```
Analysis
[Matrix] c^T c = ||c||^2 = c_1^2 + \dots + c_k^2, cc^T is k \times k matrix with (i, j)th element as c_i c_j,
[Max, Min] \max(a,b) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b+|a-b|), \min(a,b) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b-|a-b|)
Probability
[Moments] \mu^k = E(X^k) = \int x^k f(x) dx
Deduce X=0 If X \ge 0 a.s. and EX=0 then X=0 a.s.
[Variance, Covariance] Var(X) = E[(X - EX)(X - EX)^T], Cov(X, Y) = E[(X - EX)(Y - EY)^T], Corr(X, Y) = Cov(X, Y)/(\sigma_X \sigma_Y),
E(a^TX) = a^TEX, Var(a^TX) = a^TVar(X)a
[CHF] \phi_X(t) = E\left[exp(\sqrt{-1}t^TX)\right] = E\left[\cos(t^TX) + \sqrt{-1}\sin(t^TX)\right] \ \forall \ t \in \mathbb{R}^d, well defined with |\phi_X| \le 1
[MGF] \psi_X(t) = E[exp(t^T X)] \ \forall \ t \in \mathcal{R}^d,
[MGF properties] \psi_{-X}(t) = \psi_X(-t), if \psi(t) < \infty \ \forall \ ||t|| < \delta \Rightarrow E|X|^a < \infty \ \forall \ a > 1 \text{ and } \phi_X(t) = \psi_X(\sqrt{-1}t)
[Conditional Exp] f_{X|Y}(x|Y=y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)} = \frac{f_{Y|X}(y|X=x)f_X(x)}{f_Y(y)}
[Symmetric distribution] Y = {}^{D} - Y, E_{-Y}(Y) = E_{Y}(-Y), mean = mediam = mode
[Radon-Nikodym] \lambda << \nu, there exist unique f s.t. \lambda(A) = \int_A f d\nu, A \in \mathcal{F} and f(x,\theta) = \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu} [Gamma family] E(0,\theta) = \Gamma(1,\theta), \Gamma(\frac{n}{2},2) \sim \chi_n^2, X \sim U(0,1) \Rightarrow -\log X \sim E(0,1)
[MCT] 0 \le f_1 \le f_2 \le \cdots \le f_n and \lim_n f_n = f a.e. \Rightarrow \int \lim_n f_n d\nu = \lim_n \int f_n d\nu
[Fatou] f_n \ge 0 \Rightarrow \int \liminf_n f_n d\nu \le \liminf_n \int f_n d\nu
[DCT] \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = f and |f_n| \le g a.e. \Rightarrow \int \lim_n f_n d\nu = \lim_n \int f_n d\nu. g is an integrable function.
Interchange Diff and Int 1 \partial f(\omega,\theta)/\partial \theta exists in (a,b) 2 |\partial f(\omega,\theta)/\partial \theta| \leq g(\omega) a.e. \Rightarrow
① \partial f(\omega,\theta)/\partial \theta integrable in (a,b) ② \frac{d}{d\theta}\int f(\omega,\theta)d\nu(\omega)=\int \frac{\partial f(\omega,\theta)}{\partial \theta}d\nu(\omega)
[Change of Var] Y = g(X), X = g^{-1}(Y) = h(Y) and A_i disjoint, f_Y(y) = \sum_{j:1 \le j \le m, y \in g(A_j)} \left| \det \left( \frac{\partial h_j(y)}{\partial y} \right) \right| f_X(h_j(y)). Simple version:
f_Y(y) = |det(\partial h(y)/\partial y)| f_X(h(y))
Inequalities
[Cauchy-Schewarz] Cov(X,Y)^2 \leq Var(X)Var(Y), and E^2[XY] \leq EX^2EY^2
Jensen \varphi is convex \Rightarrow \varphi(EX) \leq E\varphi(X) e.g. (EX)^{-1} < E(X^{-1}) and E(logX) < log(EX)
[Chebyshev] If \varphi(-x) = \varphi(x), and \varphi non-decreasing on [0,\infty) \Rightarrow \varphi(t)P(|X| \geq t) \leq \int_{\{|X| \geq t\}} \varphi(X)dP \leq E\varphi(X) \forall t \geq 0. e.g. P(|X - \mu| \geq t) \leq \varphi(x)
f(t) \leq \frac{\sigma_X^2}{t^2} and P(|X| \geq t) \leq \frac{E|X|}{t}
[Hölder] p,q>0 and 1/p+1/q=1 or q=p/(p-1)\Rightarrow E|XY|\leq (E|X|^p)^{1/p}(E|Y|^q)^{1/q}. Equality \Leftrightarrow |X|^p and |Y|^q linearly dependent [Young] ab\leq \frac{a^p}{p}+\frac{b^q}{q}, equality \Leftrightarrow a^p=b^q
[Minkowski] p \ge 1, (E|X+Y|^p)^{1/p} \le (E|X|^p)^{1/p} + (E|Y|^p)^{1/p}
[Lyapunov] for 0 < s < t, (E|X|^s)^{1/2} \le (E|X|^t)^{1/t}
[KL] K(f_0, f_1) = E_0 \log \frac{f_0(X)}{f_1(X)} = \int \log \left(\frac{f_0(x)}{f_1(x)}\right) f_0(x) d\nu(x) \ge 0 equality \Leftrightarrow f_1(\omega) = f_0(\omega)
[a.s] X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X if P(\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = X) = 1. Can show \forall \epsilon > 0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) < \infty via BC lemma
[Infinity often] \{A_n \ i.o.\} = \bigcap_{n\geq 1} \bigcup_{j\geq n} A_j := \limsup_{n\to\infty} A_n
[Borel-Cantelli lemmas] (First BC) If \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty, then P(A_n \ i.o.) = 0
(Second BC) Given pairwisely independent events \{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, if \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty, then P(A_n \ i.o.) = 1
[L^p] X_n \xrightarrow{L_p} X if \lim_{n\to\infty} E|X_n - X|^p = 0, given p > 0, E|X|^p < \infty and E|X_n|^p < \infty
[Probability] X_n \xrightarrow{P} X if \forall \epsilon > 0 \lim_{n \to \infty} P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) = 0. Can show E(X_n) = X, \lim_{n \to \infty} Var(X_n) = 0
[Distribution] X_n \xrightarrow{D} X if \lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) = F(x) for every x \in \mathcal{R} at which F is continuous
Relationships between convergence
Continuous mapping If g: \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R} is continuous and X_n \stackrel{*}{\to} X, then g(X_n) \stackrel{*}{\to} g(X), where * is either (a) a.s. (b) P (c) D.
① Unique in limit: X = Y if X_n \to X and X_n \to Y for ⓐ a.s., ⓑ P, ⓒ L^p. ⓓ If F_n \to F and F_n \to G, then F(t) = G(t) \ \forall \ t
② Concatenation: (X_n, Y_n) \to (X, Y) when ⓐ P ⓑ a.s. ⓒ (X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{D} (X, c) only when c is constant.
③ Linearity: (aX_n + bY_n) \to aX + bY when ⓐ a.s. ⓑ P \odot L^p ⓓ NOT for distribution.
(4) Cramér-Wold device: for k-random vectors, X_n \xrightarrow{D} X \Leftrightarrow c^T X_n \xrightarrow{D} c^T X for every c \in \mathcal{R}^k
[Lévy continuity] X_n \xrightarrow{D} X \Leftrightarrow \phi_{X_n} \to \phi_X pointwise [Scheffés theorem] If \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x) = f(x) \Rightarrow \lim_{n\to\infty} \int |f_n(x) - f(x)| d\nu = 0 and P_{f_n} \to P_f. Useful to check pdf converge in distribution.
[Slutsky's theorem] If X_n \xrightarrow{D} X and Y_n \xrightarrow{D} c for constant c. Then X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{D} X + c, X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{D} cX, X_n / Y_n \xrightarrow{D} X / c if c \neq 0
[Skorohod's theorem] If X_n \xrightarrow{D} X, then \exists Y, Y_1, Y_2, \cdots s.t. P_{Y_n} = P_{X_n}, P_Y = P_X and Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} Y
[\delta-method - first order] If \{a_n\} > 0 and \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty and a_n(X_n - c) \xrightarrow{D} Y and c \in \mathcal{R} and g'(c) exists at c, then a_n[g(X_n) - g(c)] \xrightarrow{D} Y
g'(c)Y
```

[δ -method - higher order] If $g^{(j)}(c) = 0$ for all $1 \le j \le m-1$ and $g^{(m)}(c) \ne 0$. Then $a_n^m[g(X_n) - g(c)] \xrightarrow{D} \frac{1}{m!}g^{(m)}(c)Y^m$

[Stochastic order - Real] for a constant c > 0 and all n, (1) $a_n = O(b_n) \Leftrightarrow |a_n| \le c|b_n|$ (2) $a_n = o(b_n) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n/b_n = 0$

