

# Implementation and Evaluation of a Compact Table Propagator in Gecode

Linnea Ingmar

16th March 2017

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Goal . . . . .	2
1.2	Contributions . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Constraint Programming . . . . .	2
2.2	Gecode . . . . .	3
2.3	The TABLE Constraint . . . . .	3
2.4	Compact-Table Propagator . . . . .	3
2.5	Sparse Bit-Set . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Algorithms</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Sparse Bit-Set . . . . .	3
3.2	Compact-Table (CT) Algorithm . . . . .	3
3.2.1	Fields . . . . .	4
3.2.2	Methods . . . . .	5
3.2.3	Propagator Status Messages . . . . .	8
<b>4</b>	<b>Implementation</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Evaluation</b>	<b>9</b>
5.1	Evaluation Setup . . . . .	9
5.2	Results . . . . .	9
5.3	Discussion . . . . .	9
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Source Code</b>	<b>9</b>

# 1 Introduction

In Constraint Programming (CP), every constraint is associated with a propagator algorithm. The propagator algorithm filters out impossible values for the variables related to the constraint. For the TABLE constraint, several propagator algorithms are known. In 2016, a new propagator algorithm for the TABLE constraint was published [?], called Compact Table (CT). Preliminary results indicate that CT outperforms the previously known algorithms. There has been no attempt to implement CT in the constraint solver Gecode [?], and consequently its performance in Gecode is unknown.

## 1.1 Goal

The goal of this thesis is to implement a CT propagator algorithm for the TABLE constraint in Gecode, and to evaluate its performance with respect to the existing propagators.

## 1.2 Contributions

Todo: State the contributions, perhaps as a bulleted list, referring to the different parts of the paper, as opposed to giving a traditional outline. (As suggested by Olle Gallmo.)

This thesis contributes with the following:

- The relevant preliminaries have been covered in Section 2.
- The algorithms presented in [?] have been modified to suit the target constraint solver Gecode, and are presented and explained in Section 3.
- The CT algorithm has been implemented in Gecode, see Section 4.
- The performance of the CT algorithm has been evaluated, see Section 5.
- ...

# 2 Background

This chapter provides a background that is relevant for the following chapters. It is divided into three parts: Section 2.1 introduces Constraint Programming. Section 2.2 gives an overview of Gecode, a constraint solver. Finally, Section 2.3 introduces the TABLE constraint.

## 2.1 Constraint Programming

This section introduces the concept of Constraint Programming (CP).

CP is a programming paradigm that is used for solving combinatorial problems. A problem is modelled as a set of *constraints* and a set of *variables* with possible values. The possible values of a variable is called the *domain* of the variable. All the variables are to be assigned a value from their domains, so that all the constraints of the problem are satisfied. One small example is the set of variables  $\{x, y\}$  with domain  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ , together with the constraint  $x < y$ . This problem has two solutions, namely  $(x, y) = (1, 2)$ ,  $(x, y) = (1, 3)$  and  $(x, y) = (2, 3)$ . If, on the other hand, the domains of  $x$  and  $y$  would have been  $\{2, 3\}$  and  $\{1, 2\}$ , respectively, then there would exist no solution since none of the possible assignments of  $x$  and  $y$  would have satisfied the constraint  $x < y$ .

Sometimes the solution should not only satisfy the set of constraints for the problem, but should also maximise or minimise some given function. Take the same small example as above,

with the domains of  $x, y$  being  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ , and suppose that the solution should maximise the sum of  $x$  and  $y$ . Then the solution is  $(x, y) = (2, 3)$ .

A constraint solver is a software that solves constraint problems. The solving of a problem consists of generating a search tree by branching on possible values for the variables. At each node in the search tree, the solver removes impossible values from the domains of the variables. This filtering process is called *propagation*. Each constraint is associated with at least one propagator algorithm, whose task is to detect values that would violate the constraint if the variables were to be assigned any of those values, and remove those values from the domain of the variable.

After this intuitive description we are ready to define some concepts.

## Propagation

**Definition 1** A domain  $D$  is a complete mapping from a fixed (countable) set of variables  $V$  to finite sets of integers. A domain  $D$  is failed, if  $D(x) = \emptyset$  for some  $x \in V$ . A variable  $x \in V$  is fixed by a domain  $D$ , if  $|D(x)| = 1$ . The intersection of domains  $D1$  and  $D2$ , denoted  $D1 \sqcap D2$ , is defined by the domain  $D(x) = D1(x) \cap D2(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ . A domain  $D1$  is stronger than a domain  $D2$ , written  $D1 \sqsubseteq D2$ , if  $D1(x) \subset D2(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ .

