## Econ 210C Final

Instructor: Johannes Wieland

Due: 6/14/2023, 8:30PM PST, on Canvas. Upload your code packet on Canvas.

## 0. General Instructions

- The final is open book, open notes, open internet.
- You are not allowed to communicate with anyone about the midterm. You are not allowed to share code or answers.
- Some questions are hard! If you cannot figure out the exact answer to one part, continue with what you have.
- For several questions I impose length limits ("Max X sentences"). This is for your benefit so you do not spend too much time writing a long answer.
  - We will penalize lengthy answers that try to "fish" for the solution.
- You will be asked to download data from FRED. You should do a test run of your preferred software (Stata, Python, R, etc) before the final.
- You will need to submit the following deliverables on Canvas:
  - 1. A pdf document with your answers and figures.
  - 2. A zip file with your code.
    - The base directory of the code packet needs to contain "main" files that create all your figures with on click (e.g., main.do for Stata, main.m for Matlab).
    - We must be able to execute those main files on our computers without error messages.
      - \* To make sure it works on our computers you should **avoid absolute paths** such as C:/Myfiles/Mydata.xls. Import and save any data using relative paths such as Data/mydata.xls.
      - $\ast\,$  We will grade on whether the results are reproducible by us.
- To ensure fairness, the final is due at 8pm sharp! Late submissions will be graded out (100 X)% where X solves the following formula:

$$X = (\exp(\text{minutes late}/100) - 1) * 100$$

## 1. Model Simulation: Monetary Policy in the New Keynesian Model

Consider the new Keynesian model

$$\hat{y}_t = E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} - E_t (\hat{i}_t - \hat{\pi}_{t+1}) \tag{1}$$

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \beta E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \kappa \hat{y}_t + u_t \tag{2}$$

$$\hat{i}_t = \phi_\pi \hat{\pi}_t + \bar{i}_t, \qquad \phi_\pi > 1 \tag{3}$$

$$u_t = \rho_r u_{t-1}^n + \epsilon_t^u, \qquad \epsilon_t^u \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2) \tag{4}$$

$$\bar{i}_t = \rho_i \bar{i}_{t-1} + \epsilon_t^i, \qquad \epsilon_t^i \sim N(0, \sigma_i^2)$$
(5)

where  $\bar{i}_t$  is an exogenous monetary policy shock and  $u_t$  is a cost-push shock.

- (a) Using either Dynare or the method of undetermined coefficients, plot IRFs for the following variables to a  $\epsilon_t^u$  shock to a  $\epsilon_t^i$  shock:
  - <u>i</u>
  - $\bullet$   $u_t$
  - $\hat{y}_t$
  - $\hat{\pi}_t$
  - $\bullet$   $\hat{i}_t$
  - $\bullet \hat{r}_t = \hat{i}_t E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1}$

Use the following parameters:

$$\beta = 0.99, \kappa = 0.025, \phi_{\pi} = 1.5, \rho_{u} = \rho_{i} = 0.8, \sigma_{u} = 0.001, \sigma_{i} = 0.01.$$

The suggested horizon is 40 quarters.

- (b) Explain intuitively how a cost-push shock affects output, inflation, the nominal interest rate, and the real interest rate. (5 sentences should suffice.)
- (c) Explain intuitively how a monetary policy shock affects output, the output gap, inflation, the nominal interest rate, and the real interest rate. (5 sentences should suffice.)
- (d) Simulate a time series of length 10000 for  $u_t$ ,  $\bar{i}_t$ . Using that time series construct a time series of length 10000 for  $\hat{i}_t$ ,  $\hat{y}_t$  and  $\hat{\pi}_t$ . Plot each of the five time series.
  - Hint: from the method of undetermined coefficients you know that all variables are equal to a constant times  $\bar{i}_t$  plus a constant times  $u_t$ .
- (e) Our goal is to recover the monetary policy shocks  $\bar{i}_t$ . Using your time series estimate the following regression by OLS and report your estimate for  $\gamma$ :

$$\hat{i}_t = \gamma \hat{\pi}_t + \eta_t$$

Save the residuals  $\hat{\eta}_t$  from this regression.

