MLE estimate

Consistent, asymptotically normal $(\sqrt{n(\theta_{ml} - 1)})$ θ_0)~N(0, j⁻¹IJ⁻¹) as n-> ∞) **Efficient:** $((\theta_{M1} \text{ minimize})$ $E((\theta_{M1} - \theta_0)^2)$ namely variance as $n \rightarrow \infty$)

Equivariance: if $\hat{\theta}_n$ is MLE of θ , $g(\hat{\theta}_n)$ is MLE of $g(\theta)$ Cramer Rao lower bound For unbiased estimator

$$E\left[\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0\right)^2\right] \ge \frac{1}{I_n(\theta_0)}$$

Where $I_n(\theta_0)$ is the Fisher information

 $Var[(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}logP(X_{1,...,n}|\theta))]$ **LS estimate**

MLE when noise follows iid Gaussian with constant σ

 $RSS(\beta) = (y - X\beta)^{T}(y - X\beta)$ $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (X^T X)^{-1}) X^T y$ $\hat{\beta} \sim N(\hat{\beta}, (\hat{X}^T \hat{X})^{-1} \hat{\sigma}^2))$

Optimality: smallest variance among all linear unbiased estimates

Expected prediction error:

 $E_D E_{(Y|X)} (\hat{f}(x) - Y)^2$ $= E_D \left(\hat{f}(x) - E(Y|X) \right)^2$ $+ E_{(Y|X)(Y-E(Y|X))}$ $= E_D (\hat{f}(x) - E_D \hat{f}(x))^2$ $+ E_D \left(E_D \hat{f}(x) - E(Y|X) \right)^2$ $+ E_{(Y|X)}(Y - E(Y|X))$

Expected risk

Total: $R(f) = E_{X,Y}[Q(Y, f(X))] =$ $\int_{\mathcal{U}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} Q(Y, f(X)) P(X, Y) dX dY$

Conditional: R(f,X) =

 $\int_{\mathcal{U}} Q(Y, f(X)) P(Y|X) dY$

Connect to test error: The test error can be used to approximate the expected risk. The expected value of the test error is the expected Ridge and Lasso

Reg: $\lambda \beta^{T} \beta$; $\lambda ||\beta||_{1}$ $\hat{\mathbf{\beta}}^{\text{ridge}} = (\mathbf{X}^{\text{T}}\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\text{T}}\mathbf{v}$ both assume

 $\mathbf{Y}|(\mathbf{X},\beta)\sim N(\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}\beta,\sigma^{2}\mathbf{I})$ Difference:

1.**Prior** on β $R^{ridge} \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma}{\lambda I})$

 $P(\beta^{Lasso}) = \frac{\lambda}{4\sigma^2} exp\left(-\frac{|\beta|\lambda}{2\sigma^2}\right)$

Ridge is strictly convex while Lasso is just convex. Not differentiable when $|\beta|=0$ Spline regression

 $RSS(f,\lambda)$

 $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - f(x_i))^2$ $+\lambda \int (f''(x))^2 dx$

Gaussian process

Assumption:

1. $P(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}, \beta, \sigma) \propto$ $\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi^2}(Y-X^T\beta)^2\right)$

2. $P(\beta|\Lambda) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta^T\Lambda\beta\right)$

Posterior distribution of B

 $N(\beta|\mu_B,\Sigma_B)$ where $\mu_{\beta} = (X^TX + \sigma^2\Lambda)^{-1}X^Ty$ $\Sigma_{\beta} = \sigma^2 (X^T X + \sigma^2 \Lambda)^{-1}$ $Cov[y] = X\Lambda^{-1}X^{T} + \sigma^{2}I$

distribution of v

$$\begin{split} p\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{y}\\\mathbf{y}_{n+1}\end{array}\right]\middle|x_{n+1},\mathbf{X},\sigma\right) &= \mathcal{N}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{y}\\\mathbf{y}_{n+1}\end{array}\right]\middle|\mathbf{0},\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{C}_n & \mathbf{k}\\\mathbf{k}^\mathsf{T} & c\end{array}\right]\right),\\ \text{where}\quad \mathbf{C}_n &= \mathbf{K} + \sigma^2\mathbf{I}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mu_{y_{n+1}} &= k^T C_n^{-1} y \\ \sigma_{y_{n+1}}^2 &= c - k^T C_n^{-1} k \end{split}$$

Model averaging

 $Bias[\hat{f}(x)] =$ $\frac{1}{R}\sum_{i=1}^{R} E_{D}(\hat{f}_{i}(x)) - E(Y|X) =$ $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{B} \text{Bias}[\hat{f}_i(x)]$ $V[\hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})] = \frac{1}{R^2} \sum_{i=1}^{R} V[\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i(\mathbf{x})] +$