A propagator  $p$  is a function that maps domains to domains.

## 2.2 Gecode

Gecode [?] is a popular constraint programming solver written in C++.

## 2.3 The Table Constraint

The TABLE constraint, called EXTENSIONAL in Gecode, explicitly expresses the possible combinations of values for the variables as a sequence of  $n$ -tuples.

## 2.4 Compact-Table Propagator

## 2.5 Sparse Bit-Set

# 3 Algorithms

This chapter presents the algorithms that are used in the implementation of the CT propagator in Section 4.

## 3.1 Sparse Bit-Set

This section describes the class `SparseBitSet` which is the main datastructure in the CT algorithm for maintaining the supports.

## 3.2 Compact-Table (CT) Algorithm

This section describes the CT algorithm, a domain consistent propagation algorithm for any table constraint  $c$ . Algorithm 2 shows the interface for Class CT-Propagator, which implements the CT algorithm. The rest of this section will describe its fields and methods in detail. [Todo: Is this a good idea or is the pseudo code too spread out? Ugly that the lines of references "Algorithm x" are numbered in Algorithm 2.](#)

```

1: Class CT-Propagator

2: scp: array of variables
3: currTable: SparseBitSet // Current supported tuples
4: supports: array of bit-sets // supports[ $x, a$ ] is the bit-set of supports for  $(x, a)$ 
5: lastSize: array of int // lastSize[ $x$ ] is the last size of the domain of  $x.c$ 
6: residues: array of int // residues[ $x, a$ ] is the last found support for  $(x, a)$ . No residues yet!

7: Method initialiseCT()
8:   Algorithm 3

9: Method updateTable()
10:   Algorithm 5

11: Method filterDomains()
12:   Algorithm 6

13: Method propagate()
14:   Algorithm 7

```

**Algorithm 2:** Interface for CT propagator class.

### 3.2.1 Fields

*Todo:* Add examples with figures for describing the fields.

Lines 2-6 of Algorithm 2 shows the fields of Class **CT-Propagator** and their types. Now follows a more detailed description of them.

- **scp** represents the scope of the constraint  $c$ .
- **currTable** represents the current table, that is, the valid supports for  $c$ . If the initial table of  $c$  is  $\langle \tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{p-1} \rangle$ , then **currTable** is a **SparseBitSet** object of initial size  $p$ , such that value  $i$  is contained (is set to 1) if and only if the  $i$ th tuple is valid:

$$i \in \mathbf{currTable} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in \mathit{scp}(c) : \tau_i[x] \in \mathit{dom}(x) \quad (3.1)$$

- **supports** represents the supports for each variable-value pair  $(x, a)$ , where  $x \in \mathit{scp}(c) \wedge a \in \mathit{dom}(x)$ . It is a static array of words **supports**[ $x, a$ ], seen as bit-sets. The bit-set **supports**[ $x, a$ ] is such that the bit at position  $i$  is set to 1 if and only if the tuple  $\tau_i$  in the initial table of  $c$  is a support for  $(x, a)$ :

$$\forall x \in \mathit{scp}(c) : \forall a \in \mathit{dom}(x) : \mathbf{supports}[x, a][i] = 1 \Leftrightarrow \tau_i[x] = a \wedge \forall y \in \mathit{scp}(c) : \tau_i[y] \in \mathit{dom}(y) \quad (3.2)$$

Seing **supports** as a matrix, we have that the column **supports**[\*,\*][ $i$ ] encodes the  $i$ th support for  $c$ . **supports** is computed once during the initialisation of CT and then remains unchanged.

- **lastSize** is an array that contains the domain size of each variable  $x$  right before the previous invocation of CT on  $c$ .

- **residues** is an array such that for each variable-value pair  $(x, a)$ , **residues** $[x, a]$  denotes the index of the word in **currTable** where a support was found for  $(x, a)$  the last time it was sought for.

### 3.2.2 Methods

We now describe the methods of Class **CT-Propagator**.