[Stochastic order - RV] ① $X_n = O_{\text{a.s.}}(Y_n) \Leftrightarrow P\{|X_n| = O(|Y_n|)\} = 1$ ② $X_n = o_{\text{a.s.}}(Y_n) \Leftrightarrow X_n/Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$, ③ $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists C_\epsilon > 0, n_\epsilon \in \mathcal{N} s.t.$ $X_n = O_P(Y_n) \Leftrightarrow \sup_{n \geq n_\epsilon} P\left(\{\omega \in \Omega : |X_n(\omega) \geq C_\epsilon |Y_n(\omega)|\}\right) < \epsilon$ ④ If $X_n = O_P(1), \{X_n\}$ is bounded in probability. ⑤ $X_n = o_P(Y_n) \Leftrightarrow S_n = O_P(Y_n$

[δ -method - multivariate] If X_i, Y are k-vectors rvs and $c \in \mathcal{R}^k$ and $a_n[g(X_n) - g(c)] \xrightarrow{D} \nabla g(c)^T Y$

 $X_n/Y_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$

```
[Stochastic Order Properties] ① If X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X, then \{\sup_{n \geq k} |X_n|\}_k is O_p(1). ② If X_n \xrightarrow{D} X for a rvs, then X_n = O_P(1) (tightness).
③ If E|X_n| = O(a_n), then X_n = O_P(a_n) ④ If E|X_n| = o(\overline{a_n}), then X_n = o_P(a_n)
[SLLN, iid] E|X_1| < \infty \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_1 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} EX_1
[SLLN, non-idential but independent] If \exists p \in [1,2] s.t. \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E|X_i|^p}{i^p} < \infty, then \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - EX_i) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0 [USLLN, idd] Suppose ① U(x,\theta) is continuous in \theta for any fixed x ② for each \theta, \mu(\theta) = EU(X,\theta) is finite ③ \Theta is compact ④ There
exists function M(x) s.t. EM(X) < \infty and |U(x,\theta) \le M(x)| for all x, \theta. Then P\left\{\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\theta\in\Theta} \left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n U(X_j,\theta) - \mu(\theta)\right| = 0\right\} = 1
[WLLN, iid] a_n = E(X_1 I_{\{|X_1| \le n\}}) \in [-n, n] \ nP(|X_1| > n) \to 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - a_n \xrightarrow{P} 0
[WLLN, non-identical but independent] If \exists p \in [1,2] s.t. \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^p} \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i|^p = 0, then \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - EX_i) \xrightarrow{P} 0
[Weak Convergency] \int f d\nu_n \to \int f d\nu for every bounded and continous real function f: X_n \xrightarrow{D} X \Leftrightarrow E[h(X_n)] \to E[h(X)]
[CLT, iid] Suppose \Sigma = Var X_1 < \infty, then \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - EX_i) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \Sigma)
[CLT, non-identical but independent] Suppose ① k_n \to \infty as n \to \infty ② (Lindeberg's condition) 0 < \sigma_n^2 = Var\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} X_{nj}\right) < \infty. ③
If for any \epsilon > 0, \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} E\left\{ (X_{nj} - EX_{nj})^2 I_{\{|X_{nj} - EX_{nj}| > \epsilon \sigma_n\}} \right\} \to 0. Then \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} (X_{nj} - EX_{nj}) \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1)
[Check Lindeberg condition] Option ① (Lyapunov condition) \frac{1}{\sigma^{2+\delta}} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} E|X_{nj} - EX_{nj}|^{2+\delta} \to 0 for some \delta > 0
Option ② (Uniform boundedness) If |X_{nj}| \leq M for all n and j and \sigma_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} Var(X_{nj}) \to \infty
[Feller's condition] Ensures Lindeberg's condition is sufficient and necessary (else only sufficient). \lim_{n\to\infty} \max_{j\le k_n} \frac{Var(X_{nj})}{\sigma_z^2} = 0
Exponential Families
[NEF] f_{\eta}(X) = \exp\left\{\eta^T T(X) - \mathcal{C}(\eta)\right\} h(x), where \eta = \eta(\theta) and \mathcal{C}(\eta) = \log\left\{\int_{\Omega} \exp\left\{\eta^T T(X)\right\} h(X) dX\right\}. NEF is full rank if \Xi contains open set in \mathcal{R}^p, \Xi = \{\eta(\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\} \subset \mathcal{R}^p. Suppose X_i \sim f_i independently with f_i Exp Fam, then joint distribution X is also Exp Fam.
Showing non Exp Fam For an exp fam P_{\theta}, there is nonzero measure \lambda s.t. \frac{dP_{\theta}}{d\lambda}(\omega) > 0 \lambda-a.e. and for all \theta. Consider f = \frac{dP_{\theta}}{d\lambda}I_{(t,\infty)}(x),
\int f d\lambda = 0, f \geq 0 \Rightarrow f = 0. Since \frac{dP_{\theta}}{d\lambda} > 0 by assumption, then I_{(t,\infty)}(x) = 0 \Rightarrow v([t,\infty)) = 0. Since t is arbitary, consider v(\mathcal{R}) = 0
(contradiction)
[NEF MGF] Suppose \eta_0 is interior point on \Xi, then \psi_{\eta_0}(t) = \exp \{\mathcal{C}(\eta_0 + t) - \mathcal{C}(\eta_0)\} and is finite in neighborhood of t = 0.
[Normal MGF] X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), E(X - \mu) = 0, E(X - \mu)^2 = \sigma^2, E(X - \mu)^3 = 0, E(X - \mu)^4 = 3\sigma^4
[NEF Moments] Let A(\theta) = \mathcal{C}(\eta_0(\theta)), \frac{dA(\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{d\mathcal{C}(\eta_0(\theta))}{d\eta_0(\theta)} \cdot \frac{d\eta_0(\theta)}{d\theta}, T(x) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}(\eta)}{\partial \eta} (a) E_{\eta_0}T = \frac{d\psi_{\eta_0}}{dt}|_{t=0} = \frac{d\mathcal{C}}{d\eta_0} = \frac{A'(\theta)}{\eta'_0(\theta)}, (b) E_{\eta_0}T^2 = \mathcal{C}''(\eta_0) + \mathcal{C}'(\eta_0)^2, (c) Var(T) = \mathcal{C}''(\eta_0) = \frac{A''(\theta)}{|\eta_0(\theta)|^2} - \frac{\eta_0(\theta)''A'(\theta)}{|\eta_0(\theta)'|^3} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{C}(\eta)}{\partial \eta \partial \eta^T} = -\frac{\partial^2 \log \ell(\eta)}{\partial \eta \partial \eta^T}
[NEF Differential] G(\eta) := E_{\eta}(g) = \int g(\omega) \exp\left\{\eta^T T(\omega) - \mathcal{C}(\eta)\right\} h(\omega) d\nu(\omega) for \eta in interior of \Xi_g (1) G is continuous and has continuous
derivatives of all orders. ② Derivatives can be computed by differentiation under the integral sign. \frac{dG(\eta)}{d\eta} = E_{\eta} \left[ g(\omega) \left( T(\omega) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \xi(\eta) \right) \right]
where \Xi_g is set \eta such that \int |g(\omega)| \exp\{\eta^T T(\omega) - \mathcal{C}(\eta)\} h(\omega) d\nu(\omega) < \infty
[NEF Min Suff] ① If there exists \Theta_0 = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_p\} \subset \Theta s.t. vectors \eta_i = \eta(\theta_i) - \eta(\theta_0), i \in [1, p] are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^p, then T is also minimal sufficient. Check det([\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p]) is non-zero ② \Xi = \{\eta(\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\} contains (p+1) points that do not lie on the
same hyperplane (3) \Xi is full rank.
[NEF complete and sufficient] If \mathcal{P} is NEF of full rank then T(X) is complete and sufficient for \eta \in \Xi
[NEF MLE] \hat{\theta} = \eta^{-1}(\hat{\eta}) or solution of \frac{\partial \eta(\theta)}{\partial \theta} T(x) = \frac{\partial \xi(\theta)}{\partial \theta}
[NEF Fisher Info] If \underline{I}(\eta) is fisher info natural parameter \eta, then Var(T) = \underline{I}(\eta). Let \psi = E[T(X)]. Suppose \overline{I}(\psi) is fisher info matrix
```

for parameter ψ , then $Var(T) = [\bar{I}(\psi)]^{-1}$ NEF RLES RLE regularity condition (1, 2, 3, 4) holds due to proposition 3.2 and theorem 2.1, and result for (3). Only need to check

condition on Fisher Info, then when n is large, there exists $\hat{\eta}_n$ s.t. $g(\hat{\eta}_n) = \hat{\mu}_n$ and $\hat{\eta}_n \to_{\text{a.s.}} \eta \sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta}_n - \eta) \to_D N\left(0, \left|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta \partial \eta^T} C(\eta)\right|^{-1}\right)$

Where $g(\eta) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}(\eta)}{\partial \eta}$ and $\hat{\mu}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T(X_i)$ [UMP NEF] (a) UMP T(Y) = I(Y > c) (i) $\eta(\theta)$ increasing and $H_1: \theta \ge \theta_0$ (ii) $\eta(\theta)$ decreasing and $H_1: \theta \le \theta_0$ (b) Reverse inequalities $\overline{T(Y)} = I(\overline{Y} < c)$ (i) $\eta(\theta)$ increasing and $H_1 : \theta \leq \theta_0$ (ii) $\eta(\theta)$ decreasing and $H_1 : \theta \geq \theta_0$

