Exercises

Advanced Machine Learning
Fall 2019

Series 7 10 Dec 2019 (Nonparametric Bayesian methods and Clustering)

Machine Learning Laboratory

Dept. of Computer Science, ETH Zürich

Prof. Joachim M. Buhmann

Web https://ml2.inf.ethz.ch/courses/aml/

Teaching assistant: Mikhail Karasikov

mikhaika@inf.ethz.ch

Problem 1 (Cluster quality evaluation):

Suppose we are given a data set $X=\{x_1,\ldots,x_N\}$ and two clusterings $\mathcal{U}=\{U_1,\ldots,U_R\}$ and $\mathcal{V}=\{V_1,\ldots,V_C\}$, where \mathcal{U} is a reference clustering and \mathcal{V} is computed by our favorite clustering algorithm. We want to evaluate how well our algorithm performs relative to the reference. For simplicity, assume that \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are disjoint partitions of $X=\sqcup_i U_i=\sqcup_j V_j$, that is,

$$\bigcup_i U_i = X \quad \text{and} \quad U_k \cap U_l = \emptyset \ \forall k \neq l.$$

Now consider the following measures for evaluation of clusters.

1. One simple measure is cluster *purity*, which is defined as

$$\operatorname{purity} = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \max_{U \in \mathcal{U}} |U \cap V|.$$

What is the maximal value of this measure? Provide a degenerate pair of clusterings of X that achieve this value.

2. Suppose we choose to use mutual information as a measure, where probabilities are defined as follows:

$$p_U(i) = \frac{|U_i|}{|X|}, \qquad p_V(j) = \frac{|V_j|}{|X|}, \qquad p_{UV}(i,j) = \frac{|U_i \cap V_j|}{|X|}.$$

The mutual information between ${\cal U}$ and ${\cal V}$ is then defined as

$$I(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) := \sum_{i=1}^{R} \sum_{j=1}^{C} p_{UV}(i, j) \log_2 \frac{p_{UV}(i, j)}{p_{U}(i) p_{V}(j)}.$$

Recall that the entropy of $\mathcal U$ is defined as

$$H(\mathcal{U}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{R} p_U(i) \log_2 p_U(i).$$

Show that

$$0 < I(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) < \min(H(\mathcal{U}), H(\mathcal{V})).$$

3. How do the clusterings that maximize $I(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ compare to those that maximize purity? How does this relate to the entropy terms in the upper bound? How can mutual information be modified to account for this?

Problem 2 (Dirichlet process):

Consider the following algorithm for sampling from the Dirichlet process with base distribution F_0 and concentration parameter α .

- 1. Draw the first sample $X_1 \sim F_0$.
- 2. For $i = 2, 3, \ldots$, draw

$$X_i|X_1,\dots,X_{i-1} = \begin{cases} X \sim \hat{F}_{i-1}, & \text{with probability } p = \frac{i-1}{\alpha+i-1}, \\ X \sim F_0, & \text{with probability } p = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+i-1}, \end{cases}$$

where \hat{F}_{i-1} is the empirical distribution of X_1,\dots,X_{i-1} .

Find the asymptotics of the expected number of distinct samples drawn, as a function of the total number of samples drawn: X_1, \ldots, X_n . Or equivalently, the number of occupied tables in the Chinese restaurant process metaphor.