**Initialisation.** The initialisation of the fields of Class **CT-Propagator** is described in Algorithm 3. The method **initialiseCT()** takes two parameters: *variables*, that are the variables associated to the constraint *c*, and *tuples*, that is a list of tuples that define the initial table for *c*.

```

1: Method initialiseCT(variables, tuples)
2:   npairs  $\leftarrow$  sum  $\{|\text{dom}(x)| : x \in \text{variables}\}$            // Number of variable-value pairs
3:   ntuples  $\leftarrow$  tuples.size()                             // Number of tuples
4:   nsupports  $\leftarrow$  0                                       // Number of found supports
5:   scp  $\leftarrow$  variables
6:   lastSize  $\leftarrow$  array of length scp.length filled with -1 // Dummy value
7:   residues  $\leftarrow$  array of length npairs
8:   supports  $\leftarrow$  array of length npairs with bit-sets of size ntuples
9:   foreach t  $\in$  tuples do
10:    supported  $\leftarrow$  true
11:    foreach x  $\in$  scp do
12:      if  $t[x] \notin \text{dom}(x)$  then
13:        supported  $\leftarrow$  false
14:        break                                                 // Exit loop
15:    if supported then
16:      nsupports  $\leftarrow$  nsupports + 1
17:      foreach x  $\in$  scp do
18:        supports $[x, t[x]][\text{nsupports}] \leftarrow 1$ 
19:        residues $[x, t[x]] \leftarrow \lfloor \frac{\text{nsupports}}{64} \rfloor$  // Index for the support in currTable
20:   currTable  $\leftarrow$  SparseBitSet(nsupports) // SparseBitSet with nsupports bits

```

**Algorithm 3:** Pseudo code for initialising the CT-propagator.

Lines 2-4 initialise local variables that will be used later.

Lines 5-8 initialise the fields **scp**, **lastSize**, **residues** and **supports**. The array **lastSize** is filled with the dummy value  $-1$  because we want to have  $|\text{dom}(x)| \neq \text{lastSize}[x]$  the first time that the propagation algorithm runs. The field **residues** will be filled with values later, in line 19. The field **supports** is initialised as an array of bit-sets, with one bit-set for each variable-value pair, and the size of each bit-set being the number of tuples in *tuples*. Each bit-set is assumed to be initially filled with zeros.

Lines 9-19 set the correct bits to 1 in **supports**. For each tuple *t*, we check if *t* is a valid support for *c*. Recall that *t* is a valid support for *c* if and only if  $t[x] \in \text{dom}(x)$  for all  $x \in \text{scp}(c)$ . We keep a counter, *nsupports*, for the number of valid supports for *c*. This is used for indexing the tuples in **supports** (we only index the tuples that are valid supports). If *t* is a valid support, all elements in **supports** corresponding to *t* are set to 1 in line 18. We also take the opportunity to store the index of the found support in **residues** $[x, t[x]]$  in line 19. [Todo: Perhaps break out](#)

lines 9-19 to an own algorithm-environment? I haven't implemented residues yet (to keep the first version as simple as possible) but this is how I would do it.

Line 20 initialises `currTable` as a `SparseBitSet` object with `nsupports` bits, initially with all bits set to 1 since `nsupports` number of tuples are initially valid supports for  $c$ .

To limit the size of `supports`, one could perform simple bounds propagation in `initialiseCT()`. Algorithm 4 is inserted in the beginning of `initialiseCT()`, in line 2 in Algorithm 3. It removes from the domain of each variable  $x$  all values that are either greater than the largest element or smaller than the smallest element in the initial table. *Todo: Might change later to take the intersection of the values in the tupleset with the domain of the variables, but this is cheaper since it is very cheap to find min and max for tuplesets.*

```

1: foreach  $x \in \text{variables}$  do
2:    $\text{dom}(x) \leftarrow \text{dom}(x) \setminus \{a \in \text{dom}(x) : a > \text{tuples.max}() \text{ or } a < \text{tuples.min}()\}$ 

```

**Algorithm 4:** Simple initial propagation for keeping down the size of `supports`, to be inserted in the beginning of `initialiseCT()` in Algorithm 3.

**Performing propagation.** When the propagator is invoked for propagation, the method `propagate()` in Algorithm 2 is called. Before defining this function, we need to define the help functions `updateTable()` and `filterDomains()`. Performing propagation consists of two steps: updating the current table and filtering out inconsistent values from the domains of the variables. We now describe these processes in more detail.