[UMP Normal results] Given $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and $H_0: \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$ (a) $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ independent to \bar{X} (b) $V = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ $\bar{X})^2 = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2 \ \odot \ t = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}-\mu)/\sigma}{\sqrt{V/(n-1)}} = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{V/(n-1)}} \sim t_{(n-1)} \ (\text{only if } X_i \sim N)$ [UMPU NEF $\eta(\theta) = \theta$] Require: ① suff stat Y for θ ② suff and complete U for φ such that φ is full-rank

[UMPU NEF $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_1$ or $\theta \geq \theta_2$ $H_1: \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2$] $T(Y,U) = I(c_1(U) < Y < c_2(U))$ s.t. $E_{\theta_1}[T(Y,U)|U=u] = E_{\theta_2}[T(Y,U)|U=u] = E_{\theta_2}[T$

[UMPU NEF $H_0: \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2$ $H_1: \theta < \theta_1$ or $\theta > \theta_2$] $T(Y, U) = I(Y < c_1(U))$ or $Y > c_2(U)$ s.t. $E_{\theta_1}[T(Y, U)|U = u] = E_{\theta_2}[T(Y, U)|U = u]$

[UMPU NEF $H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \ H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$] $T(Y,U) = I(Y < c_1(U) \text{ or } Y > c_2(U)) \text{ s.t. } E_{\theta_0}[T_*(Y,U)|U = u] = \alpha \text{ and } E_{\theta_0}[T_*(Y,U)Y|U = u] = \alpha$

[UMPU NEF $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0 \ H_1: \theta > \theta_0$] T(Y, U) = I(Y > c(U)) s.t. $E_{\theta_0}[T(Y, U)|U = u] = \alpha$ [UMPU Normal] Require UMPU NEF (1), (2) and (3) V(Y,U) independent of U under H_0

[UMPU Normal $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_1$ or $\theta \geq \theta_2$ $H_1: \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2$] (4) V to be increasing in $Y T(V) = I(c_1 < V < c_2)$ s.t. $E_{\theta_1}[T(V)] = E_{\theta_2}[T(V)] = I(C_1 < V < c_2)$

[UMPU Normal $H_0: \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2$ $H_1: \theta < \theta_1$ or $\theta > \theta_2$] (4) V to be increasing in $Y \Rightarrow T(V) = I(V < c_1 \text{ or } V > c_2)$ s.t. $E_{\theta_1}[T(V)] = I(V < c_1 \text{ or } V > c_2)$

[UMPU Normal $H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \ H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$] (4) $V(Y, U) = a(u)Y + bU \Rightarrow T(V) = I(V < c_1 \text{ or } V > c_2) \text{ s.t. } E_{\theta_0}[T(V)] = \alpha \text{ and } E_{\theta_0}[T(V)V] = \alpha \text{ and } E_{\theta_0}[T(V)V] = \alpha \text{ or } V > c_2$

[UMPU Normal $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$ $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$] (4) V to be increasing in $Y \Rightarrow T(V) = I(V > c)$ s.t. $E_{\theta_0}[T(V)] = \alpha$

[MLR for one-param exp fam] $\eta(\theta)$ nondecreasing in $\theta \Rightarrow \eta'(\theta) > 0$.

[Sufficiency] T(X) is sufficient for $P \in \mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow P_X(x|Y=y)$ is known and does not depend on P. T sufficient for \mathcal{P}_0 but not necessarily \mathcal{P}_1 ,

```
\mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_1.
Factorization theorem T(X) is sufficient for P \in \mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow there are non-negative Borel functions h with \widehat{1} h(x) does not depend on P \widehat{2}
g_P(t) which depends on P s.t. \frac{dP}{d\nu}(x) = g_P(T(x))h(x)
Minimal sufficiency T is minimal sufficient \Leftrightarrow T = \psi(S) for any other sufficient statistics S. Min suff is unique and usually exist.
Min Suff-Method 1 (Theorem A) Suppose \mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}_0-a.s. implies \mathcal{P}-a.s. If T is sufficient for P \in \mathcal{P} and minimal sufficient
for P \in \mathcal{P}_0, then T is minimal sufficient for P \in \mathcal{P} (Theorem B) Suppose \mathcal{P} contains PDFs f_0, f_1, \cdots w.r.t a \sigma-finite measure.
(a) Define f_{\infty}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i f_i(x) and T_i(x) = f_i(x)/f_{\infty}(x), then T(X) = (T_0(X), T_1(X), \cdots) is minimal sufficient for \mathcal{P}. Where c_i > 0, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i = 1, f_{\infty}(x) > 0. (b) If \{x : f_i(x) > 0\} \subset \{x : f_0(x) > 0\} for all i, then T(X) = (f_1(x)/f_0(x), f_2(x)/f_0(x), \cdots is minimal
sufficient for \mathcal{P}
[Min Suff-Method 2] (Theorem C) If (a) T(X) is sufficient, and (b) \exists \phi s.t. for \forall x, y. f_P(x) = f_P(y)\phi(x,y) \ \forall \ P \in \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow T(x) = T(y).
Then T(X) is minimal sufficient for \mathcal{P}
Ancillary statistics V(X) is ancillary for \mathcal{P} if its distribution does not depend on population P \in \mathcal{P} (First-order ancillary)
if E_P[V(X)] does not depend on P \in \mathcal{P}
Completeness T(X) is complete for P \in \mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow for any Borel function g, E_P g(T) = 0 implies g(T) = 0, boundedly complete \Leftrightarrow g is
bounded. Completeness + Sufficiency \Rightarrow Minimal Sufficiency
Basu's theorem If V is ancillary and T is boundedly complete and sufficient, then V and T are independent w.r.t any P \in \mathcal{P}
[Completeness for Varying Support] \int_0^\theta g(x)x^{n-1}dx = 0 \implies g(\theta)\theta^{n-1} = 0, \implies g(\theta) = g(X_{(n)}) = 0 and thus X_{(n)} is complete Fisher information I(\theta) = E\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\log f_{\theta}(X)\right)^2 = \int \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\log f_{\theta}(X)\right)^2 f_{\theta}(X)d\nu(x) = E\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\log f_{\theta}(X)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\log f_{\theta}(X)\right]^T\right\}
```

[Parameterization] If $\theta = \psi(\eta)$ and ψ' exists, $\tilde{I}(\eta) = \psi'(\eta)^2 I(\psi(\eta))$ [Twice differentiable] Suppose f_{θ} is twice differentiable in θ and $\int \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} f_{\theta}(x) I_{f_{\theta}(x)>0} d\nu = 0$, then $I(\theta) = -E\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} \log f_{\theta}(X)\right]$ [Independent samples] If $\int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x) d\nu = 0$ holds, then $I_{(X,Y)}(\theta) = I_X(\theta) + I_Y(\theta)$, and $I_{(X_1,\dots,X_n)}(\theta) = nI_{X_1}(\theta)$ Comparing decision rules

[Compare decision rules] (a) as good as if $R_{T_1}(P) \leq P_{T_2}(P)$. $\forall P \in \mathcal{P}$ (b) better if $R_{T_1}(P) < R_{T_2}(P)$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}$ (and T_2 is dominated by T_1). (c) equivalent if $R_{T_2}(P) = R_{T_2}(P)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$

[Optimal] T_* is \mathcal{J} -optimal if T_* is as good as any other rule in \mathcal{J} ,

[Admissibility] $T \in \mathcal{J}$ is \mathcal{J} -admissible if no $S \in \mathcal{J}$ is better than T in terms of the risk.

[Minimaxity] $T_* \in \mathcal{J}$ is \mathcal{J} -minimax if $\sup_{P \subset \mathcal{P}} R_{T_*}(P) \leq \sup_{P \subset \mathcal{P}} R_T(P)$ for any $T \in \mathcal{J}$

Bayes Risk A form of averaging $R_T(P)$ over $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Bayes risk $r_T(\Pi) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} R_T(P) d\Pi(P)$, $R_T(\Pi)$ is Bayes risk of T wrt a known probability measure Π .

Bayes rule T_* is \mathcal{J} -Bayes rule wrt Π if $r_{T_*}(\Pi) \leq r_T(\Pi)$ for any $T \in \mathcal{J}$.

Finding Bayes rule Let $\tilde{\theta} \sim \pi$, $X | \tilde{\theta} \sim P_{\tilde{\theta}}$, then $r_{\pi}(T) = E\left[L(\tilde{\theta}, T(X))\right] = E\left[E\left[L(\tilde{\theta}, T(X))\right] | X\right]$ where E is taken jointly over $(\tilde{\theta}, X)$.

Then find $T_*(x)$ that minimises the conditional risk.

