empirical_proj_2

Lindsey Greenhill

4/9/2022

Question 1

The fundamental problem of causal inference is that you cannot see the outcome for a child who both was in a small class and not in a small class. In other words, it is impossible to measure the dual outcomes when a child both is in a small class and not in a small class, because the child can only either be in a small class or not in a small class.

Question 2

The following variables have missing values:

- towncode: 318 missing
- math: 58 missing
- verb: 466 missing
- \bullet ses_index: 318 missing
- boy: 1037 missing

##	student_id	student_id towncode schlcode		class_id	
##	Min. : 1	Min. : 166	Min. :11005	Min. :110051	
##	1st Qu.:13600	1st Qu.:26104	1st Qu.:31049	1st Qu.:310491	
##	Median :27412	Median :62000	Median :41284	Median :412841	
##	Mean :27395	Mean :50434	Mean :39776	Mean :397762	
##	3rd Qu.:41158	3rd Qu.:79004	3rd Qu.:51247	3rd Qu.:512471	
##	Max. :54860	Max. :98004	Max. :61365	Max. :613651	
##		NA's :318			
##	school_enrollm	ent class_size	math	verb	
##	Min. : 9.00	Min. : 7.00	Min. : 1.0	Min. : 1.00	
##	1st Qu.: 57.00	1st Qu.:28.00	1st Qu.:23.0	1st Qu.:25.00	
##	Median : 75.00	Median :32.00	Median:27.0	Median :27.00	
##	Mean : 80.41	Mean :31.58	Mean :25.3	Mean :25.97	
##	3rd Qu.:105.00	3rd Qu.:36.00	3rd Qu.:29.0	3rd Qu.:29.00	
##	Max. :188.00	Max. :44.00	Max. :30.0	Max. :30.00	
##			NA's :58	NA's :466	
##	ses_index	boy	born_isr	religious	
##	Min. : 0.00	Min. :0.0000	Min. :0.0000	Min. :0.0000	
##	1st Qu.: 4.00	1st Qu.:0.0000	1st Qu.:1.0000	1st Qu.:0.0000	
##	Median : 9.00	Median :1.0000	Median :1.0000	Median :0.0000	
##	Mean :13.17	Mean :0.5078	Mean :0.9608	Mean :0.2242	
##	3rd Qu.:18.00	3rd Qu.:1.0000	3rd Qu.:1.0000	3rd Qu.:0.0000	
##	Max. :76.00	Max. :1.0000	Max. :1.0000	Max. :1.0000	
##	NA's :318	NA's :1037			


Questions 3 and 4 $\,$

Table 1: Summary Statistics


Variable	Mean	Sd	Min	Max
math	25.3	4.527	1	30
verb	26	4.279	1	30
$\underline{\text{test_score_index}}$	0	0.903	-5.835	1.038

Question 5

All of the histograms appear to not by symmetrical and all of them have a long left tail.



Question 6



Question 7

As we can see from the binned scatter plots above, the socio economic index variable is correlated with both class size and test score index. As such, it is a counfounding variable and mean we cannot interpret the relationship between class size and test scores causally because it is likely that a student's socioeconomic status influences both outcomes, meaning that we don't know how greatly class size affects test scores versus ses affects test scores.


Question 8

Part a

I chose a quadratic model it seems to fit the data better than a linear model, especiaally for the data to the left of the break

[1] "Mass points detected in the running variable."

Class size vs. total school enrollment




Part b

I chose to use a linear model because it seems to fit the data better than a quadrataic model. When I chose a quadrataic model, it seemed like residuals on the right side of the break were much more in the positive than the negative, which would be bad. Looking at the graph, it doesn't seem like there is a wide gap in schools with 40 kids and schools with 41 kids enrolled.

[1] "Mass points detected in the running variable."

Test Score Index vs. Total School Enrollment




Question 9

Part a


[1] "Mass points detected in the running variable."

% Boys vs. Total School Enrollment



[1] "Mass points detected in the running variable."





Part b

The identification assumption for the regression discontinuity design is that schools on either side of the cutoff are similar in all ways and as such the fact that they have school enrollment over or under the cutoff is as if random. The graphs from part a above are consistent with this identification assumption, as schools just at either side of the cutoff do not seem to have different percentages of boys or kids born in Israel enrolled.