

Visualization methods for RNA-sequencing data

SUMMARY: This is the summary for this paper.

KEY WORDS: Data visualization; Exploratory data analysis; Interactive graphics; RNA-sequencing; Statistical graphics

1. Introduction

RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) uses next-generation sequencing (NGS) to estimate the quantity of RNA in biological samples at given timepoints. In recent years, decreasing cost and increasing throughput has rendered RNA-seq an attractive alternative to transcriptome profiling. Prior to RNA-seq, gene expression studies were performed with microarray techniques, which required prior knowledge of reference sequences. RNA-seq does not have this limitation, and has enabled a new range of applications such as transcriptome de novo assembly (Grabherr et al., 2011; Robertson et al., 2010) and detection of alternative splicing processes (Anders, Reyes, and Huber, 2012; Pan et al., 2008). Coupled with its high resolution and sensitivity, RNA-seq will likely revolutionize our understanding of the intricacies of eukaryotic transcriptomes (Wang, Gerstein, and Snyder, 2009; Zhao et al., 2014).

Gene expression data is multivariate data, and its basic form is a matrix containing mapped read counts for n rows of genes and p columns of samples. These mapped read counts provide estimations of the gene expression levels across samples. Researchers typically conduct RNA-seq studies to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between treatment groups. In most popular RNA-seq analysis packages, this objective is approached with models, such as the negative binomial model (Anders and Huber, 2010; Trapnell et al., 2013; Trapnell et al., 2012; Robinson et al., 2010) and linear regression models (Law et al., 2014).

Initially, it was widely claimed that RNA-seq produced unbiased data that did not require sophisticated normalization (Wang et al., 2009; Morin et al., 2008; Marioni et al., 2008). However, numerous studies have since revealed that RNA-seq data is replete with biases and that accurate detection of DEGs is not a negligible task. Biases that complicate the analysis of RNA-seq data include nucleotide-specific and read-position specific biases (Hansen et al., 2010), biases related to the lengths of genes and sequencing depths (Oshlack, Robinson, and Young, 2010; Robinson and Oshlack, 2010), biases related to the number of replica-

tions (Schurch et al., 2016), biases introduced during library preparation (McIntyre et al., 2011), biases related to alternative gene isoforms and overlapping senseantisense transcripts (Trapnell et al., 2013), and confounding issues arising from the combination of technical and biological biases (Bullard et al., 2010).

2. Model

2.1 *First Model Subsection*

The Cox model (Cox, 1972) is one of the most widely used statistical models. Hastie, Tibshirani, and Friedman (2001) is an example of a citation to a work with three authors. The first time you reference one of these in the text, use all the authors names. However, in all subsequent references, just use Hastie et al. (2001). Works with four or more authors are always referenced in the text using “et al.” All authors names should appear in the bibliography for all entries.

2.2 *Second Model Subsection*

Please use a recent issue of *Biometrics* as a guide to the style for citations and bibliography entries, and follow that style exactly!!

3. Inference

Please see the file `biomsample.tex` for fancy examples of making tables. Here is a very simple one. Use `table` for tables that are narrow enough to fit in one column of the typeset journal; use `table*` for tables that need to span two columns. For figures, use of `figure` and `figure*` is analogous.

[Table 1 about here.]

You can experiment with fancier tables than Table 1.

We can get bold symbols using `\bm{math}`, for example, α_i .

4. Discussion

Put your final comments here.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Professor A. Sen for some helpful suggestions, Dr C. R. Rangarajan for a critical reading of the original version of the paper, and an anonymous referee for very useful comments that improved the presentation of the paper.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Web Appendix A, referenced in Section 2, is available with this paper at the Biometrics website on Wiley Online Library.