[Rao-Blackwell] (a) Suppose L(P,a) is convex and T is sufficient and S_0 is decision rule satisfying $E_P|||S_0|| < \infty$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $S_1 = E[S_0(X)|T]$, then $R_{S_1}(P) \leq R_{S_0}(P)$. (b) If L(P,a) is strictly convex in a, and S_0 is not a function of T, then S_0 is inadmissible and dominated by S_1 .

MOM

[MoM] $\mu_j = E_{\theta} X^j = h_j(\theta)$, $\implies \hat{\theta} = h_j^{-1}(\hat{\mu}_j)$. Provided h_j^{-1} exists and $\hat{\mu}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^j$. [MOM asymptotic] θ_n is unique if $h^{-1}(X)$ exists. Strongly consistent if h^{-1} is continuous via SLLN and continuous mapping. If h^{-1} is

differentiable and $E|X_1|^{2k} < \infty$ then use CLT and δ -method. V_{μ} is $k \times k$ with $(i,j) = \mu_{i+j} - \mu_i \mu_j \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, [\nabla g]^T V_{\mu} \nabla g)$ MOM is \sqrt{n} -consistent, and if k = 1 amse $\hat{\theta}_n(\theta) = g'(\mu_1)^2 \sigma^2/n$, $\sigma^2 = \mu_2 - \mu_1^2$

[MLE] $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} L(\theta)$. Consider (a) boundary opint (b) $\partial L(\theta)/\partial \theta = 0$ and $\partial^2 L(\theta)/\partial \theta^2 < 0$ (Concave), note MLE may not exist [MLE Consistency] Suppose ① Θ is compact ② $f(x|\theta)$ is continuous in θ for all x ③ There exists a function M(x) s.t. $E_{\theta_0}[M(X)] < \infty$ and $|\log f(x|\theta) - \log f(x|\theta_0)| \le M(x)$ for all x, θ ④ identifiability holds $f(x|\theta) = f(x|\theta_0)$ ν -a.e. $\Rightarrow \theta = \theta_0$. Then MLE estimate $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \theta_0$ [RLE] [Roots of the Likelihood Equation] θ that solves $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L_n(\theta) = 0$

RLE regularity conditions] Suppose ① Θ is open subset of \mathcal{R}^k ② $f(x|\theta)$ is twice continuously differentiable in θ for all x, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int f(x|\theta) d\nu = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta) d\nu$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^T} f(x|\theta) d\nu = \int \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} f(x|\theta) d\nu$. ③ $\Psi(x,\theta) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} \log f(x|\theta)$, there exists a constant c and non-negative function H s.t. $EH(X) < \infty$ and $\sup_{|\theta-\theta_*|| < c} ||\Psi(x,\theta)|| \le H(x)$. ④ Identifiable

[RLE consistency] Under RLE regularity conditions, there exists a sequence of $\hat{\theta}_n$ s.t. $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0$ and $\hat{\theta}_n \to_{\text{a.s.}} \theta_*$.

[RLE asymptotic normality] Assume RLE regularity conditions, and $I(\theta) = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x|\theta) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x|\theta) \right]^T d\nu(x)$ is positive definite and $\theta = \theta_*$. Then any consistent sequence $\{\tilde{\theta_n}\}$ of RLE it holds $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta_n} - \theta_*) \xrightarrow{D} N\left(0, \frac{1}{I(\theta_*)}\right)$

[One-step MLE] Often asym efficient, useful to adjust an non asym efficient estimators provided $\hat{\theta}_n^{(0)}$ is \sqrt{n} -consistent. $\hat{\theta}_n^{(1)} = \hat{\theta}_n^{(0)} - \left[\nabla s_n(\hat{\theta}_n^{(0)})\right]^{-1} s_n(\hat{\theta}_n^{(0)})$

Unbiased Estimators

[UMVUE] T(X) is UMVUE for $\theta \Leftrightarrow Var(T(X) \leq Var(U(X))$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and any other unbiased estimator U(X) of θ [Lehmann-Scheffé] If T(X) is sufficient and complete for θ . If θ is estimable, then there is a unique unbiased estimator of θ that is of

Lehmann-Scheffé If T(X) is sufficient and complete for θ . If θ is estimable, then there is a unique unbiased estimator of θ that is o the form h(T).

[UMVUE method1] Using Lehmann-Scheffé, suppose T is sufficient and complete manipulate $E(h(T)) = \theta$ to get $\hat{\theta}$.

[UMVUE method2] Using Rao-Blackwellization. Find ① unbiased estimator of $\theta = U(X)$ ② sufficient and complete statistics T(X) ③ then E(U|T) is the UMVUE of θ by Lehmann-Scheffé.

[UMVUE method3] Useful when no complete and sufficient statistics. Can use to find UMVUE, check if estimator is UMVUE, show nonexistence of UMVUE. T(X) is UMVUE $\Leftrightarrow E[T(X)U(X)] = 0$

(a) T is unbiased estimator of η with finite variance, \mathcal{U} is set of all unbiased estimators of 0 with finite variances. (b) T = h(S), where S is sufficient and h is Borel function, \mathcal{U}_S is subset of \mathcal{U} consisting of Borel functions of S.

[Cramér-Rao Lower Bound] Suppose ① Θ is an open set and P_{θ} has pdf f_{θ} ② f_{θ} is differentiable and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int f_{\theta}(x) d\nu = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x) d\nu = 0$. ③ $g(\theta)$ is differentiable and T(X) is unbiased estimator of $g(\theta)$ s.t. $g'(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int T(x) f_{\theta}(x) d\nu = \int T(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x) d\nu$, $\theta \in \Theta$. Then $Var(T(X)) \geq \frac{g'(\theta)^2}{I(\theta)} = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g(\theta)\right]^T [I(\theta)]^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g(\theta)$ [CR LB for biasd estimator] $Var(T) \geq \frac{[g'(\theta) + b'(\theta)]^2}{I(\theta)}$ [CR LB iff] CR achieve equality ⓐ $\Leftrightarrow T = \left[\frac{g'(\theta)}{I(\theta)}\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\theta}(X) + g(\theta)$ ⓑ $\Leftrightarrow f_{\theta}(X) = \exp(\eta(\theta)T(x) - \xi(\theta))h(x)$, s.t. $\xi'(\theta) = g(\theta)\eta'(\theta)$ and $I(\theta) = \eta'(\theta)g'(\theta)$ [UMVUE asymptotic] Typically consistent, exactly unbiased, ratio of mse over Cramér-Rao LB converges to 1 (asym they are the same). Other estimators [Upper semi-continuous (usc)] $\lim_{\theta \to 0} \left\{ \sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}} f(x|\theta') \right\} = f(x|\theta)$

[Corollary] If T_j is UMVUE of η_j with finite variances, then $T = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j T_j$ is UMVUE of $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \eta_j$. If T_1, T_2 are UMVUE of η

[Using method3] (1) Find U(x) via E[U(x)] = 0 (2) Construct T = h(S) s.t. T is unbiased (3) Find T via E[TU] = 0

[Upper semi-continuous (usc)]
$$\lim_{\rho\to 0} \left\{ \sup_{|\theta'-\theta|<\rho} f(x|\theta') \right\} = f(x|\theta)$$

[USC in θ] Suppose (1) Θ is compact with metric $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ (2) $f(x|\theta)$ is use in θ and for all x (3) there exists a function $M(x)$ s.t. $E_{\theta_0}|M(X)| < \infty$ and $\log f(x|\theta) - \log f(x|\theta_0) \le M(x)$ for all x and θ (4) for all $\theta \in \Theta$ and sufficiency small $\rho > 0$, $\sup_{d(\theta',\theta)<\rho} f(x|\theta')$ is

matrix is asym efficient or asym optimal if and only if $V_n(\theta) = [I_n(\theta)]^{-1}$.

with finite variances, then $T_1 = T_2$ a.s. $P, P \in \mathcal{P}$

 $E_{\theta_0}|M(X)| < \infty$ and $\log f(x|\theta) - \log f(x|\theta_0) \le M(x)$ for all x and θ (4) for all $\theta \in \Theta$ and sufficiency small $\rho > 0$, $\sup_{d(\theta',\theta) < \rho} f(x|\theta')$ is measurable in x (5) identifiable $f(x|\theta) = f(x|\theta_0)$ ν -a.e. $\Rightarrow \theta = \theta_0$. Then $d(\hat{\theta}_n, \theta_0) \to_{\text{a.s.}} 0$ [Asym Covariance Matrix] $V_n(\theta)$ is $k \times k$ positive definite matrix called asym covariance matrix. $V_n(\theta)$ is usually in form of $n^{-\delta}V(\theta)$, higher δ means faster convergence. $[V_n(\theta)]^{-1/2}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \to_D N_k(0, I_k)$ [Information Inequalities] $A \preccurlyeq B$ means B - A is positive semi-definite. Suppose two estimators $\hat{\theta}_{1n}, \hat{\theta}_{2n}$ satisfy asym covariance matrix with $V_{1n}(\theta), V_{2n}(\theta)$. $\hat{\theta}_{1n}$ is asym more efficient thant $\hat{\theta}_{2n}$ if (1) $V_{1n}(\theta) \preccurlyeq V_{2n}(\theta)$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$ and all large n (2) $V_{1n}(\theta) \prec V_{2n}(\theta)$ for at

with $V_{1n}(\theta)$, $V_{2n}(\theta)$. $\hat{\theta}_{1n}$ is asym more efficient thant $\hat{\theta}_{2n}$ if (1) $V_{1n}(\theta) \preccurlyeq V_{2n}(\theta)$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$ and all large n (2) $V_{1n}(\theta) \prec V_{2n}(\theta)$ for at least one $\theta \in \Theta$ But note $\hat{\theta}_n$ is asym unbiased but CR LB might not hold even if regularity condition is satisfied.

