 15.0% 3,820 59% 41% Cedar 16.3% 3,327 20.6% 690 82% 18% Charitton 13.4% 1,726 17.3% 300 83% 17% Christian 11.5% 21,461 16.2% 3,490 72% 28% Clark 14.4% 1,597 19.5% 310 75% 25% Clark 14.14% 1,597 19.5% 310 75% 25% Clay 11.3% 58,158 14.3% 8,320 56% 44% Clinton 11.5% 4,940 15.7% 780 69% 31% Cole 13.7% 17,554 16.1% 2,820 62% 38% Cooper 14.1% 3,882 17.6% 680 82% 18% Crawford 15.5% 5,812 21.2%	
Cass 11.1% 25,477 15.0% 3,820 59% 41% Cedar 16.3% 3,327 20.6% 690 82% 18% Chariton 13.4% 1,726 17.3% 300 83% 17% Christian 11.5% 21,461 16.2% 3,490 72% 28% Clark 14.4% 1,597 19.5% 310 75% 25% Clay 11.3% 58,158 14.3% 8,320 56% 44% Clinton 11.5% 4,940 15.7% 780 69% 31% Ciole 13.7% 17,554 16.1% 2,820 62% 38% Cooper 14.1% 3,882 17.6% 680 82% 18% Crawford 15.5% 5,812 21.2% 1,230 82% 18% Dade 14.3% 1,609 21.3% 340 88% 12% Dallas 14.7% 3,917 21.0%	
Cedar 16.3% 3,327 20.6% 690 82% 18% Chariton 13.4% 1,726 17.3% 300 83% 17% Christian 11.5% 21,461 16.2% 3,490 72% 28% Clark 14.4% 1,597 19.5% 310 75% 25% Clay 11.3% 58,158 14.3% 8,320 56% 44% Clinton 11.5% 4,940 15.7% 780 69% 31% Cole 13.7% 17,554 16.1% 2,820 62% 38% Cooper 14.1% 3,882 17.6% 680 82% 18% Crawford 15.5% 5,812 21.2% 1,230 82% 18% Dade 14.3% 1,609 21.3% 340 88% 12% Dallas 14.7% 3,917 21.0% 820 100% 0% DeKalb 14.8% 2,153 18.5%	
Chariton 13.4% 1,726 17.3% 300 83% 17% Christian 11.5% 21,461 16.2% 3,490 72% 28% Clark 14.4% 1,597 19.5% 310 75% 25% Clay 11.3% 58,158 14.3% 8,320 56% 44% Clinton 11.5% 4,940 15.7% 780 69% 31% Cole 13.7% 17,554 16.1% 2,820 62% 38% Cooper 14.1% 3,882 17.6% 680 82% 18% Crawford 15.5% 5,812 21.2% 1,230 82% 18% Dade 14.3% 1,609 21.3% 340 88% 12% Dallas 14.7% 3,917 21.0% 820 100% 0% Dekalb 14.8% 2,153 18.5% 400 88% 12% Dent 16.0% 3,592 21.0%	
Christian 11.5% 21,461 16.2% 3,490 72% 28% Clark 14.4% 1,597 19.5% 310 75% 25% Clay 11.3% 58,158 14.3% 8,320 56% 44% Clinton 11.5% 4,940 15.7% 780 69% 31% Cole 13.7% 17,554 16.1% 2,820 62% 38% Cooper 14.1% 3,882 17.6% 680 82% 18% Crawford 15.5% 5,812 21.2% 1,230 82% 18% Dade 14.3% 1,609 21.3% 340 88% 12% Dallas 14.7% 3,917 21.0% 820 100% 0% Dekalb 14.8% 2,158 18.5% 400 88% 12% Dekalb 14.8% 2,158 18.7% 400 65% 35% Douglas 15.3% 2,904 22.8% 660 89% 11% Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5% </td <td></td>	
Clark 14.4% 1,597 19.5% 310 75% 25% Clay 11.3% 58,158 14.3% 8,320 56% 44% Clinton 11.5% 4,940 15.7% 780 69% 31% Cole 13.7% 17,554 16.1% 2,820 62% 38% Cooper 14.1% 3,882 17.6% 680 82% 18% Crawford 15.5% 5,812 21.2% 1,230 82% 18% Dade 14.3% 1,609 21.3% 340 88% 12% Dallas 14.7% 3,917 21.0% 820 100% 0% Dexiess 12.9% 2,153 18.5% 400 88% 12% Dekalb 14.8% 2,158 18.7% 400 65% 35% Dent 16.0% 3,592 21.0% 750 70% 30% Douglas 15.3% 2,904 22.8% 660 89% 11% Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5%	