1. *Updating the current table.*

```

1: Method updateTable()
2:   foreach  $x \in \text{scp}$  such that  $|\text{dom}(x)| \neq \text{lastSize}[x]$  do
3:      $\text{lastSize}[x] \leftarrow |\text{dom}(x)|$ 
4:     currTable.clearMask()
5:     foreach  $a \in \text{dom}(x)$  do
6:       currTable.addToMask(supports[x, a])
7:     currTable.intersectWithMask()
8:     if currTable.isEmpty() then
9:       break // No valid tuples left

```

**Algorithm 5:** Method `updateTable()` in Class CT-Propagator.

The method `updateTable()` in Lines 1-9 of Algorithm 2 filters out (indices of) tuples that have ceased to be supports since the last invocation of the propagator. The overall idea is that for each variable  $x \in \text{scp}$ , we keep only those values in `currTable` that correspond to valid tuples for  $x$ , and the complement of those values are set to 0. We note that it is only necessary to consider a variable  $x$  if its domain has changed since the last invocation of the propagator, because only then may the set of valid tuples have changed. Line 2 chooses exactly those variables.

Line 3 records the domain size for  $x$  in `lastSize[x]` and line 4 clears the temporary mask (sets all bits to 0).

Lines 5-6 stores the union of the set of valid tuples for each value  $a \in \text{dom}(x)$  in the temporary mask and Line ?? intersects `currTable` with the mask. Line 8 checks whether the current table is empty, in which case we break in line ?? because there are no valid tuples left.

2. *Filtering of domains.* After the current table has been updated, inconsistent values must be removed from the domains of the variables. It follows from the definition of the bit-sets `currTable` and `supports[x, a]` that  $(x, a)$  has a valid support if and only if

$$(\text{currTable} \cap \text{supports}[x, a]) \neq \emptyset \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, we must check this condition for every variable-value pair  $(x, a)$  and remove  $a$  from the domain of  $x$  if the condition is not satisfied any more. This is implemented in the method `filterDomains()` in Algorithm 6.

```

1: Method filterDomains()
2:   count_unassigned  $\leftarrow$  0
3:   foreach  $x \in \text{scp}$  such that  $|\text{dom}(x)| > 1$  do
4:     foreach  $a \in \text{dom}(x)$  do
5:       index  $\leftarrow$  currTable.intersectIndex(supports[x, a])
6:       if index  $\neq -1$  then
7:         // No residues yet!
8:       else
9:          $\text{dom}(x) \leftarrow \text{dom}(x) \setminus \{a\}$ 
10:      if  $|\text{dom}(x)| > 1$  then
11:        count_unassigned  $\leftarrow$  count_unassigned + 1
12:   if count_unassigned  $\leq 1$  then
13:     return Subsumed
14:   else
15:     return Fixpoint

```

**Algorithm 6:** Method `filterDomains()` in Class CT-Propagator.

*Todo:* This method would be slightly more sophisticated if residues were implemented. For now, disregard the empty line in the if-statement.

Line 2 initialises a counter for the number of unassigned variables.

Lines 3-11 performs the actual filtering of the domains. We note that it is only necessary to consider a variable  $x \in \text{scp}$  whose domain size is larger than 1, because we will never filter out values from the domain of an assigned variable. To see this, assume we removed the last value for a variable  $x$ , causing a wipe-out for  $x$ . Then by the definition in equation (3.1) `currTable` must be empty, which it will not be upon invocation of `filterDomains()`. Hence, we need only consider  $x \in \text{scp}$  such that  $|\text{dom}(x)| > 1$ .

In line 5, we search for an index in `currTable` where a valid support for the variable-value pair  $(x, a)$  is found, thereby checking the condition in (3.3). In line 9 we remove  $a$  from  $\text{dom}(x)$  if  $(x, a)$  is not supported any more.

Lines 10-11 increments the counter of unassigned variables if  $|\text{dom}(x)| > 1$ .

Lines 12-13 return the correct propagator status message. If the number of unassigned variables is at most one, the propagator is subsumed. Otherwise, the propagator is at fixpoint.

After defining `updateTable()` and `filterDomains()`, we are now ready to define the method `propagate()` in Class CT-Propagator, shown in Algorithm 7.

```

1: Method propagate()
2:   updateTable()
3:   if currTable.isEmpty() then
4:     return Failed
5:   return filterDomains()

```

**Algorithm 7:** Method propagate() in Class CT-Propagator. updateTable() (Algorithm 5) is called, and if the current table is empty, we are in a failed node. Otherwise, filterDomains() (Algorithm 6) is called, and the return value of that method is returned.