[M-estimators] General method to find $\hat{\theta}_n$ maximises criterion function $S_{\theta}(x)$, for MLE $s_{\theta}(x) = \log f(x|\theta)$. $E_{\theta_0}s_{\theta}(X) \prec E_{\theta_0}s_{\theta_0}(X) \forall \theta \neq \theta_0$. $\theta \mapsto S_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_{\theta}(X_i)$ [Consistency of M-estimators] $S_n(\theta)$ is random function while $S(\theta)$ is fixed s.t., $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |S_n(\theta) - S(\theta)| \to P$ 0 and for every $\theta > 0$

[Consistency of
$$M$$
-estimators] $S_{\theta}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} S_{\theta}(X)$ with $S_{\theta}(x)$ is fixed s.t. $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |S_n(\theta) - S(\theta)| \to_P 0$ and for every $\rho > 0$ $\sup_{\theta : d(\theta, \theta_0) \ge \rho} S(\theta) < S(\theta_0)$. Then any sequence of estimators $\hat{\theta}_n$ with $S_n(\hat{\theta}_n) \ge S_n(\theta_0) - S_n(\theta_0) = S_n(\theta_0) = S_n(\theta_0) + S_n(\theta_0) = S_$

[Hodges' estimator] $X_i \sim N(\theta, 1)$, $\theta_n = X_n$ if $X_n \geq n^{-1/4}$ and tX_n otherwise. $V_n(\theta) = 1/n$ if $\theta \neq 0$ and t^2/n otherwise. If $\theta \neq 0$: $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \theta) - (1 - t)\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_nI_{|\bar{\theta}_n| < n^{-1/4}}$ if $\theta = 0$: $= t\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \theta) + (1 - t)\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_nI_{|\bar{X}_n| \geq n^{-1/4}}$ [Super-efficiency] Point where UMVUE failed Hodeges' estiamtor in information inequality (2). But under the basic regularity condition and if Fisher Information is positive definite at $\theta = \theta_*$, if $\hat{\theta}_n$ satisfies Asym covariance matrix, then there is a $\Theta_0 \subset \Theta$ with Lebesgue measure 0 s.t. information inequality (2) holds for any $\theta \notin \Theta_0$ [Asym efficiency] Assume Fisher Info $I_n(\theta)$ is well-defined and positive definite for every n, seq of estimators $\{\hat{\theta}_n\}$ satisfies asym covariance

Asymptotics [Consistency of point estimators] (a) consistent
$$T_n(X) \xrightarrow{P} \theta$$
 (b) strongly consistent $T_n(X) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \theta$ (c) a_n -consistent $a_n(T_n(X) - \theta) = O_P(1)$, $\{a_n\} > 0$ and diverge to ∞ (d) L_r -consistent $T_n(X) \xrightarrow{L^P} \theta$ for some fixed $r > 0$. [Remark on consistency] A combination of LLN, CLT, Slustky's, continuous mapping, δ-method are used. If T_n is (strongly) consistent for θ and g is continuous at θ then $g(T_n)$ is (strongly) consistent for $g(\theta)$ [Affine estimator] Consider $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ni} X_i$ (1) If $c_{ni} = c_i/n$ s.t. $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \to 1$ and $\sup_i |c_i| < \infty$ then T_n is strongly consistent. (2) If population variance is finite, then T_n is consistent in mse $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ni} \to 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n c_{ni}^2 \to 0$

population variance is finite, then T_n is consistent in mse $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ni} \to 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n c_{ni}^2 \to 0$ [Asymptotic distribution] $\{a_n\} > 0$ and either (a) $a_n \to \infty$ (b) $a_n \to a > 0$, s.t. $a_n(T_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{D} Y$. When estimator's expectations or second moment are not well defined, we need asymptotic behaviours. [Asymptotic bias] $\tilde{b}_{T_n} = EY/a_n$, asymptotically unbiased if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{b}_{T_n}(P) = 0$, $b_{T_n}(P) := ET_n(X) - \theta$ [Asymptotic expectation] If $a_n \xi_n \to D$ $\xi_n E[\xi] < \infty$, then asymptotic expectation of ξ_n is $E\xi/a_n$.

[Asymptotic expectation] If $a_n \xi_n \to^D \xi$, $E|\xi| < \infty$, then asymptotic expectation of ξ_n is $E\xi/a_n$ [Asymptotic MSE] asymptotic expectation of $(T_n - \theta)^2$ or $\operatorname{amse}_{T_n}(P) = EY^2/a_n^2$ (Remark) $EY^2 \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} E[a_n^2(T_n - v)^2]$ (amse is no greater than exact mse) [Asymptotic variance] $\sigma_{T_n}^2(P) = Var(Y)/a_n^2$ [Asym Relative Efficiency] $e_{T_1, T_2} = amse_{T_2, (P)}/amse_{T_1, (P)}$. Note efficiency of estimator T refers to $1/[I(\theta)MSE_T(\theta)]$

[\(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\)-method corollary] If $a_n \to \infty, g$ is differentiable at θ , $U_n = g(T_n)$. Then (a) amse of U_n is $[g'(\theta)^2 EY^2]/a_n^2$ (b) asym var of U_n is $[g'(\theta)^2 Var(Y)]/a_n^2$ [Quantiles asymptotic] $F(\theta) = \gamma \in (0,1)$ and $\hat{\theta}_n := \lfloor \gamma n \rfloor$ -th order statistics, $F'(\theta) > 0$ and exists. $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{D} N\left(0, \frac{\gamma(1-\gamma)}{[F'(\theta)]^2}\right)$ Hypothesis testing [Hypothesis tests] Let \mathcal{P} be a family of distributions, $\mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_0$. Hypothesis testing decides between $H_0: P \in \mathcal{P}_0, H_1: P \in \mathcal{P}_1$.

Action space $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$, decision rule is called a test $T : \mathcal{X} \to \{0, 1\} \Rightarrow T(X) = I_C(X)$ for some $C \subset \mathcal{X}$. C is called the region/critical region.

[0-1 loss] Common loss function for hypo test, L(P, j) = 0 for $P \in \mathcal{P}_j$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{j-1}$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}_{j-1}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{j-1}$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}_{j-1}$

Type I and II errors Type I: H_0 is rejected when H_0 is true. $\beta_T(\theta_0) = E_{H_0}(T) \le \alpha$ (within controlled with size α) Error rate: $\alpha_T(P) = P(T(X) = 1), P \in \mathcal{P}_0$ Type II: H_0 is accepted when H_0 is false. $1 - \beta_T(\theta)$ for $\theta \in \Theta_1$ Error rate: $1 - \alpha_T(P) = P(T(X) = 1), P \in \mathcal{P}_1$ [Power function of T] $\alpha_T(P)$, Type I and Type II error rates cannot be minimized simultaneously. [Significance level] Under Neyman-Pearson framework, assign pre-specified bound α (significance level of test): $\sup_{P \subset \mathcal{P}_0} P(T(X) = 1) \le \alpha_T(P)$

[size of test] α' is the size of the test $\sup_{P \subset \mathcal{P}_0} P(T(X) = 1) = \alpha'$ [NP Test] Steps ① Find joint distribution f(X) and determine MLR and/or NEF ② Formulate hypothesis H_0, H_1 - simple/composite about θ and not $f(\theta)$ ③ Form N-P test structure T. ④ Find test distribution and rejection region

about θ and not $f(\theta)$ ③ Form N-P test structure T_* ④ Find test distribution and rejection region.