Clay 11.3% 58,158 14.3% 8,320 56% 44% Clinton 11.5% 4,940 15.7% 780 69% 31% Cole 13.7% 17,554 16.1% 2,820 62% 38% Cooper 14.1% 3,882 17.6% 680 82% 18% Crawford 15.5% 5,812 21.2% 1,230 82% 18% Dade 14.3% 1,609 21.3% 340 88% 12% Dallas 14.7% 3,917 21.0% 820 100% 0% Daviess 12.9% 2,153 18.5% 400 88% 12% DeKalb 14.8% 2,158 18.7% 400 65% 35% Dent 16.0% 3,592 21.0% 750 70% 30% Douglas 15.3% 2,904 22.8% 660 89% 11% Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5% 2,070 77% 23% Franklin 12.4% 24,026 17.3% <td></td>	
Clinton 11.5% 4,940 15.7% 780 69% 31% Cole 13.7% 17,554 16.1% 2,820 62% 38% Cooper 14.1% 3,882 17.6% 680 82% 18% Crawford 15.5% 5,812 21.2% 1,230 82% 18% Dade 14.3% 1,609 21.3% 340 88% 12% Dallas 14.7% 3,917 21.0% 820 100% 0% Daviess 12.9% 2,153 18.5% 400 88% 12% DeKalb 14.8% 2,158 18.7% 400 65% 35% Dent 16.0% 3,592 21.0% 750 70% 30% Douglas 15.3% 2,904 22.8% 660 89% 11% Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5% 2,070 77% 23% Franklin 12.4% 24,026 17.3% 4,150 63% 37% Gasconade 12.9% 3,172 18.6	
Cole 13.7% 17,554 16.1% 2,820 62% 38% Cooper 14.1% 3,882 17.6% 680 82% 18% Crawford 15.5% 5,812 21.2% 1,230 82% 18% Dade 14.3% 1,609 21.3% 340 88% 12% Dallas 14.7% 3,917 21.0% 820 100% 0% Daviess 12.9% 2,153 18.5% 400 88% 12% DeKalb 14.8% 2,158 18.7% 400 65% 35% Dent 16.0% 3,592 21.0% 750 70% 30% Douglas 15.3% 2,904 22.8% 660 89% 11% Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5% 2,070 77% 23% Franklin 12.4% 24,026 17.3% 4,150 63% 37% Gasconade 12.9% 3,172 18.6% 590 68% 32% Gentry 13.1% 1,634 17.8%	
Cooper 14.1% 3,882 17.6% 680 82% 18% Crawford 15.5% 5,812 21.2% 1,230 82% 18% Dade 14.3% 1,609 21.3% 340 88% 12% Dallas 14.7% 3,917 21.0% 820 100% 0% Daviess 12.9% 2,153 18.5% 400 88% 12% DeKalb 14.8% 2,158 18.7% 400 65% 35% Dent 16.0% 3,592 21.0% 750 70% 30% Douglas 15.3% 2,904 22.8% 660 89% 11% Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5% 2,070 77% 23% Franklin 12.4% 24,026 17.3% 4,150 63% 37% Gasconade 12.9% 3,172 18.6% 590 68% 32% Gentry 13.1% 1,634 17.8%	
Crawford 15.5% 5,812 21.2% 1,230 82% 18% Dade 14.3% 1,609 21.3% 340 88% 12% Dallas 14.7% 3,917 21.0% 820 100% 0% Daviess 12.9% 2,153 18.5% 400 88% 12% DeKalb 14.8% 2,158 18.7% 400 65% 35% Dent 16.0% 3,592 21.0% 750 70% 30% Douglas 15.3% 2,904 22.8% 660 89% 11% Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5% 2,070 77% 23% Franklin 12.4% 24,026 17.3% 4,150 63% 37% Gasconade 12.9% 3,172 18.6% 590 68% 32% Gentry 13.1% 1,634 17.8% 290 75% 25%	
Dade 14.3% 1,609 21.3% 340 88% 12% Dallas 14.7% 3,917 21.0% 820 100% 0% Daviess 12.9% 2,153 18.5% 400 88% 12% DeKalb 14.8% 2,158 18.7% 400 65% 35% Dent 16.0% 3,592 21.0% 750 70% 30% Douglas 15.3% 2,904 22.8% 660 89% 11% Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5% 2,070 77% 23% Franklin 12.4% 24,026 17.3% 4,150 63% 37% Gasconade 12.9% 3,172 18.6% 590 68% 32% Gentry 13.1% 1,634 17.8% 290 75% 25%	
