

# Exchange Rate Pass-Through and Importers' Credit Constraints: Evidence from China

by Yao Amber Li, Lingfei Lu and Tengyu Zhao

Discussion by Chang Ma, Fudan University (FISF)

**Conference on Global Economic Order and Regional Cooperation**

Jun 30, 2024

# Outline

1 Summary

2 Comments

# Summary

## Key research question

- How do Chinese import prices respond to exchange rate changes? (Exchange rate pass-through, ERPT)
- Do financial constraints play a role?
- Why?

## Approach

- Panel regression using Chinese firm-product-(importing) country-level data

## Results

- ERPT is  $\approx 0.73$ .
- ERPT is positively correlated with financial constraint measures.
- ERPT is negatively correlated with import sourcing diversity measures.

# Comment 1

## General comments

- Interesting paper
- A nice contribution to the literature

## Key insights

- Both financial constraints and input sourcing diversity matter.

## Comment 2

How do we understand the results?

## Comment 2

How do we understand the results?

A framework in Campa and Goldberg (2005) is a useful starting point.

## Comment 2

How do we understand the results?

A framework in Campa and Goldberg (2005) is a useful starting point.

Denote the import price from country  $c$  by  $P_t^{m,c}$  and the exporting price for country  $c$  by  $P_t^{x,c}$ . Using the exchange rate  $E_t$  (RMB per foreign currency  $c$ ), we have

## Comment 2

How do we understand the results?

A framework in Campa and Goldberg (2005) is a useful starting point.

Denote the import price from country  $c$  by  $P_t^{m,c}$  and the exporting price for country  $c$  by  $P_t^{x,c}$ . Using the exchange rate  $E_t$  (RMB per foreign currency  $c$ ), we have

$$P_t^{m,c} = E_t * P_t^{x,c} \Rightarrow p_t^{m,c} = e_t + p_t^{x,c}$$



## Comment 2

How do we understand the results?

A framework in Campa and Goldberg (2005) is a useful starting point.

Denote the import price from country  $c$  by  $P_t^{m,c}$  and the exporting price for country  $c$  by  $P_t^{x,c}$ . Using the exchange rate  $E_t$  (RMB per foreign currency  $c$ ), we have

$$P_t^{m,c} = E_t * P_t^{x,c} \Rightarrow p_t^{m,c} = e_t + p_t^{x,c}$$

Assume that  $p_t^{x,c} = mkup_t^x + mc_t^x$ ,  $mkup_t^x = \phi + \Phi e_t$  and  $mc_t^x = c_0 y_t + c_1 w_t^x$ ,

## Comment 2

How do we understand the results?

A framework in Campa and Goldberg (2005) is a useful starting point.

Denote the import price from country  $c$  by  $P_t^{m,c}$  and the exporting price for country  $c$  by  $P_t^{x,c}$ . Using the exchange rate  $E_t$  (RMB per foreign currency  $c$ ), we have

$$P_t^{m,c} = E_t * P_t^{x,c} \Rightarrow p_t^{m,c} = e_t + p_t^{x,c}$$

Assume that  $p_t^{x,c} = mkup_t^x + mc_t^x$ ,  $mkup_t^x = \phi + \Phi e_t$  and  $mc_t^x = c_0 y_t + c_1 w_t^x$ ,

$$p_t^m = \phi + (1 + \Phi)e_t + c_0 y_t + c_1 w_t^x$$

## Comment 2

How do we understand the results?

A framework in Campa and Goldberg (2005) is a useful starting point.

Denote the import price from country  $c$  by  $P_t^{m,c}$  and the exporting price for country  $c$  by  $P_t^{x,c}$ . Using the exchange rate  $E_t$  (RMB per foreign currency  $c$ ), we have

$$P_t^{m,c} = E_t * P_t^{x,c} \Rightarrow p_t^{m,c} = e_t + p_t^{x,c}$$

Assume that  $p_t^{x,c} = mkup_t^x + mc_t^x$ ,  $mkup_t^x = \phi + \Phi e_t$  and  $mc_t^x = c_0 y_t + c_1 w_t^x$ ,

$$p_t^m = \phi + (1 + \Phi)e_t + c_0 y_t + c_1 w_t^x$$

If  $\Phi = 0$ , producer-currency pricing; if  $\Phi = -1$ , local-currency pricing.