[Generalised NP] ϕ is the T (Test framework) $\max_{\phi} \int \phi f_{m+1} d\nu$ s.t. $\int \phi f_i d\nu \leq t_i \ \forall \ i \in (1, m)$, (Required condition) If $\exists \ c_1, \cdots, c_m$ s.t. $\phi_*(x) = I[f_{m+1}(x) > \sum_{i=1}^m c_i f_i(x)]$, then ϕ_* maximises objective function with equality constraint. If $c_i \geq 0$ then ϕ_* maximises with

```
Generalised NP - working example H_0: \lambda = 1, \lambda = 2, H_1: \lambda \in (1,2) (1) max \int \varphi(x) f_{\lambda}(x) dx with \int \varphi f_{\lambda=1} dx = \int \varphi f_{\lambda=2} dx = \alpha (2) by
generalised NP lemma, \varphi^*(x) = I(f_{\lambda} > k_1 f_{\lambda=1} + k_2 f_{\lambda=2}) = I(c_1 g(x) + c_2 g(x) < 1) (3) show c_i are positives. If c_i are both negative
then test always reject H_0. If c_i have opposite signs, or one of them equals zero, LHS of inequalities is monotone function of x and test
will be one-sided test. Power will be monotone and unable to satisfy constraints for power function. So c_i must be positive. (4) Then
c_1f_{\lambda=1}+c_2f_{\lambda=2} is convex, and \varphi^* is two-sided test with form \varphi^*(x)=I_{b_1,b_2}(x) (5) Find b_1,b_2 s.t. \int \varphi^*f_{\lambda=1}dx=\int \varphi^*f_{\lambda=2}dx=\alpha
[UMP] (1) H_0: P = p_0 \ H_1: P = p_1 \Rightarrow T(X) = I(p_1(X) > cp_0(X)), \ \beta_T(p_0) = \alpha (2) H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0 \ H_1: \theta > \theta_0 \Rightarrow T(Y) = I(Y > c),
\beta_T(\theta_0) = \alpha \ \ \mathfrak{J} \ \ H_0: \theta \leq \theta_1 \ \text{or} \ \theta \geq \theta_2 \ \ H_1: \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2, \Rightarrow T(Y) = I(c_1 < Y < c_2), \ \beta_T(\theta_1) = \beta_T(\theta_2) = \alpha
UMP Satisfy (1) pre-set size \alpha = E_{H_0}(T) (2) max power \beta_T(P) = E_{H_1}(T)
No UMP H_0: \theta = \theta_1, H_1: \theta \neq \theta_1 \text{ and } H_0: \theta \in (\theta_1, \theta_2) H_1: \theta \notin (\theta_1, \theta_2)
[N-P lemma] NP test has non-trival power \alpha < \beta_{H_1}(T) unless P_0 = P_1, and is unique up to \gamma (randomised test)
Show T_* is UMP in simple hypothesis UMP when E_1[T_*] - E_1[T] \ge 0, key equation: (T_* - T)(f_1 - cf_0) \ge 0. \Rightarrow \int (T_* - T)(f_1 - cf_0) = \int 
\beta_{H_1}(T_*) - \beta_{H_1}(T) \ge 0.
[UMP unique up to randomised test in simple hypothesis] (T_* - T)(f_1 - cf_0) \ge 0, \int (T_* - T)(f_1 - cf_0) = 0 \Rightarrow (T_* - T)(f_1 - cf_0) = 0 and
[Composite hypothesis] Simple \Rightarrow Composite when \beta_T(\theta_0) \ge \beta_T(\theta \in H_0) and/or \beta_T(\theta_0) \le \beta_T(\theta \in H_1) (or does not depend on \theta). For
MLR this is satisfied, others need to check.
Monotone Likelihood Ratio \theta_2 > \theta_2, increasing likelihood ratio in Y if g(Y) = \frac{f_{\theta_2}(Y)}{f_{\theta_1}(Y)} > 1 or g'(Y) > 0.
Simultaneous Interval C_t(X), t \in \mathcal{T} are 1 - \alpha simultaneous confidence intervals for \theta_t, t \in \mathcal{T} \Leftrightarrow \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P(\theta_t \in C_t(X)) for all t \in \mathcal{T} \geq 1
1-\alpha asymptotic CI if \lim_{n\to\infty} P(\theta_t \in C_t(X)) for all t\in \mathcal{T} \geq 1-\alpha [Simultaneous methods] (Bonferroni) adjust each paramter level to
\alpha_t = \alpha/k (Bootstrap) Monte Carlo percentile estimate (Multivariate Normal) ||(X - \mu)/\sigma||^2 < \chi_p^2
[UMPU] Exists for one-param,
Asymptotic test
[LR test] \lambda(X) = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \theta_0} \ell(\theta)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \ell(\theta)} Rejects H_0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda(X) < c \in [0,1]. 1-param Exp Fam LR test is also UMP.
Assume MLE regularity condition, under H_0, -2 \log \lambda(X) \to \chi_r^2, where r := dim(\theta) \ T(X) = I \left[\lambda(X) < \exp(-\chi_{r,1-\alpha}^2/2)\right] where \chi_{r,1-\alpha}^2
is the (1-\alpha)th quantile of \chi_r^2.
[Wald's test] W_n = R(\hat{\theta})^T \{C(\hat{\theta})^T I_n^{-1}(\hat{\theta}) C(\hat{\theta})\}^{-1} R(\hat{\theta}), where C(\theta) = \partial R(\theta) / \partial \theta, I_n(\theta) is fisher info for X_1, \dots, X_n, \hat{\theta} is unrestricted
MLE/RLE of \theta. Wald's test - easy case if H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \Rightarrow R(\theta) = \theta - \theta_0, and W_n = (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^T I_n(\hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)
[Rao's score test] Q_n = s_n(\tilde{\theta})^T I_n^{-1}(\tilde{\theta}) s_n(\tilde{\theta}). where score function s_n(\theta) = \partial \log \ell(\theta) / \partial \theta, \tilde{\theta} is MLE/RLE of \theta under H_0: R(\theta) = 0.
[Asymptotic Tests] Same test structure for LR, Wald', Rao's score test. H_0: R(\theta) = 0, \lim_{n\to\infty} W_n, Q_n \sim \chi_r^2, T(X) = I(W_n > \chi_{r,1-\alpha}^2)
or I(Q_n > \chi^2_{r,1-\alpha})
Non-param tests
[Sign test] X_i \sim^{iid} F, u is fixed constant, p = F(u), \triangle_i = I(X_i - u \le 0), P(\triangle_i = 1) = p, p_0 \in (0,1) H_0: p \le p_0 H_1: p > p_0 \Rightarrow T(Y) = I(Y > m), Y = \sum_{i=1}^n \triangle_i \sim Bin(n,p), m, \gamma s.t. \alpha = E_{p_0}[T(Y)] H_0: p = p_0 H_1: p \ne p_0 \Rightarrow T(Y) = I(Y < c_1 \text{ or } Y > c_2),
E_{p_0}[T] = \alpha \text{ and } E_{p_0}[TY] = \alpha n p_0
Permutation test] X_{i1}, \dots, X_{in_i} \sim^{iid} F_i, i = 1, 2 \ H_0 : F_1 = F_2 \ H_1 : F_1 \neq F_2, \Rightarrow T(X) \text{ with } \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{z \in \pi(x)} T(z) = \alpha \ \pi(x) \text{ is set of } n!
points obtained from x by permuting components of x E.g. T(X) = I(h(X) > h_m), h_m := (m+1)^{th} largest \{h(z : z \in \pi(x))\} e.g.
h(X) = |\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2| \text{ or } |S_1 - S_2|
[Rank test] X_i \sim^{iid} F, Rank(X_i) = \#\{X_j : X_j \leq X_i\}, H_0 : F symm and 0, H_1 : H_0 false, R_+^o vector of ordered R_+. (Wilcoxon)
T(X) = I[W(R_{+}^{o}) < c_{1} \text{ or } W(R_{+}^{o} > c_{2})], W(R_{+}^{o}) = J(R_{+1}^{o}/n) + \dots + J(R_{+n_{*}}^{o}/n) c_{1}, c_{2} \text{ are } (m+1)^{th} \text{ smallest/largest of } \{W(y) : y \in \mathcal{Y}\},
[KS test] X_i \sim^{iid} F H_0: F = F_0, H_1: F \neq F_0, \Rightarrow T(X) = I(D_n(F_0) > c), D_n(F) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{R}} |F_n(x) - F(x)| With F_n Emp CDF, and for
any d, n > 0, P(D_n(F) > d) \le 2 \exp(-2nd^2),
[Cramer-von test] Modified KS with T(X) = I(C_n(F_0) > c), C_n(F) = \int \{F_n(x) - F(x)\}^2 dF(x) \ nC_n(F_0) \xrightarrow{D} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \chi_{1j}^2, with \chi_{1j}^2 \sim \chi_1^2
and \lambda_j = j^{-2}\pi^{-2}
[Empirical LR] X_i \sim^{iid} F, H_0: \Lambda(F) = t_0 \ H_1: \Lambda(F) \neq t_0, \Rightarrow T(X) = I(ELR_n(X) < c) \ ELR_n(X) = \frac{\ell(\hat{F}_0)}{\ell(\hat{F})}, \ \ell(G) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_G(\{x_i\}), \ \ell(G) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_G(\{x
G \in \mathcal{F}. (\mathcal{F} := collection of CDFs, P_G := measure induced by CDF G)
Confidence set C(X): X \to \mathcal{B}(\Theta), Require \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P(\theta \in C(X)) \ge 1 - \alpha, that is confidence coeff should be more than level
[Pratt's theorem] Suppose vol(C(x)) = \int_C (x) d\theta' is finite, then expected volume of C(X) E[vol(C(x))] = \int_{\theta' \neq \theta} P(\theta' \in C(x)) d\theta'
Uniformly most accurate (UMA)] \theta \in \Theta and \Theta' \subset \Theta that does not contain true \theta, C(X) is \Theta'-UMA \Leftrightarrow P(\theta' \in C(X)) \leq P(\theta' \in C_1(X))
for any other C_1(X) C(X) is UMA \Leftrightarrow it is \Theta'-UMA with \Theta' = \{\theta\}^c \Rightarrow inverting H_0: \theta = \theta_0 and H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0
[CI via pivotal qty] C(X) = \{\theta : c_1 \leq \mathcal{R}(X, \theta) \leq c_2\}, not dependent on P common pivotal qty: (X_i - \mu)/\sigma
invert accept region C(X) = \{\theta : x \in A(\theta)\}, Acceptance region A(\theta) = \{x : T_{\theta_0}(x) \neq 1\}. H_0 : \theta = \theta_0, any H_1 satisfy
Shortest CI require unimodal: f'(x_0) = 0 f'(x) < 0, x < x_0 and f'(X) > 0, x > x_0
[Pivotal (T-\theta)/U, f unimodal at x_0] Interval [T-b_*U, T-a_*U], shortest when f(a_*)=f(b_*)>0 a_*\leq x_0\leq b_* [Pivotal T/\theta, x^2f(x) unimodal at x_0] Interval [b_*^{-1}T, a_*^{-1}T_*] shortest when a_*^2f(a_*)=b_*^2f(b_*)>0 a_*\leq x_0\leq b_*
[General CI] Require f > 0, integrable, unimodal at x_0, (Objective) min b - a s.t. \int_a^b f(x)dx and a \le b (Solution) a_*, b_* satisfy (1)
a_* \le x_0 \le b_* ② f(a_*) = f(b_*) > 0 ③ \int_{a_*}^{b_*} f(x) dx = 1 - \alpha forms the shortest CI, note it has to exactly the formulation above.
[Asymptotic CI] Require \lim_{n\to} P(\theta \in C(X)) \ge 1 - \alpha,
[Asymptotic pivotal] \mathcal{R}_n(X,\theta) = \hat{V}_n^{-1/2}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) does not depend on P in limit
[Asymptotic LR CI] C(X) = \left\{ \theta : \ell(\theta, \hat{\varphi}) \ge exp(-\chi_{r,1-\alpha}^2 - \alpha/2)\ell(\hat{\theta}) \right\}
[Asymptotic Wald CI] C(X) = \left\{ \theta : (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^T \left[ C^T \left( I_n(\hat{\theta}) \right)^{-1} C \right]^{-1} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) \le \chi_{r, 1 - \alpha}^2 \right\}
```