Dallas 14.7% 3,917 21.0% 820 100% 0% Daviess 12.9% 2,153 18.5% 400 88% 12% DeKalb 14.8% 2,158 18.7% 400 65% 35% Dent 16.0% 3,592 21.0% 750 70% 30% Douglas 15.3% 2,904 22.8% 660 89% 11% Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5% 2,070 77% 23% Franklin 12.4% 24,026 17.3% 4,150 63% 37% Gasconade 12.9% 3,172 18.6% 590 68% 32% Gentry 13.1% 1,634 17.8% 290 75% 25%	
Daviess 12.9% 2,153 18.5% 400 88% 12% DeKalb 14.8% 2,158 18.7% 400 65% 35% Dent 16.0% 3,592 21.0% 750 70% 30% Douglas 15.3% 2,904 22.8% 660 89% 11% Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5% 2,070 77% 23% Franklin 12.4% 24,026 17.3% 4,150 63% 37% Gasconade 12.9% 3,172 18.6% 590 68% 32% Gentry 13.1% 1,634 17.8% 290 75% 25%	
DeKalb 14.8% 2,158 18.7% 400 65% 35% Dent 16.0% 3,592 21.0% 750 70% 30% Douglas 15.3% 2,904 22.8% 660 89% 11% Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5% 2,070 77% 23% Franklin 12.4% 24,026 17.3% 4,150 63% 37% Gasconade 12.9% 3,172 18.6% 590 68% 32% Gentry 13.1% 1,634 17.8% 290 75% 25%	
Dent 16.0% 3,592 21.0% 750 70% 30% Douglas 15.3% 2,904 22.8% 660 89% 11% Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5% 2,070 77% 23% Franklin 12.4% 24,026 17.3% 4,150 63% 37% Gasconade 12.9% 3,172 18.6% 590 68% 32% Gentry 13.1% 1,634 17.8% 290 75% 25%	
Douglas 15.3% 2,904 22.8% 660 89% 11% Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5% 2,070 77% 23% Franklin 12.4% 24,026 17.3% 4,150 63% 37% Gasconade 12.9% 3,172 18.6% 590 68% 32% Gentry 13.1% 1,634 17.8% 290 75% 25%	
Dunklin 20.0% 8,104 25.5% 2,070 77% 23% Franklin 12.4% 24,026 17.3% 4,150 63% 37% Gasconade 12.9% 3,172 18.6% 590 68% 32% Gentry 13.1% 1,634 17.8% 290 75% 25%	
Franklin 12.4% 24,026 17.3% 4,150 63% 37% Gasconade 12.9% 3,172 18.6% 590 68% 32% Gentry 13.1% 1,634 17.8% 290 75% 25%	
Gasconade 12.9% 3,172 18.6% 590 68% 32% Gentry 13.1% 1,634 17.8% 290 75% 25%	
Gentry 13.1% 1,634 17.8% 290 75% 25%	
,	
Greene 15.3% 60.019 18.3% 10.970 73% 27%	
Grundy 15.0% 2,417 20.4% 490 77% 23%	
Harrison 14.3% 2,141 20.4% 440 88% 12%	
Henry 15.1% 4,915 22.2% 1,090 71% 29%	
Hickory 16.0% 1,611 24.3% 390 70% 30%	
Holt 13.0% 913 16.3% 150 83% 17%	
Howard 14.5% 2,250 20.1% 450 82% 18%	
Howell 16.6% 9,806 23.5% 2,310 86% 15%	
Iron 16.9% 2,161 23.5% 510 70% 30%	
Jackson 17.2% 164,647 18.7% 30,760 64% 36%	
Jasper 13.8% 29,823 18.4% 5,490 73% 27%	
Jefferson 11.3% 53,485 16.0% 8,550 62% 38%	
Johnson 15.3% 11,839 17.3% 2,050 68% 32%	
Knox 14.1% 974 19.8% 190 72% 28%	
Laclede 15.3% 8,618 21.2% 1,830 85% 15%	
Lafayette 12.3% 7,667 17.4% 1,330 64% 36%	
Lawrence 13.2% 9,754 19.9% 1,940 87% 13%	
Lewis 13.3% 2,299 17.5% 400 92% 8%	
Lincoln 12.6% 14,292 17.6% 2,520 73% 27%	
·	
·	
Livingston 14.5% 3,235 19.2% 620 67% 33% McDonald 13.3% 6,023 20.0% 1,200 98% 2%	
Macon 14.8% 3,678 21.6% 790 79% 21%	

