```
Saturday, May 10, 2025
                                        2:48 PM
       Mext 3 weeks: Interacting Particle System (via examples)
      O. Voter model / Coalescing roudom walk
      (5). Contact process/ Infection model
       3. Exclusion process (ID)
    Problems of interests: stationary distribution? Dynamics? Local/Large scale
    Voter woole
    (Continuous time) Markov chain, state space fo, 13, V is at most countable
     Given a configuration 1: V \rightarrow f_{0,1}; then for any x \in V, if 1(x) = 0, \rightarrow 1 with rate \sum_{y \in V} P(y,y)
                                                                                                                               1-leve P(x,y) >0 are the activation rotes
                                                                                                                                            (assume \(\superpresection P(x,y)=1 \) for any \(\times\)
                                                                       if y(X)=1, -> o with rate \( \sum_{y \in V} \) p(y.4)
    (Alternatively, for each X,y &V, with nate P(x,y), n(x) changes to follow n(y))
                                                  Follow the opposite direction of arrows.
                                                     N_{t}(x): random walk with jump rate P, starting from X at time 1; pick the value at time 0.
                                     1 time
                                                     M(X1), M(X1), ..., M(Xx): random walks with jump vote P, starting from X1,..., x
                                               Wolks Contescence upon collision
  V finite & convected: consensus (i.e. all o or 1); question; how long it takes?
               via duality, the same as coalescing time of coalesciny tomoban walks, one from each vertex.
   V infinite: may exist "non-trivial" stationary distribution.
   V=Zd, P(X,y)=1/1xxy; construct stationary as follows: take 0=P=1, and start with 70~ i.i.d. Bernoulli(P); take lim 1/2 (weak limit)
      Why this limit exists? Alternative description of Nt, as vandom clustering.
        i.e. consider CRW, starting from one walker at each vertex. Random clustering: X, y in the same cluster iff walkers from X, y coalesce before time t.
                     For each cluster, sample Bernoulli(P) (independently for all clusters), assign to each x in cluster.
                  · As 170, dusters ambine, comeges to time to raudom clustering (i.e. x.y in the same cluster ift malkers from x,y ever conclesce)
                 => d=1,2, (recurrent) all x in the same cluster; PM,+(1-P)/6
                       d=3, (transient) a nontrivial random clustering of Zd; /p a nontrivial stationary measure for voter model.
     Are these all the stationary measures?
     Of course: any linear combinations of stationary measures is still a stationary measure
     Question: extremal stationy measures? (i.e. those M s.t. if M=dM+(1-d)M", necessarily M=M'=M)
    Theorem For d=1,2, Mo, M, one all the extremal stationary measures
               For d >3. { Mp3 pero, i] are all the extremal stationary mensures.
          O. These measures one extremal
          d=1.2, obvious
           d33, Suppose that M= dp+ (1-appi), would to show M=p'=Mp
    Claim(i) For 100 Mp, IE[1/2(x)[10] -> P in purbability.
      passe. Since \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[n_t(x)]n_0]] = \mathbb{E}[n_t(x)] = P, it settices to show that \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[n_t(x)]n_0]] \rightarrow P (as t-> ->)
            This = = = P2 (x,4) P2 (x,2) P[(164)=10(2)=1] = p2 + P6-P) = P6 (x,4) P2 (x,2) P2 x some choses] -> p2
                                                                = IP(two independent walkers from x meet so times)= 0
    (lainvii) for any stationary measure M suppose that
             E[1+(x) | 10 ^ M] → P in probability, for any XEZ
          than necessarily M=Mp
    proof Suffices to show that, for any finite A \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |\mathbb{P}_n[\eta(A)=1] = |\mathbb{P}_n[\eta(A)=1]
          Consider CRW with one walker starting from each xEA.
          IPM[n(A)=1]= IE[IPM[n(Be)=1]] B= set of remaining wolkers at time t
                   \approx \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{x\in \mathcal{D}_{k}}\mathbb{E}[\eta_{x}(x)|\eta_{o}\sim M]\right] + error(t); ewor(t)\rightarrow 0 as t\rightarrow \infty
                    = E[p|Bti] + error (t) (Soul soo)
           Save for Mp; >> 1/2[M(A)=1]= 1/2[M(A)=1]
  From (lain (i), E[1+(x) 10~m'] -> + in past. from Claim(ii), M, M'=Mp.
                F[n400] N~M] ->P
        @ All extremal stationary one thus given.
     d=1,2, for any stationary M, necessarily P. (164=1141)=1 for any x,y = Zd => M=PM,+ (1-P)Mo for some P.
     d 33. take extremal studionary M; want to use claim (ii).
           By stationarity, X H> En[n(x)] is harmonic & bounded => constant (devoted by P; assure that or px1)
            Nort. show that E[Me(x) norm] -> P in prob.
          Take any ZEZa, let 12.0 be the measure of 14 conditional on 1(2)=0; and
            => W= b/5" + (1-6) N5"0
            Run Voters storting from 12,1 & 12,0 => both converge to 14, by stationarity of 1
                              ( ran take any sub-sequential limits My , My; then both are stationary, and M=PM+ (1-PM+; therefore M=M=M)
          By claim (ii), M. - M. -
tor general voter model
      Harmonic function h: V > Co.13 ( hxx = Trxx) h(y) for all x < V)
      Stationary measure Mn: start from Bernoulli (hox), independently for all XEV, run voter model to time a
                                                                             (limit exists, since IP[Nt(A)=1] is non-deceasing in t)
                                                                                               for any finite ASV
  When is My extremed? If the following holds:
              Take any x, y \ V, and independent rouclous walks starting from x, y; then almost surely, either X(4) x Y(4) for all t large enough
                                                                                              on lim h (XIt) = 0 or 1 almost surely
    Ako, My with such A gives all the epitiemal stationary measures.
  (Back to Zd, h=p are all the harmonic functions; extremel for all p if transient
                                                           for p=0,1 if recurrent
```

```
Via duality: Same as the time when one walker remains in CRW. complete graph K_n, each P(x,y)=1: \sum_{k=2}^{n} Exp(\binom{k}{2}) (each Exp(\binom{k}{2})=1 time to value from k to k-1) (Kingman's confescale)

In positivilar, therefore \lambda = \frac{2}{t} for t small; ET_{tot} = \frac{2}{t} \frac{1}{2(t)} = 2 - \frac{2}{n}

More generally, when t_{nix} \ll t_{meet}

SE[t two unformly started walkers to uneet]

T_{con} \approx t_{meet} \cdot \sum_{k=2}^{n} Exp(\binom{k}{2})

total-variation

t Walkers at time t \approx \frac{2t_{meet}}{t}

For example: V=(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{n} for d \ge 2, t_{nix} = \theta(n^2), t_{meet} = \theta(n^2) d = 1

V = random diver graph with n vertices: t_{nix} = \theta(n), t_{meet} = \theta(n)
```

On the consensus time for finite V. (start with mutually different opinions)