Sec 1.1-1.2-1.3 Miscellaneous Applications

Instructor: Robert Mearns

1. Logic puzzle. We will do this problem two ways

Ex 1: Knights always tell the truth and Knaves always tell lies. There are two people A, B. A says "Both of us are Knaves". B says nothing. Determine the type of A, B if possible.

Method 1: Reason without logic equivalences:

- Assume A is Knight. We have a contraction. Why? Hence A is a Knave.
- Since A is a Knave what is B and Why?

 Hence B is a Knight.

Method 2: It is not always easy to reason as in Method 1 hence: (i) translate into logic variables and operations then simplify using logic equivalences if possible (ii) use truth values:

Step 1 Let p represent "A is a Knight", q represent "B is a Knight":

Because of what A said we have the logic statement: $[\mathbf{p} \to (\neg \mathbf{p} \land \neg \mathbf{q})] \land [\neg \mathbf{p} \to \neg(\neg \mathbf{p} \land \neg \mathbf{q})]$

```
p \rightarrow (\neg p \land \neg q)
                                            \neg p \rightarrow \neg (\neg p \land \neg q)
                                                                                           Given
\cong [\neg p \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)] \land
                                         [p \lor \neg(\neg p \land \neg q)]
                                                                                           Conditional in terms of Or
                                           [p \lor (p \lor q)]
                               1
                                                                                           x \lor (x \land y) \cong x, De Morgan
                               ^
                                            (p \vee q)
                                                                                           Associative, x \lor x \cong x
                 (\neg p \land p) \lor (\neg p \land q)
                                                                                           Distributive
                        F \vee (\neg p \wedge q)
                                                                                           Negation (\neg x \land x) \cong F
\cong
                         \neg p \land q
                                                                                          x \vee F \cong x
```

Step 2 Using truth values ¬p ∧ q is Satisfied (is T) when ¬p is T and B is T hence: A is Knave, B is Knight

Note: If we start with: let p represent "A is Knave", q represent "B is Knight" the logic statemeat is different but will be its equivalent will give the same conclusion.

Ex 2: Using the Knights and Knaves definitions of Ex 1:

A says "At least one of us is a Knight". B says nothing. Determine the type of A, B if possible.

Step 1 Let p represent "A is a Knight", q represent "B is a Knight":

Because of what A said we have the logic statement: $[p \to (p \lor q)] \land [\neg p \to \neg (p \lor q)]$

$$[\mathbf{p} \rightarrow (\mathbf{p} \vee \mathbf{q})] \quad \wedge \quad [\neg \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \neg (\mathbf{p} \vee \mathbf{q})]$$

$$\neg \mathbf{p} \vee (\mathbf{p} \vee \mathbf{q}) \quad \wedge \quad [\mathbf{p} \vee \neg (\mathbf{p} \vee \mathbf{q})]$$

$$(\neg \mathbf{p} \vee \mathbf{p}) \vee \mathbf{q}) \quad \wedge \quad [\mathbf{p} \vee (\neg \mathbf{p} \wedge \neg \mathbf{q})]$$

$$T \quad \vee \mathbf{q} \quad \wedge \quad (\mathbf{p} \vee \neg \mathbf{p}) \wedge (\mathbf{p} \vee \neg \mathbf{q})$$

$$T \quad \wedge \quad T \quad \wedge (\mathbf{p} \vee \neg \mathbf{q})]$$

$$T \quad \wedge \quad \mathbf{p} \vee \neg \mathbf{q}$$

$$\mathbf{p} \vee \neg \mathbf{q}$$

Given Conditional in terms of Or Assoc. , De Morgan $\neg x \lor x \equiv T$, Distributive $T \lor x \equiv T$, $\neg x \lor x \equiv T$ $T \land x \equiv x$ $T \land x \equiv x$

Step 2 When is $p \lor \neg q$ satisfied (is True)?

p	q	¬ q	p ∨ ¬ q
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	Т
F	T	F	F
F	F	Т	Т

Three possibilities:

A Knight, B Knight or A Knight, B Knave or A Knave, B Knave

2. System specifications can be converted into precise specifications using logic variables to see if the specifications are Consistent: (Is there a set of truth values that make all specifications true).