County	Food insecurity rate (full	Population under 18	Child food insecurity	Estimated number food insecure children	Food insecure children likely income-eligible for federal	Food insecure children likely NOT income-eligible for
County	population)	years old	rate	(rounded)	nutrition assistance ²	federal nutrition assistance ²
Madison	14.5%	2,880	18.7%	540	100%	0%
Maries	14.4%	1,921	22.4%	430	87%	13%
Marion	15.2%	6,825	19.2%	1,310	74%	26%
Mercer	13.2%	926	18.0%	170	91%	10%
Miller	14.6%	5,899	21.1%	1,240	74%	26%
Mississippi	22.8%	3,170	26.2%	830	77%	23%
Moniteau	12.2%	4,027	16.8%	680	64%	36%
Monroe	14.0%	1,935	20.9%	400	79%	22%
Montgomery	14.2%	2,721	19.4%	530	91%	9%
Morgan	16.4%	4,449	23.3%	1,030	93%	7%
New Madrid	21.5%	4,449	27.2%	1,160	80%	20%
Newton	12.7%	14,227	17.5%	2,480	80%	20%
	18.0%			790	81%	
Nodaway		3,851	20.4%	640		19%
Oregon	16.9%	2,448	26.1%		78%	22%
Osage	9.7%	3,216	13.2%	420	64%	36%
Ozark	17.9%	1,817	24.5%	450	86%	15%
Pemiscot	24.3%	4,690	26.6%	1,250	74%	26%
Perry	10.2%	4,674	14.5%	680	81%	19%
Pettis	13.8%	10,562	18.5%	1,950	82%	18%
Phelps	16.2%	9,503	19.0%	1,800	73%	27%
Pike	14.2%	4,039	18.3%	740	84%	16%
Platte	11.2%	22,766	13.5%	3,070	47%	53%
Polk	14.7%	7,362	19.0%	1,400	84%	16%
Pulaski	16.1%	12,170	18.4%	2,240	66%	34%
Putnam	14.4%	1,064	20.0%	210	80%	20%
Ralls	11.7%	2,217	17.2%	380	73%	27%
Randolph	15.0%	5,633	18.6%	1,050	78%	22%
Ray	13.2%	5,408	19.9%	1,070	68%	32%
Reynolds	15.1%	1,378	20.5%	280	74%	27%
Ripley	16.9%	3,242	24.0%	780	80%	20%
St. Charles	9.6%	92,744	12.9%	11,940	43%	57%
St. Clair	15.9%	1,805	23.4%	420	77%	23%
Ste. Genevieve	12.8%	4,047	19.1%	770	65%	35%
St. Francois	15.3%	14,203	19.1%	2,710	74%	26%
St. Louis	14.7%	224,447	14.8%	33,280	55%	45%
Saline	13.9%	5,355	19.1%	1,030	77%	23%
Schuyler	16.7%	1,133	24.7%	280	78%	22%
Scotland	13.7%	1,387	19.6%	270	92%	8%
Scott	16.9%	9,352	21.1%	1,980	77%	23%
Shannon	16.6%	1,845	23.0%	420	62%	38%
Shelby	13.5%	1,451	20.2%	290	75%	25%
Stoddard	15.2%	6,601	20.1%	1,330	74%	26%
Stone	13.5%	5,465	19.8%	1,080	79%	21%
Sullivan	11.4%	1,474	18.0%	270	80%	21%
Taney	16.3%	11,443	21.9%	2,510	80%	20%
Texas	17.4%	6,040	24.4%	1,470	85%	15%
Vernon	14.7%	5,103	20.8%	1,060	81%	19%
Warren	12.2%	8,143	18.2%	1,480	72%	28%
Washington	15.2%	5,792	22.8%	1,320	82%	18%
Wayne	15.7%	2,805	22.4%	630	88%	12%
Webster	14.5%	10,091	20.1%	2,020	90%	10%
Worth	11.8%	415	16.8%	70	100%	0%
Wright	17.3%	4,587	23.3%	1,070	93%	7%
St. Louis city					60%	40%
•	25.1%	63,972	23.3%	14,890		
State Total ³	14.7%	1,390,064	17.4%	241,830	66%	34%