[Asymptotic Rao CI] $C(X) = \left\{ \theta : \left[s_n(\theta, \hat{\varphi}) \right]^T \left[I_n(\theta, \hat{\varphi}) \right]^{-1} \left[s_n(\theta, \hat{\varphi}) \right] \le \chi_{r, 1 - \alpha}^2 \right\}$

Bayesian

inequality constraint.

[HPD highest posterior dentsity] $C(x) = \{\theta : p_x(\theta) \ge c_\alpha\}$, often shortest length credible set. Is a horizontal line in the posterior density plot. Might not have exact confidence level $1 - \alpha$. [Hierachical Bayes] With hyper-parameters on the priors. [Empirical Bayes] Estimate hyper-parameter via data using MoM (no MLE as not independent). $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, $\mu \mid \xi \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$, σ^2 [hyper $S = (\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$] Using MoM $E_i(Y \mid \xi) = E_i(Y \mid \xi) =$

Bayes action $\delta(x)$ arg min_a $E[L(\theta, a)|X = x]$, when $L(\theta, a) = (\theta - a)^2$, $\delta(x) = E(\theta|X = x)$, and bayes risk $r_{\delta}(\theta) = Var(\theta|X)$

[Generalised Bayes action] $\arg \min_a \int_{\Theta} L(\theta, a) f_{\theta}(x) d\Pi$, works for improper prior where $\Pi(\Theta) \neq 1$

Interval estimation - Credible sets $P_{\theta|x}(\theta \in C) = \int_C p_x(\theta) d\lambda \ge 1 - \alpha$

[Bayes formula] $\frac{dP_{\theta|X}}{d\Pi} = \frac{f_{\theta}(X)}{m(X)}$.

Empirical Bayes] Estimate hyper-paramter via data using MoM (no MLE as not independent). $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, $\mu | \xi \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$, σ^2 known, $\xi = (\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$, Using MoM $E_{\xi}(X|\xi) = E_{\xi}(E[X|\mu, \xi]) = E_{\xi}(\mu | \xi) = \mu_0 \approx \bar{X}$, $E_{\xi}(X^2|\xi) = E_{\xi}(\mu^2 + \sigma^2 | \xi) = \sigma^2 + \mu_0^2 + \sigma_0^2 \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i^2$ $\Rightarrow \sigma_0^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 - \sigma^2$ [Normal posterior] Normal posterior $N(\mu_*(x), c^2)$ with prior unknown μ and known σ^2 : $\mu_*(x) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \mu_0 + \frac{n\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \bar{x}$, $c^2 = \frac{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2}{n\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}$ $C(x) = [\mu_*(x) - cz_{1-\alpha/2}, \ \mu_*(x) + cz_{1-\alpha/2}]$.

 $C(x) = [\mu_*(x) - cz_{1-\alpha/2}, \ \mu_*(x) + cz_{1-\alpha/2}].$ [Decision theory] (Admissibility) (1) $\delta(X)$ unique \Rightarrow admissible, (2, 3) $r_{\delta}(\Pi) < \infty$, $\Pi(\theta) > 0$ for all θ and δ is Bayes action with respect to $\Pi \Rightarrow$ admissible. Not true for improper priors, Improper priors require excessive risk ignorable, take limit and observe if risk is admissible. (Bias) Under squared error loss, $\delta(X)$ is biased unless $r_{\delta}(\Pi) = 0$. Not applicable to improper priors. (Minimax) If T is (unique) Bayes estimator under Π and $R_T(\theta) = \sup_{\theta'} R_T(\theta') \pi$ -a.e., then T is (unique) minimax. Limit of Bayes estimators If T has constant risk and $\lim_{\theta \to 0} \inf_{\theta \to 0} f_{\theta} = \sup_{\theta'} f_{\theta}$

for generalised Bayes rules unless limit is Bayes rule. [Simul est] Simultaneous estimate vector-valued \mathcal{V} with e.g. squared loss $L(\theta, a) = \|a - \theta\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^p (a_i - \theta_i)^2$ [Bayes Asymptotic Property] (Posterior Consistency) $X \sim P_{\theta_0}$ and $\Pi(U|X_n) \xrightarrow{P_{\theta_0}} 1$ for all open U containing θ_0 . (Wald type consistency) Assume $p_{\theta}(x)$ is continuous, measurable, θ_* is unique maximizer then MLE converge to true parameter θ^* P_* a.s. Furthermore, if θ^* is in the support of the prior, then posterior converges to θ^* in probability. (Posterior Robustness) all priors that lead to consistent posteriors are equivalent. [Bernstein-von Mises] Assume MLE regularity conditions, posterior $T_n = \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta_n} - \hat{\theta_n}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\theta_n}, V^*/n)$ asymptotically. (Well-specified)

 $V^* = I(\theta^*)^{-1} = E_* \left[-\nabla_\theta^2 \log p_{\theta^*}(Y) \right]^{-1} \text{ (same as MLE, with } \theta^* \text{ as true parameter, CI = CR) } \sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_n - E_\theta[\theta|X_1, \cdots, X_n] \right) \xrightarrow{P} 0 \text{ (If MLE has asym normality, so is posterior mean) (Mis-specified) } V^* = \mathbb{E}_* \left[-\nabla_\theta^2 \log p_{\theta_*}(Y) \right]^{-1}, \ \theta_* \text{ is projection of } \theta^* \text{ onto parameter space, or unique maximizer of } \ell^*(\theta) = E_*[\log p_\theta(Y)]$ $[\text{MLE asymptotic variance under model misspecification}] \ \mathbb{E}_* \left[-\nabla_\theta^2 \log p_{\theta^*}(Y) \right]^{-1} \text{Var}_* \left(\nabla \log p_{\theta^*}(Y) \right) \mathbb{E}_* \left[-\nabla_\theta^2 \log p_{\theta^*}(Y) \right]^{-1} \text{ (differ from MLE, with } \theta_* \text{ the projection of } P_* \text{ to parameter space)}$

Linear Model [Linear Model] $X = Z\beta + \epsilon$ (or $X_i = Z_i^T\beta + \epsilon_i$) Estimate with $b = \min_b ||X - Zb||^2 = ||X - Z\hat{\beta}||^2$, [Generalised inverse] Moore-Penrose inverse $A^+AA^+ = A^+$, $A = (Z^TZ)$ [Projection matrix] $P_Z = Z(Z^TZ)^-Z^T$, $P_Z^2 = P_Z$, $P_ZZ = Z$, $rank(P_Z) = tr(P_Z) = r$ [LM Solution] (solution = normal equation) $Z^Zb = Z^TX$ (when Z is full rank): $\hat{\beta} = (Z^TZ)^{-1}Z^TX$ (when Z is not full rank): $\hat{\beta} = (Z^TZ)^{-2}Z^TX$