 $\frac{1}{R^2}\sum\sum_{i\neq j} Cov(\hat{f}_i(x), \hat{f}_j(x))$ K-fold CV: tend to underfit. **LOOCV**: unbiased but the variance can be very large

due to highly correlated training sets

Bootstrap: too optimistic due to overlap of training and test set

 $\widehat{R}^* = \frac{1}{B} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{b=1}^{B} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(y_i, \hat{f}^{*b}(x_i))$

The chance of a sample to have appeared in the bootstrap is 1 – $\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^n \approx 0.632$

The "Jackknife" method

With LOOCV

bias^{JK} = $(n-1)(\tilde{S}_n - \hat{S}_n)$ $\hat{S}^{JK} = \hat{S}_n - \text{bias}^{JK}$

with $\tilde{S}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{n} \hat{S}_{n-1}^{-i}$

 $E\left[bias\left[\hat{S}_{n}\right]\right] = \frac{a_{1}}{n} + \frac{a_{2}}{n^{2}} + \cdots$

 $E[bias^{JK}] = \frac{a_1}{n} + O(n^{-2})$ With bootstrap (debiasing)

 $bias_{boot} = \frac{1}{B} \sum \hat{S}^*(b) - \hat{S}_n$

 $\bar{S} = \hat{S}_n - bias$ $=2\,\hat{S}_n-\frac{1}{B}\sum\hat{S}^*(b)$

Gradient Descent procedure

Algorithm: Initialize α . threshold θ , $\eta(0)$, $k \leftarrow 0$ Repeat

 $\alpha \leftarrow \alpha - \eta(k) \nabla J(\alpha)$ $k \leftarrow k + 1$

Until $|\eta(k)\nabla J(\alpha)| < \theta$ **Optimal learning rate**

 $H = \frac{1}{\partial \alpha_i \partial a_j}$

I(a(k+1)) $\approx J(a(k)) + \nabla J^T(\alpha(k+1))$

 $-a(k) + 1/2((\alpha(k+1))$ - a(k) T H(($\alpha(k + 1) - a(k)$)

 $= I(a(k)) - \eta(k)\nabla I^T \nabla I$

+ $1/2 \eta^2 (k) \nabla J^T H \nabla J$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}J(a(k+1)) = 0 \Rightarrow$

Newton's algorithm

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial a(k+1)}J(a(k+1)) = 0 \Rightarrow$

 $a(k + 1) = a(k) - H^{-1}\nabla I$ Perceptron

 $J_{p}(a) = \sum_{x \in \overline{X}_{p,r}} (-ya^{T} x)$

Update rule: a(k + 1) = $a(k) + \eta(k) \sum_{x \in X_{mc}} yx$ WINNOW algorithm

Performs better when many dimensions are irrelevant. Search for 2 weight vectors a⁺, a⁻(for each class). If a point is misclassified: $a_i^+ \leftarrow \alpha^{+x^{ki}}a_i^+$; $a_i^- \leftarrow \alpha^{-x^{ki}}a_i^-$ (if $y_k = +1$); $a_i^+ \leftarrow \alpha^{-x^{ki}}a_i^+$; $a_i^- \leftarrow \alpha^{+x^{ki}}a_i^-$ (if $y_k = -1$)

Fisher's LDA

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{m}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{X}_{\alpha}|} \sum_{\mathbf{n}''} \mathbf{x}$

 $\Sigma_{\alpha} = \Sigma_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}_{\alpha}} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\alpha}) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\alpha})^{\mathrm{T}}$ $\Sigma_{W} = \Sigma_{1} + \Sigma_{2}$;

 $\frac{\Sigma_W - \Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2}{\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2} = w^T \Sigma_W w$ <u>Fisher's Separation Criterion</u>

 $J(w) = \frac{\|\widetilde{m}_1 - \widetilde{m}_2\|^2}{\widetilde{\Sigma}_1 + \widetilde{\Sigma}_2}$ $= \frac{w^{T}(m_{1} - m_{2})(m_{1} - m_{2})^{T}w}{w^{T}\Sigma_{W}w}$

 $= \frac{w^T \Sigma_B w}{w^T \Sigma_W w}$

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}w}\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{w}) = 0 \Rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathrm{B}}\mathrm{w}$

 $= \Sigma_{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w} \frac{\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \Sigma_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \Sigma_{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}} \Rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1} \Sigma_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{w}$