Ex 1: Consider the specifications: - The error message is stored in the buffer or it is transmitted

- The error message is not stored in the buffer

Determine if the specifications are Consistent using logic equivalences

Step 1 Symbolize: Let p represent: The error message is stored in the buffer

Let q represent: The error message is transmitted:

We need to determine if there is a set of Truth values that makes:

(i) $[\mathbf{p} \vee \mathbf{q} \text{ True and (ii)} \neg \mathbf{p} \text{ True}] \equiv (\mathbf{p} \vee \mathbf{q}) \wedge \neg \mathbf{p} \text{ True}$

Step 2 Using truth values ¬p ∧ q is Satisfied (is T) when ¬p is T and B is T hence Consistent:
Given specifications cause: Error message not stored in buffer and error message transmitted

Ex 2: Add the specification "If the error message is not stored in the buffer then it is not transmitted" to the 2 given specifications in Ex 1. Symbolize and simplify the three specifications taken together with And. What is conclusion about the 3 specifications together? $(\mathbf{p}\vee\mathbf{q})\wedge\neg\mathbf{p}\wedge(\neg\mathbf{p}\rightarrow\neg\mathbf{q})$

Ex 3: - Whenever system software is being upgraded users cannot access the file system

- If users can access the file system then they can save new files
- If users cannot save new files then the system software is not being upgraded Determine if the specifications are Consistent using symbols and truth values

Symbolize: Let p represent: System software is being upgraded

Let q represent: Users can access the file system

Let s represent: Users can save new files

We need to determine if there is a set of Truth values for p, q, s that make the following true:

$$(i) \; p \to \neg q \quad (ii) \; q \to s \quad (iii) \; \neg s \to \neg p$$

Consider: p = T, q = F, s = T

(i)
$$q = F \rightarrow \neg q = T$$

 $(p = T) \land (\neg q = T) \rightarrow [p \rightarrow \neg q] = T$

Truth table for Conditional

Negation

(ii)
$$s = T \rightarrow \neg s = F$$

 $p = T \rightarrow \neg p = F$
 $(\neg s = F) \land (\neg p = F) \rightarrow [\neg s \rightarrow \neg p] = T$

Negation Negation Truth table for Conditional

(iii) $(q = F) \land (s = T) \rightarrow [q \rightarrow s] = T$

Truth table for Conditional Using results of (i), (ii), (iii)

→ Specifications are Consistent

Note: There are other truth values that will make the three specifications true in this ex.

Check:
$$p = F$$
, $q = F$, $s = F$
 $p = F$, $q = T$, $s = T$
 $p = F$, $q = F$, $s = T$

- 2. Boolean Algebra (Invented by George Boole 1854)
- a) The fundamental memory unit in a digital computer circuit is called a <u>Bit</u>. It can have only two states: power on, represented by 1 and power off, represented by 0.
- b) These bits can be configured to store various data types. You declare which type of storage is to be used in the program code:

Logic (Boolean) variables	Integers	Real numbers	Character codes
$0 \equiv \text{False}, 1 \equiv \text{True}$	2,-1,0,1,2	0.357 x 10 E 5	A = 01000001

c) We look at Boolean types and the Boolean Algebra used to represent them:

Not
$$p \equiv \overline{p} \equiv \neg p$$

 $p * q \equiv p q \equiv p \land q$

 $p+q \equiv p \vee q$

1 ≡ True

The truth values are now easier to calculate. The only one that deviates from regular integer arithmetic is 1+1.

This means $T \vee T \equiv T$. Hence 1+1=1.

 $0 \equiv \text{False}$

Ex 1: Write the Boolean table for p + q. (equivalent to truth table for $p \vee q$)

In a similar way tables can be constructed for: Not, *, \oplus , \rightarrow , \leftrightarrow

p	q	p+q
1	1	1+1=1
1	0	1 + 0 = 1
0	1	0 + 1 = 1
0	0	0 + 0 = 0

Ex 2: Consider the bit strings 1101 0001, 1000 1010, 1101 1110 Combine the corresponding bit positions with:

- (i) +
- (ii) *
- (iii) ⊕

(i) Bitwise Or 1101 0001 1000 1010 1101 1110 1101 1111

(ii) Bitwise And 1000 0000

(iii) Bitwise 1000 0101 Exclusive Or

Ex 3: Consider the following Decision table. A blank means the variable can be either true or false and hence it is not included in the original equations.

- (i) Write the Boolean equation at the bottom of each column
 (each column equation requires all Boolean conditions in its column → And)
- (ii) Write the final Boolean equation for the complete table(final decision requires the Boolean conditions for Rule 1 or Rule 2 or Rule 3 or Rule 4 → Or)
- (iii) Simplify the final Boolean equation.

	Rule 1	Rule 2	Rule 3	Rule 4
p	1	1		
q			1	0
r	0	1	1	1
s	1	1		

- (i) $p \overline{r}$ s p r s q r \overline{q} r
- (ii) $p \overline{r} s + p r s + q r + \overline{q} r$
- (iii) $p s (r + \overline{r}) + r (q + \overline{q})$ p s (1) + r (1)p s + r

Hence the 4 rules are equivalent to: (p true and s true) or r true

Note: q need not be tested when evaluating the truth value of this Decision table