### 3.2.3 Propagator Status Messages

A propagator signals a status message after propagation. For CT there are three possible status messages; *Fail*, *Subsumed* and *Fixpoint*.

**Fail.** A propagator must correctly signal failure if it has decided that the constraint is unsatisfiable for the input store  $S$ . At the latest a propagator must be able to decide whether or not a  $S$  is a solution store when all variables have been assigned. CT has two ways of detecting a failure: either when all bits in `currTable` are set to zero – meaning that none of the tuples are valid, or when the size of the domain of a variable is zero.

**Subsumption.** A propagator is not allowed to signal subsumption if it could propagate further at a later point. At the latest, a propagator must signal subsumption if all the variables are assigned in  $S$  and a  $S$  is a solution store. CT signals subsumption when at most one variable is not assigned, since this is the point where no more propagation can be made.

**Fixpoint.** A propagator is not allowed to claim that it has computed a fixpoint if it could still propagate. CT always computes a fixpoint if it is not subsumed or wipes out the domain of a variable. To understand this, consider two consecutive calls to propagate(). Let  $T$  be the set of valid tuples and  $x$  be the set of variables. The first time propagate() is executed, a (possibly empty) subset  $T_r$  of  $T$  is invalidated (that is, the corresponding bits in `currTable` are set to 0) in updateTable(). Assuming `currTable` is not empty after the return of updateTable(), which would cause a backtrack in the search, filterDomains() is called. This method removes a (possibly empty) set of values  $V_i$  from the domain of each variable  $x_i$ . Each value  $v_i \in V_i$  has the property that a subset  $T_{v_i}$  of the tuples in  $T_r$  are the last supports for  $(x_i, v_i)$ . In other words,  $T \setminus T_{v_i}$  does not contain a support for  $(x_i, v_i)$ . So in the second call to updateTable(), no tuples are invalidated, because none of the tuples in  $T \setminus T_r$  is a support for any variable-value pair  $(x_i, v_i) \in \{x_i\} \times V_i, i \in \{1 \dots |x|\}$ . Hence, the second call to propagate() does not give any further propagation.

## 4 Implementation

This chapter describes an implementation of the CT propagator using the algorithms presented in Section 3. The implementation was made in the C++ programming language in the Gecode library.

The bit-set matrix `supports` is static and can be shared between all solution spaces.

The bit-set `currTable` changes dynamically during propagation and must therefore be copied for every new space. Can save memory by only copying the non-zero words.



No need to save the `tuples` as a field in the propagator class as all the necessary information is encoded in `currTable` and `supports`.

## **5 Evaluation**

This chapter presents the evaluation of the implementation of the CT propagator presented in Section 4. In Section 5.1, the evaluation setup is described. In Section 5.2 presents the results of the evaluation. The results are discussed in Section 5.3.

### **5.1 Evaluation Setup**

### **5.2 Results**

### **5.3 Discussion**

## **6 Conclusions and Future Work**

## **A Source Code**

This appendix presents the source code for the implementation described in Section 4.

```

1: Class SparseBitSet

2: words: array of long           // words.length = p
3: index: array of int           // index.length = p
4: limit: int
5: mask: array of long           // mask.length = p

6: Method isEmpty() : Boolean
7:   return limit = -1

8: Method clearMask()
9:   for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to limit do
10:    offset  $\leftarrow$  index[ $i$ ]
11:    mask[offset]  $\leftarrow 0^{64}$ 

12: Method addToMask(m: array of long)
13:   for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to limit do
14:    offset  $\leftarrow$  index[ $i$ ]
15:    mask[offset]  $\leftarrow$  mask[offset] | m[offset]           // bitwise OR

16: Method intersectWithMask()
17:   for  $i \leftarrow$  limit downto 0 do
18:    offset  $\leftarrow$  index[ $i$ ]
19:     $w \leftarrow$  words[offset] & mask[offset]           // bitwise AND
20:    if  $w \neq$  words[offset] then
21:      words[offset]  $\leftarrow w$ 
22:      if  $w = 0^{64}$  then
23:        index[ $i$ ]  $\leftarrow$  index[limit]
24:        limit  $\leftarrow$  limit - 1

25: Method intersectIndex(m: array of long) : int
26:   for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to limit do
27:    offset  $\leftarrow$  index[ $i$ ]
28:    if words[offset] & m[offset]  $\neq 0^{64}$  then
29:      return offset
30:   return -1

```

**Algorithm 1:** Pseudo code for Class SparseBitSet.