 $For \ additional \ data \ and \ maps \ by \ county, \ state, \ and \ congressional \ district, \ please \ visit \ \ \underline{map.feedingamerica.org} \ .$

Gundersen, C., A. Dewey, A. Crumbaugh, M. Kato & E. Engelhard. Map the Meal Gap 2018: A Report on County and Congressional District Food Insecurity and County Food Cost in the United States in 2016. Feeding America, 2018. This research is generously supported by The Howard G. Buffett Foundation and Nielsen.

¹Map the Meal Gap 's child food insecurity rates are determined using data from the 2001-2016 Current Population Survey on children under 18 years old in food insecure households; data from the 2016 American Community Survey on median family incomes for households with children, child poverty rates, home ownership, and race and ethnic demographics among children; and 2016 data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics on unemployment rates.

²Numbers reflect percentage of food insecure children living in households with incomes above or below 185% of the federal poverty guideline for 2016. Eligibility for federal child nutrition programs is determined in part by income thresholds which can vary by state.

³Data in the state totals row do not reflect the sum of all counties in that state. The state totals are aggregated from the congressional districts data in that state.



Map the Meal Gap 2018:



Child Food Insecurity in Missouri by Congressional District in 2016 1

Congressional District	Food insecurity rate (full population)	Child food insecurity rate	Estimated number food insecure children (rounded)	Food insecure children likely income-eligible for federal nutrition assistance ²	Food insecure children likely NOT income-eligible for federal nutrition assistance ²
1	23.6%	21.0%	33,590	59%	41%
2	9.3%	11.3%	18,850	43%	57%
3	11.2%	15.4%	28,680	59%	41%
4	14.3%	17.6%	30,070	72%	28%
5	17.1%	19.3%	34,260	67%	33%
6	12.2%	15.8%	28,740	63%	37%
7	14.2%	18.7%	33,340	79%	21%
8	16.3%	20.5%	34,300	78%	22%

For additional data and maps by county, state, and congressional district, please visit $\frac{map.feedingamerica.org}{map.feedingamerica.org}$.

Gundersen, C., A. Dewey, A. Crumbaugh, M. Kato & E. Engelhard. Map the Meal Gap 2018: A Report on County and Congressional District Food Insecurity and County Food Cost in the United States in 2016. Feeding America, 2018. This research is generously supported by The Howard G. Buffett Foundation and Nielsen.

¹Map the Meal Gap 's child food insecurity rates are determined using data from the 2016 Current Population Survey on children under 18 years old in food insecure households; data from the 2016 American Community Survey on median family incomes for households with children, child poverty rates, home ownership, and race and ethnic demographics among children; and 2016 data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics on unemployment rates.

²Numbers reflect percentage of food insecure children living in households with incomes above or below 185% of the federal poverty guideline for 2016. Eligibility for federal child nutrition programs is determined in part by income thresholds which can vary by state.