- (f) Explain why  $\gamma$  does not equal  $\phi_{\pi}$ . (Max 5 sentences)
- (g) Report the correlation coefficient of  $\hat{\eta}_t$  with  $\bar{i}_t$ .
- (h) Then use your residuals from part (e) regress

$$\hat{y}_t = \delta \hat{\eta}_t + \nu_t$$

and report your estimate for  $\delta$ .

- (i) Explain why  $\delta$  differs from the model IRFs for a monetary policy shock. (Max 5 sentences)
- (j) What data do you need to identify  $\phi_{\pi}$  and  $\bar{i}_t$  in the model? Explain your answer. (Max 5 sentences)
- (k) Implement your proposed approach and show that it correctly recovers  $\phi_{\pi}$  in the model.

## 2. Data Analysis

- (a) Download data for the Federal Funds rate (FEDFUNDS), the unemployment rate (UNRATE), the CPI index (CPIAUCSL) from FRED.
  - The frequency should be monthly.
  - Your code should pull these data automatically from the FRED server.
    - Stata: "freduse" or (if you have a fred key) "import fred". You may have to run "ssc install freduse".
    - Python: use the module "pandas\_datareader.data"
- (b) Transform the CPI into a 12-month growth rate (CPI inflation).
- (c) Keep only data from January 1970 to December 2007. Discard all other time periods.
- (d) Plot each time series from January 1970 to December 2007. You should have no missing observations!
  - 1. Federal Funds rate  $(i_t)$
  - 2. Civilian unemployment rate  $(u_t)$
  - 3. CPI inflation rate annualized  $(\pi_t)$ 
    - Make sure all graphs are appropriately labelled.
- (e) Estimate the following interest rate rule via OLS:

$$i_t = \alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{12} \beta_k i_{t-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{12} \gamma_k \pi_{t-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{12} \delta_k u_{t-k} + \eta_t$$

Report your coefficient estimates and plot the residuals from this regression.

(f) Use the residuals  $\hat{\eta}_t$  to estimate

$$u_t = \kappa + \sum_{s=1}^{12} \zeta_s u_{t-s} + \sum_{s=0}^{12} \xi_s \hat{\eta}_{t-s} + \nu_t$$

Plot the IRF from this estimation equation.

- (g) Briefly, describe the identification problems in parts (e) and (f).
- (h) Romer and Romer (2004) use the Federal Reserve Boards internal forecasts ("Greenbook") to control for the endogenous component of monetary policy:

$$\Delta i_{m} = \alpha + \beta i_{m} + \sum_{i=-1}^{2} \gamma_{i} \tilde{\Delta y}_{mi} + \sum_{i=-1}^{2} \lambda_{i} (\tilde{\Delta y}_{mi} - \tilde{\Delta y}_{m-1,i}) + \sum_{i=-1}^{2} \varphi_{i} \tilde{\pi}_{mi} + \sum_{i=-1}^{2} \theta_{i} (\tilde{\pi}_{mi} - \tilde{\pi}_{m-1,i}) + \rho \tilde{u}_{m0} + \epsilon_{m}$$

where m indexes the meeting. They then regress outcome variables on the residuals  $\hat{\epsilon}$  aggregated to a monthly frequency:

$$y_t = \kappa + \sum_{s=1}^{12} \zeta_s y_{t-s} + \sum_{s=1}^{12} \xi_s \hat{\epsilon}_{t-s} + \nu_t$$

Under what assumptions does the Romer and Romer (2004) approach address the identification problems in parts (e) and (f)? (max 5 sentences)

(i) Argue whether or not these assumptions are satisfied in practice. (max 10 sentences)