REFERENCES

- Anders, S. and Huber, W. (2010). Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biology* **11**, R106.
- Anders, S., Reyes, A., and Huber, W. (2012). Detecting differential usage of exons from RNA-seq data. *Genome Research* **22**, 20082017.
- Bullard, J. H., Purdom, E., Hansen, K. D., and Dudoit, S. (2010). Evaluation of statistical methods for normalization and differential expression in mRNA-Seq experiments. *BMC Bioinformatics* **11**, 94.
- Grabherr, M. G., Haas, B. J., Yassour, M., Levin, J. Z., Thompson, D. A., Amit, I., et al. (2011). Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nature Biotechnology* **29**, 644652.
- Hansen, K.D., Brenner, S.E., and Dudoit, S. (2010). Biases in Illumina transcriptome sequencing caused by random hexamer priming. *Nucleic Acids Research* **38**, e131.

- Law, C.W., Chen, Y., Shi, W., and Smyth, G.K. (2014). voom: precision weights unlock linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biology* **15**, R29.
- Marioni, J.C., Mason, C.E., Mane, S.M., Stephens, M., Gilad, Y. (2008). RNA-seq: an assessment of technical reproducibility and comparison with gene expression arrays. *Genome Research* **18**, 15091517.
- McIntyre, L.M., Lopiano, K.K., Morse, A.M., Amin, V., Oberg, A.L., Young, L.J., et al. (2011). RNAseq: technical variability and sampling. *BMC Genomics* **12**, 293.
- Morin, R., Bainbridge, M., Fejes, A., Hirst, M., Krzywinski, M., Pugh, T., et al. (2008). Profiling the HeLa S3 transcriptome using randomly primed cDNA and massively parallel short-read sequencing. *Biotechniques* **45**, 8194.
- Oshlack, A., Robinson, M.D., and Young, M.D. (2010). From RNA-seq reads to differential expression results. *Genome Biology* **11**, 220.
- Pan, Q., Shai, O., Lee, L. J., Frey, B. J., and Blencowe, B. J. (2008). Deep surveying of alternative splicing complexity in the human transcriptome by high-throughput sequencing. *Nature Genetics* **40**, 14131415.
- Risso, D., Schwartz, K., Sherlock, G., Dudoit, S. (2011). GC-Content Normalization for RNA-Seq Data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 480.
- Robertson, G., Schein, J., Chiu, R., Corbett, R., Field, M., Jackman, S. D., et al. (2010). De novo assembly and analysis of RNA-seq data. *Nature Methods* **7**, 909912.
- Robinson, M.D. and Oshlack, A. (2010). A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biology* **11**, R25.
- Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., and Smyth, G.K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 139140.
- Schurch, N.J., Schofield, P., Gierliski, M., Cole, C., Sherstnev, A., Singh, V., et al. (2016).

How many biological replicates are needed in an RNA-seq experiment and which differential expression tool should you use? *RNA* **22**, 839851.

Trapnell, C., Hendrickson, D.G., Sauvageau, M., Goff, L., Rinn, J.L., and Pachter, L. (2013).

Differential analysis of gene regulation at transcript resolution with RNA-seq. *Nature Biotechnology* **31**, 4653.

Trapnell, C., Roberts, A., Goff, L., Pertea, G., Kim, D., and Kelley D.R. (2012). Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. *Nature Protocols* **7**, 562578.

Wang, Z., Gerstein, M., and Snyder, M. (2009). RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **10**, 5763.

Zhao, S., Fung-Leung, W.-P., Bittner, A., Ngo, K., and Liu, X. (2014). Comparison of RNA-Seq and Microarray in Transcriptome Profiling of Activated T Cells. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e78644.

APPENDIX

Title of appendix

Put your short appendix here. Remember, longer appendices are possible when presented as Supplementary Web Material. Please review and follow the journal policy for this material, available under Instructions for Authors at <http://www.biometrics.tibs.org>.

Table 1*This is a simple table.*

Culture/Library prep.	Library prep. protocol	Growth condition	Flow-cell
Y1	Protocol 1	YPD	428R1
Y1	Protocol 1	YPD	4328B
Y2	Protocol 1	YPD	428R1
Y2	Protocol 1	YPD	4328B
Y7	Protocol 1	YPD	428R1
Y7	Protocol 1	YPD	4328B
Y4	Protocol 2	YPD	61MKN
Y4	Protocol 2	YPD	61MKN