 $\beta = (Z^T Z)^- Z^T X$ [LM tricks] $X - Z\hat{\beta} = P_{Z\perp}X$, $Z\hat{\beta} = P_Z X$. $\exists W \in \mathcal{R}^{n \times (n-r)}$ s.t. $W^T W = I_{n-r}$ and $WW^T = P_{Z\perp} = I_n$ [LM assumptions] (A1 Gaussian noise) $\epsilon \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ (A2 homoscedastic noise) $E(\epsilon) = 0$, $Var(\epsilon) = \sigma^2 I_n$ (A3 general noise) $E(\epsilon) = 0$, $Var(\epsilon) = \Sigma$ [Estimable $\ell\beta$] Estimate linear combination of coefficient (General) necessary and Sufficient condition: $\ell \in R(Z) = R(Z^T Z)$ (under A3)

Estimable $\ell\beta$ Estimate linear combination of coefficient (General) necessary and Sufficient condition: $\ell \in R(Z) = R(Z^TZ)$ (under A3) LSE $\ell^T\hat{\beta}$ is unique and unbiased (under A1) if $\ell \notin R(Z)$, $\ell^T\beta$ not estimable [LM property under A1] ① LSE $\ell^T\hat{\beta}$ is UMVUE of $\ell^T\beta$, ② UMVUE of $\ell^T\beta$ (② UMVUE of $\ell^T\beta$) are independent, $\ell^T\hat{\beta} \sim N(\ell^T\beta, \sigma^2\ell^T(Z^TZ) - \ell)$, $(n-r)\hat{\sigma}/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n-r}^2$ [LM property under A2] LSE $\ell^T\hat{\beta}$ is BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator, best as in min var)

[LM property under A3] Following are equivalent: (a) $\ell^T \hat{\beta}$ is BLUE for $\ell^T \beta$ (also UMVUE), (b) $E[\ell^T \hat{\eta}^T X) = 0$], any η is s.t. $E[\eta^T X] = 0$ (c) $Z^T var(\epsilon)U = 0$, for U s.t. $Z^T U = 0$, $R(U^T) + R(Z^T) = R^n$ (d) $Var(\epsilon) = Z\Lambda_1 Z^T + U\Lambda_2 U^T$, for some Λ_1, Λ_2, U s.t. $Z^T U = 0$, $R(U^T) + R(Z^T) = R^n$ (e) $Z(Z^T Z)^- Z^T Var(\epsilon)$ is symmetric [LM consistency] $\lambda_+[A]$ is the largest eigenvalue of $A_n = (Z^T Z)^-$. Suppose $\sup_n \lambda_+[Var\epsilon)] < \infty$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_+[A_n] = 0$, $\ell^T \hat{\beta}$ is consistent in MSE.

LM asymptotic normality] $\ell^T(\hat{\beta} - \beta)/\sqrt{Var(\ell^T\hat{\beta})} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1)$. sufficient condition: $\lambda_+[A_n] \to 0$ and $Z_n^T A_n Z_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and there exist $\{a_n\}$ s.t. $a_n \to \infty$, $a_n/a_{n+1} \to 1$ and $Z^T Z/a_n$ converge to positive definite matrix.

[LM Hypothesis testing] Under A1, $\ell \in R(Z)$, θ_0 fixed constant

[LM hypothesis testing] where ℓ is the standard of ℓ and ℓ is the standard of ℓ is

[LM Hypothesis testing] Under A1, $\ell \in R(Z)$, θ_0 fixed constant [LM hypothesis testing - simple] $\ell \in R(Z)$, (a) $H_0: \ell^T \beta \leq \theta_0$, $H_1: \ell^T \beta > \theta_0$, (b) $H_0: \ell^T \beta = \theta_0$, $H_1: \ell^T \beta \neq \theta_0$, Under $H_0: t(X) = \frac{\ell^T \hat{\beta} - \theta_0}{\sqrt{\ell^T (Z^T Z) - \ell} \sqrt{SSR/(n-r)}} \sim t_{n-r}$, UMPU reject $t(X) > t_{n-r,\alpha}$ or $|t(X)| > t_{n-r,\alpha/2}$

 $t(X) = \frac{\ell^T \beta - \theta_0}{\sqrt{\ell^T (Z^T Z) - \ell} \sqrt{SSR/(n-r)}} \sim t_{n-r}, \text{ UMPU reject } t(X) > t_{n-r,\alpha} \text{ or } |t(X)| > t_{n-r,\alpha/2}$ $[LM \text{ hypothesis testing - multiple}] \quad L_{s \times p}, \quad s \leq r \text{ and all rows} = \ell_j \in R(Z) \text{ (a) } H_0 : L\beta = 0, \quad H_1 : L\beta \neq 0 \text{ Under } H_0: W = \frac{(\|X - Z\hat{\beta}_0\|^2 - \|X - Z\hat{\beta}\|^2)/s}{\|X - Z\hat{\beta}\|^2/(n-r)} \sim F_{s,n-r} \text{ with non-central param } \sigma^{-2} \|Z\beta - \Pi_0 Z\beta\|^2, \text{ reject } W > F_{s,n-r,1-\alpha}$

[LM confidence set] Pivotal qty: $\mathcal{R}(X,\beta) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}-\beta)^T Z^T Z(\hat{\beta}-\beta)/p}{\|X-Z\hat{\beta}\|^2/(n-p)} \sim F_{p,n-p}$, where $\hat{\beta}$ is LSE of β , $C(X) = \{\beta : \mathcal{R}(X,\beta) \leq F_{p,n-p,1-\alpha}\}$ [CI for $H_0: \theta = \theta_0, H_1: \theta < \theta_0$] $A(\theta_0) = \{X : \ell^T \hat{\beta} - \theta_0 > -t_{n-r,\alpha} \sqrt{\ell^T (Z^T Z)^{-\ell} SSR/(n-r)}\}$

[CI For $H_0: \theta = \theta_0, H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$] $A(\theta_0) = \left\{ X: |\ell^T \hat{\beta} - \theta_0| < t_{n-r,\alpha/2} \sqrt{\ell^T (Z^T Z)^- \ell SSR/(n-r)} \right\}$ [Asymptotic CI] Does not require normality of noise $C(X) = \left\{ \beta: (\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T (Z^T Z)(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \leq \chi_{p,\alpha}^2 SSR/(n-p) \right\} SSR = ||X - Z\hat{\beta}||^2$

[Linear Estimator] Linear estimator for linear model $X = Z\beta + \epsilon$ is linear function of X. e.g. $\ell^T \hat{\beta} = \ell^T (Z^T Z)^- Z^T X = C^T X$, $Var(c^T X) = c^T Var(X)c = c^T Var(\epsilon)c$

 $\text{Bivariate Normal density} \ X_i \text{ are iid from bivariate normal} \ f(X) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{1-\rho^2})^n} \exp\left\{-\frac{||Y_1-\mu_11_n||^2}{2\sigma_1^2(1-\rho^2)} + \frac{\rho(Y_1-\mu_11_n)^T(Y_2-\mu_21_n)}{\sigma_1\sigma_2(1-\rho^2)} - \frac{||Y_2-\mu_21_n||^2}{2\sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2)} + \frac{\rho(Y_1-\mu_11_n)^T(Y_2-\mu_21_n)}{\sigma_1\sigma_2(1-\rho^2)} - \frac{||Y_2-\mu_21_n||^2}{2\sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2)} + \frac{\rho(Y_1-\mu_11_n)^T(Y_2-\mu_21_n)}{\sigma_1\sigma_2(1-\rho^2)} - \frac{||Y_2-\mu_21_n||^2}{2\sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2)} + \frac{\rho(Y_1-\mu_11_n)^T(Y_2-\mu_21_n)}{\sigma_1\sigma_2(1-\rho^2)} - \frac{||Y_2-\mu_21_n||^2}{2\sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2)} + \frac{\rho(Y_1-\mu_11_n)^T(Y_2-\mu_21_n)}{\sigma_1\sigma_2(1-\rho^2)} - \frac{||Y_1-\mu_11_n||^2}{2\sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2)} + \frac{\rho(Y_1-\mu_11_n)^T(Y_2-\mu_21_n)}{\sigma_1\sigma_2(1-\rho^2)} + \frac{\rho(Y_1-\mu_11_n)^T(Y_1-\mu_21_n)}{\sigma_1\sigma_2(1-\rho^2)} + \frac{\rho$

Testing $H_0: \rho = 0, H_1: \rho \neq 0$ with $\theta = \frac{\rho}{\sigma_1\sigma_2(1-\rho^2)}, Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i1}X_{i2}, U = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_{i1}^2, \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i2}^2, \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i1}, \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i2}\right)$, Sample correlation coefficient: $R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{i1} - \bar{X}_1)(X_{X_{i2} - \bar{X}_2})}{\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{i1} - \bar{X}_1)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{i2} - \bar{X}_2)^2\right\}^{(1/2)}}, T = \sqrt{n-2}R/\sqrt{1-R^2} \sim t_{n-2} \text{ under } H_0: \rho = 0, \text{ UMPU test reject } |T| > t_{n-2,\alpha/2}$