 $= \lambda w \text{ with } \lambda = \frac{w^T \Sigma_B w}{w^T \Sigma_{WW}}$

 $\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = \Sigma_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}(\mathbf{m}_1 - \mathbf{m}_2)$ complexity: $O(nd^2)$ **Logistic regression**

 $R(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + \exp(-y_i w^T x_i))$ $P(Y = y|x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y w^T x)}$ **Kernel**

RBF: $k(x,z) = \exp(-\frac{\|x-z\|^2}{2\sigma^2})$ Sigmoid: $k(x,z) = \tanh kxz-b$

 $k(x,z) = \exp(-\alpha ||x-z||)$

k((x,h),(y,h'))=p(h|x)p(h'|y)Properties.

 $k(x,z) = k_1(\phi(x),\phi(z))$ $k(x,z) = exp(k_1(x,z))$ k(x,z) = f(x)f(y) (f is real-

valued function) $k(x,z)=p(k_1(x,z))$ (p(x) is polynomial with positive

coefficients) **SVM**

Hard margin

Minimize $\frac{1}{2}$ w^T w

s. t $\forall i: z_i(w^Ty_i + w_0) \geq 1$ Lagrange Function:

 $L(w, w_0, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2}w^Tw - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [z_i(w^Ty_i + w_0) - 1]$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = 0 \Rightarrow w = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i z_i y_i$

 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_0} = 0 \Rightarrow -\sum_{i} \alpha_i z_i = 0$

Dual problem:

 $\max W(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}z_{i}z_{j}\alpha_{i}\alpha_{j}y_{i}^{T}y_{j}$ s.t

 $\forall i \ \alpha_i \geq 0 \ \land \ \sum_{i \leq n} \alpha_i z_i = 0$

 $w^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^* z_i y_i$ $w_0^* = -\frac{1}{2} (\min_{i:z_i=1} w^{*T} y_i + \max_{i:z_i=-1} w^{*T} y_i + w^{*$

KKT conditions require

 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{i}[z_{i}(w^{T}y_{i}+w_{0})-1]=0\\ \alpha_{i}\geq0\\ z_{i}(w^{T}y_{i}+w_{0})-1\geq0 \end{array}$

Min $\frac{1}{2}$ w^Tw + C $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{E}_{i}$

 $s.t \forall i: z_i(w^Ty_i + w_0) \ge 1 - \mathcal{E}_i$ $\mathcal{E}_{i} \geq 0$

 $L(w, w_0, \mathcal{E}, \alpha, \beta)$

Soft margin

 $= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{C} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{i}$

∂L
$\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \xi_i} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{C} - \alpha_i - \beta_i = 0$
Dual problem is same, only
different in $\forall i \ C \geq \alpha_i \geq 0 \ \land$
$\sum_{i \le n} \alpha_i z_i = 0$ KKT conditions require
$\alpha_i[z_i(w^Ty_i + w_0) - 1 + \mathcal{E}_i] = 0$
$\mathcal{E}_{i}(C - \alpha_{i}) = 0$
Multiclass
$\left(w_{z_i}^T y_i + w_{z_i,0}\right) - \max_{z \neq z_i} w_z^T y_i +$
$w_{z,0} \geq m$
Structured SVMs
Joint feature map $\Psi(z, y)$
Scoring function $f_w(z, y) = w^T \Psi(z, y)$
$\frac{\text{Classification}}{\text{Classification}} \text{ h(y)} =$
$\underset{z \in \mathbb{K}}{\operatorname{argmax}} f_{w}(z, y)$
Objective:
$\overline{\min \frac{1}{2} w^{T}} w + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}$
$s.t \ \xi_i \ge 0$
$\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\Psi(\mathbf{z}_{i},\mathbf{y}_{i}) - \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\Psi(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{y}_{i})$
$\geq \Delta(z, z_i) - \mathcal{E}_i \ \forall y_i \in \mathcal{Y}, z \neq z_i$
$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \Psi(\mathbf{z}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{i}) - \max [\Delta(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_{i})]$
$+ \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \Psi(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}_{i})] \geq -\mathcal{E}_{i} \forall \mathbf{y}_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}$
Lagrangian: $\frac{1}{2}$ w ^T w +
$C\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{E}_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{E}_{i}$
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{z_j \in \mathbb{K}_i} a_{ij_i} [\mathbf{w}^T \Psi_i(z_j) -$
$\Delta_{\rm i}(z_j) + \mathcal{E}_{\rm i}] - \sum_{\rm i=1}^{\rm n} \beta_{\rm i} \mathcal{E}_{\rm i}$ where
$\mathbb{K}_i = \mathbb{K} \setminus \{z_i\}$
Ensemble methods
Bagging For b=1 to B do
$Z^{*b} = b$ -th bootstrap sample
from Z
Construct classifier c _b based on Z*b
end for
return $\hat{c}_B(x) = sgn(\sum_{i=1}^B c_i(x))$ Random Forest
For b=1 to B do
$Z^{*b} = b$ -th bootstrap sample
from Z
Repeat 1. Select m variables at random
from p variables (m $\approx \sqrt{p}$)
2. pick the best variable/split- point among selected variables
point among selected variables 3. split the node into two
daughter node
Until node size n _{min} is reached
End for

