

## Series 4

### 1. Empirical Bayes<sup>1</sup>

Assume that the data  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent random variables with a binomial distribution  $\text{Binomial}(m, \theta_i)$  and that the parameters  $\theta_i$  have priors  $\theta_i$  i.i.d.  $\sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ . Compute posterior means for  $\theta_i$  using the empirical Bayes method. Follow the steps in the following to do this.

- a. Show that the marginal distribution of  $X_i$  is beta-binomial (see Series 1 for details). Then, use the method of moments to obtain estimates  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\beta}$ .
- b. Next, calculate the posterior means  $\hat{\theta}_i$  as point estimates for  $\theta_i$  using  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\beta}$ . Compare them with the corresponding MLEs.

### *Solution*

- a. We can show that the marginal distribution of  $X_i$  is the beta-binomial distribution with density

$$\binom{m}{x} \frac{B(\alpha + x, \beta + m - x)}{B(\alpha, \beta)}.$$

It has the following first two moments

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1 &= E(X_i) = m \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}, \\ \mu_2 &= E(X_i^2) = m \frac{\alpha(m(1 + \alpha) + \beta)}{(\alpha + \beta)(1 + \alpha + \beta)}.\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding empirical moments are

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mu}_1 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \\ \hat{\mu}_2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2,\end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we obtain the following moment estimators

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\alpha} &= \frac{(m\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)\hat{\mu}_1}{m(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1(\hat{\mu}_1 + 1)) + \hat{\mu}_1^2}, \\ \hat{\beta} &= \frac{(m\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)(m - \hat{\mu}_1)}{m(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1(\hat{\mu}_1 + 1)) + \hat{\mu}_1^2}.\end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Based on Exercise 12 in Section 6.8 of Held and Sabanes Bove (2014).

- b. From the lecture, we know that  $\theta_i | x_i \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha + x_i, \beta + m - x_i)$ . The posterior mean as empirical Bayes estimator is thus given by

$$\hat{\theta}_i = \frac{\hat{\alpha} + x_i}{\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} + m}.$$

In contrast, the MLE is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_{i,MLE} = \frac{x_i}{m}.$$

Hence, the empirical Bayes estimator is equal to the MLE if and only if  $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\beta} = 0$  which corresponds to an improper prior distribution. In the other cases, the empirical Bayes estimator

$$\frac{\hat{\alpha} + x_i}{\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} + m} = \frac{\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}}{\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} + m} \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}} + \frac{m}{\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} + m} \frac{x_i}{m}$$

is a weighted average of the prior mean  $\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}}$  and the MLE  $\frac{x_i}{m}$ . The weights are proportional to the prior sample size  $m_0 = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}$  and the data sample size  $m$ , respectively.

## 2. Bayesian linear regression model

Consider the linear regression model:

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_n),$$

where  $y$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of responses,  $X$  is the  $n \times p$  design matrix,  $\beta$  the  $p \times 1$  regression parameter, and  $\varepsilon$  is the  $n \times 1$  vector of errors. Note that in this formulation the intercept term is either included in the design matrix  $X$  or there is no intercept.

Further, assume a uniform prior distribution on  $(\beta, \log(\sigma))$ . I.e.,

$$p(\beta, \sigma^2) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma^2}.$$

Derive the following distributions:

- The conditional posterior of  $\beta$  given  $\sigma^2$ :  $\pi(\beta | \sigma^2, y)$ .
- The marginal posterior of  $\sigma^2$ :  $\pi(\sigma^2 | y)$ .

## *Solution*

As in the lecture, we can show that the likelihood can be written as

$$(\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{s^2 + (\beta - \hat{\beta})^T X^T X (\beta - \hat{\beta})}{2\sigma^2}\right),$$

where

$$s^2 = (y - X\hat{\beta})^T (y - X\hat{\beta}),$$

and

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y.$$

The joint posterior is therefore given by

$$\pi(\beta, \sigma^2 | y) \propto (\sigma^2)^{-n/2-1} \exp\left(-\frac{s^2 + (\beta - \hat{\beta})^T X^T X (\beta - \hat{\beta})}{2\sigma^2}\right). \quad (1)$$

a. Based on (1), we find that

$$\beta | y, \sigma^2 \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}\right)$$

b. Integrating  $\beta$  out from the joint posterior in (1), gives

$$\pi(\sigma^2 | y) \propto (\sigma^2)^{-n/2-1+p/2} \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

From this, we conclude that

$$\sigma^{-2} | y \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{n-p}{2}, \frac{s^2}{2}\right).$$

### 3. Regularization and Bayesian regression model

Consider again the linear regression model:

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_n),$$

where  $y$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of responses,  $X$  is the  $n \times p$  design matrix,  $\beta$  the  $p \times 1$  regression parameter, and  $\varepsilon$  is the  $n \times 1$  vector of errors.

a. In frequentist statistics, the Ridge regression coefficients are chosen as minimizers of

$$\|y - X\beta\|^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|^2, \quad \lambda \geq 0.$$

Show that for some  $\lambda$ , the Ridge regression coefficients are equivalent to the posterior mode, also called the maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimator, if one assumes the following normal prior for the coefficients

$$\beta \sim N(0, \sigma_\beta^2 I_p).$$

b. The (frequentist) Lasso estimates are defined as minimizers of

$$\|y - X\beta\|^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_1,$$

where

$$\|\beta\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^p |\beta_k|.$$

Show that for some  $\lambda$ , the Lasso coefficients are equivalent to the MAP estimator if one assumes the following independent double exponential, also called Laplace, priors for the coefficients

$$\pi(\beta) = \prod_{k=1}^p \frac{1}{2b} e^{-|\beta_k|/b}.$$

### *Solution*

a. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \max_{\beta} \pi(\beta \mid y) &= \arg \max_{\beta} (\log(f(y \mid \beta)\pi(\beta))) \\ &= \arg \max_{\beta} (-\|y - X\beta\|^2/\sigma^2 - \|\beta\|^2/\sigma_{\beta}^2) \\ &= \arg \min_{\beta} \left( \|y - X\beta\|^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_{\beta}^2} \|\beta\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

b. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \max_{\beta} \pi(\beta \mid y) &= \arg \max_{\beta} (\log(f(y \mid \beta)\pi(\beta))) \\ &= \arg \max_{\beta} \left( -\frac{\|y - X\beta\|^2}{2\sigma^2} - \sum_{k=1}^p |\beta_k|/b \right) \\ &= \arg \min_{\beta} \left( \|y - X\beta\|^2 + \frac{2\sigma^2}{b} \|\beta\|_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$