## Comment 2

How do we understand the results?

A framework in Campa and Goldberg (2005) is a useful starting point.

Denote the import price from country  $c$  by  $P_t^{m,c}$  and the exporting price for country  $c$  by  $P_t^{x,c}$ . Using the exchange rate  $E_t$  (RMB per foreign currency  $c$ ), we have

$$P_t^{m,c} = E_t * P_t^{x,c} \Rightarrow p_t^{m,c} = e_t + p_t^{x,c}$$

Assume that  $p_t^{x,c} = mkup_t^x + mc_t^x$ ,  $mkup_t^x = \phi + \Phi e_t$  and  $mc_t^x = c_0 y_t + c_1 w_t^x$ ,

$$p_t^m = \phi + (1 + \Phi)e_t + c_0 y_t + c_1 w_t^x$$

If  $\Phi = 0$ , producer-currency pricing; if  $\Phi = -1$ , local-currency pricing.

Key estimate in the regression is  $\beta = 1 + \Phi$ .

## Comment 2 (Cont.)

The estimate for Chinese ERPT is 0.73, which implies a large degree of producer-currency pricing.

## Comment 2 (Cont.)

The estimate for Chinese ERPT is 0.73, which implies a large degree of producer-currency pricing.

How do financial constraints play a role?

## Comment 2 (Cont.)

The estimate for Chinese ERPT is 0.73, which implies a large degree of producer-currency pricing.

How do financial constraints play a role?

- According to the simply theory, it comes from **the exporter side** as opposed to the importer side.

## Comment 2 (Cont.)

The estimate for Chinese ERPT is 0.73, which implies a large degree of producer-currency pricing.

How do financial constraints play a role?

- According to the simply theory, it comes from **the exporter side** as opposed to the importer side.
  - **Suggestion:** You can test this directly in the data.



## Comment 2 (Cont.)

The estimate for Chinese ERPT is 0.73, which implies a large degree of producer-currency pricing.

How do financial constraints play a role?

- According to the simply theory, it comes from **the exporter side** as opposed to the importer side.
  - **Suggestion:** You can test this directly in the data.
- It might come from the importer side. You will need a framework to rationalize.

## Comment 2 (Cont.)

The estimate for Chinese ERPT is 0.73, which implies a large degree of producer-currency pricing.

How do financial constraints play a role?

- According to the simply theory, it comes from **the exporter side** as opposed to the importer side.
  - **Suggestion:** You can test this directly in the data.
- It might come from the importer side. You will need a framework to rationalize.

How do input sourcing diversities play a role?

## Comment 2 (Cont.)

The estimate for Chinese ERPT is 0.73, which implies a large degree of producer-currency pricing.

How do financial constraints play a role?

- According to the simply theory, it comes from **the exporter side** as opposed to the importer side.
  - ▶ **Suggestion:** You can test this directly in the data.
- It might come from the importer side. You will need a framework to rationalize.

How do input sourcing diversities play a role?

- According to the simple theory, it comes from the “bargaining power” between the Chinese importer and different exporters.

## Comment 2 (Cont.)

The estimate for Chinese ERPT is 0.73, which implies a large degree of producer-currency pricing.

How do financial constraints play a role?

- According to the simply theory, it comes from **the exporter side** as opposed to the importer side.
  - **Suggestion:** You can test this directly in the data.
- It might come from the importer side. You will need a framework to rationalize.

How do input sourcing diversities play a role?

- According to the simple theory, it comes from the “bargaining power” between the Chinese importer and different exporters.
  - **Suggestion:** You can write down a simple extended version of the model with two exporters.

## Comment 2 (Cont.)

The estimate for Chinese ERPT is 0.73, which implies a large degree of producer-currency pricing.

How do financial constraints play a role?

- According to the simply theory, it comes from **the exporter side** as opposed to the importer side.
  - **Suggestion:** You can test this directly in the data.
- It might come from the importer side. You will need a framework to rationalize.

How do input sourcing diversities play a role?

- According to the simple theory, it comes from the “bargaining power” between the Chinese importer and different exporters.
  - **Suggestion:** You can write down a simple extended version of the model with two exporters.