D 4 41 11 6
Return the ensemble of
trees $\{\hat{c}_b(x)\}_{b=1}^B\}$
Adaboost
Initialize $w_i = 1/n$ For $b = 1$ to B do
For $b = 1$ to B do
1. Fit a classifier $c_b(x)$ using
weight w _i
2.Compute $\epsilon_b \leftarrow$
$\nabla \mathbf{n} (\mathbf{b})_{\pi} \qquad (\nabla \mathbf{n} (\mathbf{b})$
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^{(b)} \mathbb{I}_{\{c_b(x_i) \neq y_i\}} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^{(b)}$ 3. Compute $\alpha_b \leftarrow \log \frac{1 - \epsilon_b}{\epsilon_b}$
3 Compute $\alpha_b \leftarrow \log^{\frac{1-\epsilon_b}{2}}$
4.Set \forall i: $\mathbf{w_i}$ ←
$w_i \exp \left(\alpha_b \mathbb{I}_{\{c_h(x_i) \neq y_i\}}\right)$
End for
Return $\hat{c}_B(x) =$
$\operatorname{sgn}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_b c_b(x))$
Comparison
(a) same training set on every
iteration; varies the training
sets using resampling.
(b) weights according to its
accuracy; same weight
(c) Both are good at reducing
variance but only Boosting tries
to reduce bias
PAC learning
Efficiently PAC learnable: if an algorithm A receives as
if an algorithm A receives as
input a sample Z of size $n \ge 1$
poly $(\frac{1}{\epsilon}, \frac{1}{\delta})$, then A outputs
poly (, s), then A outputs
ĉ such that
$P(R(\hat{c}) \le \epsilon) \ge 1 - \delta$
Axis-aligned rectangles $P(R(\hat{c}) \le \epsilon) \ge P(\hat{R}IG)$
$P(R(\hat{c}) \le \epsilon) \ge P(RIG)$
$\geq 1 - 4e^{-\frac{n\epsilon}{4}}$
$P(\neg \widehat{R}IG) =$
$P(\widehat{R} \text{ doesn't intersect } T_i^{\epsilon} \text{ for some i}) \leq$
$\sum_{i} P(\widehat{R} \cap T_{i}^{\epsilon} = \phi) =$
$\sum_{i} \prod_{j \le n} P(x_j \notin T_i^{\epsilon}) = 4(1 -$
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j$
$\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)^n \le 4e^{-\frac{n\epsilon}{4}}$
$1 - 4e^{-\frac{n\epsilon}{4}} \ge 1 - \delta \iff n \ge \frac{4}{\epsilon} \ln \frac{4}{\delta}$
€ δ [4 4] 1 1
$\left[\frac{4}{\epsilon} \ln \frac{4}{\delta}\right]$ is polynomial in $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ and $\frac{1}{\delta}$
$\mathbf{W}_{hom} \widehat{\mathbf{D}} (\widehat{\mathbf{a}}) = 0 \text{and} \mathbf{a}_{C} $
When $\widehat{R}_n(\hat{c}) = 0$ and $ \mathcal{H} $
is finite
$n \ge \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\log \mathcal{H} + \log 1/\delta)$
$n \ge -(\log \mathcal{H} + \log 1/\delta)$
Then $P(R(\hat{c}) \le \epsilon) \ge 1 - \delta$
$1 \ c \ F(K(C) \ge E) \ge 1 - 0$

```
Proof: P(R(\hat{c}) > \epsilon) =
P(\widehat{R}_n(\widehat{c}) = 0 \land R(\widehat{c}) > \epsilon) <
Pr(\exists h \in H: \widehat{R}_n(h) = 0 \land
R(h) > \epsilon \le \sum_{h \in H} P(\widehat{R}_n(h) = \epsilon)
 0 \land R(h) >
\epsilon) = \sum_{h \in H} P(\widehat{R}_n(h) =
0 | R(h) > \epsilon P(R(h) > \epsilon) \le
\sum_{h \in H} (\widehat{R}_n(h) = 0 | R(h) > \epsilon) \le
\sum_{h \in H} \prod_{i \le n} P(x_i \notin h\Delta c | Pr(h\Delta c)) <
 \epsilon) \leq |H|(1 - \epsilon)^n
 Under the stochastic setting
P(R(\hat{c}) - \inf R(c) \le \epsilon) \ge
 1 - \delta
If C is finite.
P(R(\hat{c}) - \inf_{c \in C} R(c) > \epsilon) \le
2|\mathcal{C}|\exp(-2n\epsilon^2)
 VC<sub>c</sub> is VC dimension of a
 concept class \mathcal{C} (complexity
