

## Sec 1.4 Predicates and Quantifiers

Comp 232

Instructor: Robert Mearns

### 1 a) Definition of Propositional Function or a Predicate.

Let  $p$  represent  $2 + 7 = 9$   
 $p$  can be judged to be T or F

Hence  $p$  is called a proposition

Let  $Q(x,y)$  represent  $x = y+3$   
 $Q(x,y)$  cannot be judged to be T or F until we know values for  $x,y$

$Q(x,y)$  is called a Propositional Function or a Predicate  
If we assign values for  $x, y$  we get a proposition

Ex:  $Q(1,2)$  represents  $1 = 2 + 3$  which is F

$Q(5,2)$  represents  $5 = 2 + 3$  which is T

b) Definition: The Domain of a Predicate variable is the set of all possible values of the variable

2 a) Definition: A Quantifier designates a specific quantity of domain values

Name	Symbol	Description	Example
Universal	$\forall$	All elements	$\forall x P(x)$ means for all $x$ in the Domain, $P(x) = T$
Existential	$\exists$	One or more	$\exists x Q(x)$ means there is one or more $x$ in the Domain that makes $Q(x) = T$
Uniqueness	$\exists!$	Exactly one	$\exists! x R(x)$ means there is exactly one (unique) value of $x$ in the Domain that makes $R(x) = T$

 Panda2ici  
panda2ici@gmail.com

If  $\forall$  equivalence is T, then  $\exists$  is also T; if  $\exists$  equivalence is F, then  $\forall$  is also F.

2 b) Sometimes the quantifier itself is restricted:

Ex: Let  $P(x)$  represent " $x * \frac{1}{x} = 1$ " and the domain of  $P(x)$  be all Real numbers

$\forall x P(x) = F$  Why ?  $P(x) = F$  when  $x=0$

Note:  $\forall x x \neq 0: P(x) = T$

3. Order of operations:  $\forall, \exists$  have same priority (leftmost first) then  $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$  as before.

Ex : Place brackets to signify the order intended in:  $\forall x P(x) \vee Q(x) \wedge \exists x R(x)$

$[\forall x P(x)] \vee [Q(x) \wedge [\exists x R(x)]]$

4 a) Quantifiers are equivalent iff they have the same truth value even if predicates are changed.

Ex :	Two equivalent quantifiers:	Two non equivalent quantifiers
	$\forall x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \equiv \forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x) = T$	$\exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \equiv \exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x) = F$
	$\exists x [P(x) \vee Q(x)] \equiv \exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x) = T$	$\forall x [P(x) \vee Q(x)] \equiv \forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x) = F$

b) For equivalence show:  $LHS \leftrightarrow RHS$  ( $LHS = T$  if and only if  $RHS = T$ )

There are two steps required:   
 Step1:  $LHS \rightarrow RHS$    
 Step2:  $RHS \rightarrow LHS$



c) For non equivalence find a Counter Example:

One set of Predicates where:  $LHS, RHS$  have different Truth values.

Ex 1 : Show Equivalence:  $\forall x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \equiv \forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x) = \text{True}$

Let  $a$  represent any  $x$  value in the domain of  $P, Q$

Step 1 Show  $\text{LHS} \rightarrow \text{RHS}$

Assume  $\forall x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] = \text{T}$

$[P(a) \wedge Q(a)] = \text{T}$

$\rightarrow P(a) = \text{T} \wedge Q(a) = \text{T}$

$\rightarrow \forall x P(x) = \text{T} \wedge \forall x Q(x) = \text{T}$

$\rightarrow [\forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)] = \text{T}$

Hence  $\text{LHS} \rightarrow \text{RHS}$

Step 2 Show  $\text{RHS} \rightarrow \text{LHS}$

Assume  $[\forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)] = \text{T}$

$\rightarrow \forall x P(x) = \text{T} \wedge \forall x Q(x) = \text{T}$

$\rightarrow P(a) = \text{T} \wedge Q(a) = \text{T}$

$\rightarrow [P(a) \wedge Q(a)] = \text{T}$

$\rightarrow \forall x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] = \text{T}$

Hence  $\text{RHS} \rightarrow \text{LHS}$

Definition of  $\forall$

Truth Table for And

Definition of  $\forall$

Truth Table for And

Truth Table for And

Definition of  $\forall$

Truth Table for And

Definition of  $\forall$

QED



Ex 2 : Show Non Equivalence:  $\exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \equiv \exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x) = \text{False}$

Consider  $P(x)$  as  $x \geq 0$ ,  $Q(x)$  as  $x < 0$ , Domain all Real numbers

Step 1 Show LHS = F

Either  $\exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] = T$  or  $\exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] = F$

Assume  $\exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] = T$  and let  $x = a$

$\rightarrow P(a) \wedge Q(a) = T$

$\rightarrow a \geq 0 \wedge a < 0$

$\rightarrow \text{Contradiction}$

$\rightarrow \exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] = F$

Step 2 Show RHS = T

$P(1) = T \rightarrow \exists x P(x) = T$

$Q(-1) = T \rightarrow \exists x Q(x) = T$

$\rightarrow \exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x) = T$

QED

Substitute

Definition of  $P, Q$

Property of Real numbers

Only possibility remaining for LHS

Definition of  $\exists$

Definition of  $\exists$

Truth Table for And

There is at least one case where  
LHS, RHS have different Truth values



## 5 a) Negation of Quantifiers

Quantified Predicate	Describe when P(x) True	Negate Quantifier	Describe when Quantified Predicate is False
$\forall x P(x)$	For all x in Domain $P(x) = T$	$\neg \forall x P(x)$	<p>Not [for all x in Domain <math>P(x) = T</math>] <math>\rightarrow</math> there is at least one x in Domain where <math>P(x) = F</math></p> <p>This can be written: <math>\exists x \neg P(x)</math></p> <p><b>Summary:</b> <math>\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)</math></p>
$\exists x P(x)$	For at least one x in Domain $P(x) = T$	$\neg \exists x P(x)$	<p>Not [For at least one x in Domain <math>P(x) = T</math>] <math>\rightarrow</math> for all x in Domain <math>P(x) = F</math></p> <p>This can be written: <math>\forall x \neg P(x)</math></p> <p><b>Summary:</b> <math>\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)</math></p>

 Panda2ici  
panda2ici@gmail.com



5 b) The two summary statements above are called De Morgan's rules for quantifiers

Ex : Show Equivalence:  $\neg \forall x [P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] \equiv \exists x [P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)] = T$

**Step 1 Show LHS  $\rightarrow$  RHS**

Assume  $\neg \forall x [P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] = T$

$$\rightarrow \exists x \neg [P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] = T$$

$$\rightarrow \exists x \neg [\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)] = T$$

$$\rightarrow \exists x [\neg \neg P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)] = T$$

$$\rightarrow \exists x [P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)] = T$$

Hence LHS  $\rightarrow$  RHS

**Step 2 Show RHS  $\rightarrow$  LHS**

Assume  $\exists x [P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)] = T$

$$\rightarrow \exists x [\neg \neg P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)] = T$$

$$\rightarrow \exists x \neg [\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)] = T$$

$$\rightarrow \exists x \neg [P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] = T$$

$$\rightarrow \neg \forall x [P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] = T$$

Hence RHS  $\rightarrow$  LHS

QED

De Morgan's rule for Quantifiers

Implication in terms of Or

De Morgan's rule (regular)

Double negation

Double negation

De Morgan's rule (regular)

Implication in terms of Or

De Morgan's rule for Quantifiers