Overall, it might be useful to provide a unified framework to guide your analysis.

## Comment 3

Robustness of the empirical results.

## Comment 3

Robustness of the empirical results.

- 1 Do we control enough on the demand factor?

## Comment 3

Robustness of the empirical results.

- ④ Do we control enough on the demand factor?
  - ▶ ERPT is analyzed at the firm-product-country level. So is the demand. Would it make sense to add product\*time FE?



## Comment 3

Robustness of the empirical results.

- ① Do we control enough on the demand factor?
  - ▶ ERPT is analyzed at the firm-product-country level. So is the demand. Would it make sense to add product\*time FE?
- ② Other different types of financial constraints?

## Comment 3

Robustness of the empirical results.

- ① Do we control enough on the demand factor?
  - ▶ ERPT is analyzed at the firm-product-country level. So is the demand. Would it make sense to add product\*time FE?
- ② Other different types of financial constraints?
  - ▶ Kaplan-Zingales (KZ), Whited-Wu (WW) and Hadlock-Pierce (HP) indices
  - ▶ Firm size and ownership.

## Comment 3

Robustness of the empirical results.

- ① Do we control enough on the demand factor?
  - ▶ ERPT is analyzed at the firm-product-country level. So is the demand. Would it make sense to add product\*time FE?
- ② Other different types of financial constraints?
  - ▶ Kaplan-Zingales (KZ), Whited-Wu (WW) and Hadlock-Pierce (HP) indices
  - ▶ Firm size and ownership.

Interpretation of the empirical results.

## Comment 3

Robustness of the empirical results.

- ① Do we control enough on the demand factor?
  - ▶ ERPT is analyzed at the firm-product-country level. So is the demand. Would it make sense to add product\*time FE?
- ② Other different types of financial constraints?
  - ▶ Kaplan-Zingales (KZ), Whited-Wu (WW) and Hadlock-Pierce (HP) indices
  - ▶ Firm size and ownership.

Interpretation of the empirical results.

- ① How do you compare the Chinese ERPT with existing estimates? (Burstein and Gopinath 2014)

Table 7.4 Short-Run and Long-Run ERPT Using Aggregate Indices

		U.S.		Japan		Italy		U.K.		France		Germany		Canada		Switzerland	
BIS Narrow NER		ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE
Import Price Index																	
Dynamic Lag																	
Short run	Narrow NER	0.20	0.05	0.75	0.07	0.53	0.05	0.37	0.04	0.40	0.09	0.43	0.05	0.75	0.05	0.13	0.03
	Broad NER	0.20	0.04														
Long run	Narrow NER	0.51	0.08	0.71	0.12	0.53	0.16	0.87	0.08	0.97	0.13	0.64	0.10	0.80	0.12	0.47	0.09
	Broad NER	0.27	0.11														

## Comment 3

Robustness of the empirical results.

- ① Do we control enough on the demand factor?
  - ▶ ERPT is analyzed at the firm-product-country level. So is the demand. Would it make sense to add product\*time FE?
- ② Other different types of financial constraints?
  - ▶ Kaplan-Zingales (KZ), Whited-Wu (WW) and Hadlock-Pierce (HP) indices
  - ▶ Firm size and ownership.

Interpretation of the empirical results.

- ① How do you compare the Chinese ERPT with existing estimates? (Burstein and Gopinath 2014)

Table 7.4 Short-Run and Long-Run ERPT Using Aggregate Indices

		U.S.		Japan		Italy		U.K.		France		Germany		Canada		Switzerland	
BIS Narrow NER		ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE	ERPT	SE
Import Price Index																	
Dynamic Lag																	
Short run	Narrow NER	0.20	0.05	0.75	0.07	0.53	0.05	0.37	0.04	0.40	0.09	0.43	0.05	0.75	0.05	0.13	0.03
	Broad NER	0.20	0.04														
Long run	Narrow NER	0.51	0.08	0.71	0.12	0.53	0.16	0.87	0.08	0.97	0.13	0.64	0.10	0.80	0.12	0.47	0.09
	Broad NER	0.27	0.11														

- ② Currency choice matters. Gopinath, Itskhoki and Rigobon (2010) show that ERPT for dollar is 0.25 but for non-dollars is 0.95.