measure)
P(R(\hat{c}) - \inf_{c \in C} R(c) > \epsilon) \le
9 \text{ n}^{\text{VC}_c} \exp\left(-\frac{\text{n}\epsilon^2}{\text{c}}\right) \rightarrow
 0 when n \rightarrow
  \infty if VC<sub>c</sub> is finite
 Require uniform convergence
R(\hat{c}) - \inf_{c \in C} R(c) \le 2 \sup_{c \in C} |\widehat{R}_n(c)|
Hoeffding's Inequality
a.P(X \ge \epsilon) \le \frac{E[X]}{\epsilon} when X \ge 0
b.E[\exp(sX)] \le \exp\left(\frac{s^2(b-a)^2}{s^2}\right)
when E[X] = 0, a \le X \le b, s > 0
c. P(S_n - ES_n \ge t) \le
\exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(b_i-a_i)^2}\right)
 when S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, X_i is
 independet, t > 0
 The Hoeffding bound
P(\tilde{S}_n - E\tilde{S}_n \ge \epsilon) \le
Union Bound
```

```
P(\max_{i} X_{i} > \epsilon) \le \sum_{i} P(X_{i} > \epsilon)
ERM for Hyperplanes
P(R(\hat{c}) - \inf_{c \in C} R(c) > \epsilon) \le
(1+2\binom{n}{d})e^{2d\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{2}}
If R(c^*) = 0 then P(R(\hat{c}) \le
\epsilon) \leq 2\binom{n}{d} \exp(-\epsilon(n-d))
 Nonparametric Bayesian
Methods
Beta(x|a,b) = \frac{1}{B(a,b)}x<sup>a-1</sup>(1-x)<sup>b</sup>
Stick-Breaking Process(GEM)
Repeatedly draw \beta_i from
Beta(1,\alpha) \rho_k =
\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}(1-\beta_i)\right]\beta_k
Connect to DP
G(\theta) = \sum \rho_k \delta_{\theta_k}(\theta)
Chinese Restaurant Process
P(customer n+1 joins table t)
      \alpha + n
DP mixture model
Probability of clusers
\rho \sim GEM(\alpha)
Center of clusters
\mu_k \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0)
Assignment of data points
z_i \sim Categorical(\rho)
Coordinates of data points
x_i \sim N(\mu_{z_i}, \sigma)
Asymptotics of
S(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha}{a+i-1} \rightarrow
aIn(n) as n \to \infty
S(n) < I(n) = \int_{1}^{n+1} \frac{\alpha}{a+x-1} dx
Gibbs sampling
```

```
1: for i = 1 to N in random order do
       Remove x_i's sufficient statistics from old cluster z_i:
       for k = 1 to K do
           Compute p_k(x_i) = p_k(x_i|\mathbf{x}_{-i,k});
           Set N_{k,-i} = |x_{-i,k}|;
           Compute p(z_i = k|z_{-i}, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{N_{k,-i}}{\alpha + N - 1};
       end for
        Compute p_{\star}(x_i) = p(x_i|\boldsymbol{\mu});
       Compute p(z_i = \star | z_{-i}, x),
         Normalize p(z_i|\cdot);
        Sample z_i \sim p(z_i|\cdot);
         Add x<sub>i</sub>'s sufficient statistics to new cluster z<sub>i</sub>:
        If any cluster is empty, remove it and decrease K;
                 Extra
                Tayler expansion
                e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}; \frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n
               In(1-x) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}; In(1+x)
                =\sum^{\infty}(-1)^{n+1}\frac{x^n}{n!};
                Gaussian
                (2\pi)^{-\frac{k}{2}} \det(\Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x))
                (-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})
                AIC: -2\log(\hat{p}(X|\hat{\theta}_k)) + 2k
                BIC: -2 \log (\hat{p}(X|\hat{\theta}_k M_k)) + k' \log n
```