

Where does the error come from?

Review



A more complex model does not always lead to better performance on *testing data*.

Estimator



Only Niantic knows \hat{f}

From training data, we find f^*

Bias + Variance 2" 3" 4" 5" 6" 7"

 f^* is an estimator of \hat{f}


Bias and Variance of Estimator

- Estimate the mean of a variable x
 - assume the mean of x is μ
 - assume the variance of x is σ^2
- Estimator of mean μ
 - Sample N points: $\{x^1, x^2, ..., x^N\}$

$$m = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} x^{n} \neq \mu$$

$$E[m] = E\left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n} x^{n}\right] = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n} E[x^{n}] = \mu$$

unbiased



Bias and Variance of Estimator


- Estimate the mean of a variable x
 - assume the mean of x is μ
 - assume the variance of x is σ^2
- Estimator of mean μ
 - Sample N points: $\{x^1, x^2, ..., x^N\}$

$$m = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} x^n \neq \mu$$

$$Var[m] = \frac{\sigma^2}{N}$$

Variance depends on the number of samples

unbiased




Bias and Variance of Estimator


- Estimate the mean of a variable x
 - assume the mean of x is μ
 - assume the variance of x is σ^2
- Estimator of variance σ^2
 - Sample N points: $\{x^1, x^2, ..., x^N\}$

$$m = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} x^{n} \quad s^{2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} (x^{n} - m)^{2}$$

Biased estimator


$$E[s^2] = \frac{N-1}{N}\sigma^2 \neq \sigma^2$$






Parallel Universes

• In all the universes, we are collecting (catching) 10 Pokémons as training data to find $f^{\,*}$




Parallel Universes

• In different universes, we use the same model, but obtain different f^{\ast}



f* in 100 Universes







$$y = b + w_{1} \cdot x_{cp} + w_{2} \cdot (x_{cp})^{2}$$

$$+ w_{3} \cdot (x_{cp})^{3} + w_{4} \cdot (x_{cp})^{4}$$


$$+ w_{5} \cdot (x_{cp})^{5}$$




$$y = b + w_1 \cdot x_{cp} + w_2 \cdot (x_{cp})^2 + w_3 \cdot (x_{cp})^3$$



Variance






Simpler model is less influenced by the sampled data

Consider the extreme case f(x) = c


Bias


$$E[f^*] = \bar{f}$$

• Bias: If we average all the f^* , is it close to \hat{f}




Black curve: the true function \hat{f}






Bias v.s. Variance



What to do with large bias?

- Diagnosis:
 - If your model cannot even fit the training examples, then you have large bias
 Underfitting
 - If you can fit the training data, but large error on testing data, then you probably have large variance


 Overfitting
- For bias, redesign your model:
 - Add more features as input
 - A more complex model




What to do with large variance?


More data

Very effective, but not always practical






Regularization



Model Selection

- There is usually a trade-off between bias and variance.
- Select a model that balances two kinds of error to minimize total error
- What you should NOT do:



Homework

public

private

Training Set

Testing Set

Testing Set

Model 1 \longrightarrow Err = 0.9

Model 2 \longrightarrow Err = 0.7

Model 3 \longrightarrow Err = 0.5

Err > 0.5

I beat baseline!


No, you don't

What will happen next Friday?

http://www.chioka.in/howto-select-your-final-modelsin-a-kaggle-competitio/



Cross Validation



N-fold Cross Validation

