

Sec 1.4 Predicates and Quantifiers

June 11, 2016 8:51 PM

Sec 1.4 Predicates and Quantifiers

Comp 232

Instructor: Robert Means

1 a) Definition of Propositional Function or a Predicate.

Let p represent $2 + 7 = 9$
 p can be judged to be T or F

Hence p is called a proposition

Let $Q(x,y)$ represent $x = y+3$
 $Q(x,y)$ cannot be judged to be T or F until we know values for x,y

$Q(x,y)$ is called a Propositional Function or a Predicate
If we assign values for x, y we get a proposition

Ex: $Q(1,2)$ represents $1 = 2 + 3$ which is F

$Q(5,2)$ represents $5 = 2 + 3$ which is T

b) Definition: The Domain of a Predicate variable is the set of all possible values of the variable

2 a) Definition: A Quantifier designates a specific quantity of domain values

Panda2ici
panda2ici@gmail.com

Name	Symbol	Description	Example
Universal	\forall	All elements	$\forall x P(x)$ means for all x in the Domain, $P(x) = T$
Existential	\exists	One or more	$\exists x Q(x)$ means there is one or more x in the Domain that makes $Q(x) = T$
Uniqueness	$\exists!$	Exactly one	$\exists! x R(x)$ means there is exactly one (unique) value of x in the Domain that makes $R(x) = T$

If \forall equivalence is T, then \exists is also T; if \exists equivalence is F, then \forall is also F.

2 b) Sometimes the quantifier itself is restricted:

Ex: Let $P(x)$ represent " $x * \frac{1}{x} = 1$ " and the domain of $P(x)$ be all Real numbers

$\forall x P(x) = F$ Why? $P(x) = F$ when $x=0$

Note: $\forall x x \neq 0: P(x) = T$

3. Order of operations: \forall, \exists have same priority (leftmost first) then $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$ as before.

Ex : Place brackets to signify the order intended in: $\forall x P(x) \vee Q(x) \wedge \exists x R(x)$

$[\forall x P(x)] \vee [Q(x) \wedge [\exists x R(x)]]$

4 a) Quantifiers are equivalent iff they have the same truth value even if predicates are changed.

Ex :	Two equivalent quantifiers:	Two non equivalent quantifiers
	$\forall x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \equiv \forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x) = T$	$\exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \equiv \exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x) = F$
	$\exists x [P(x) \vee Q(x)] \equiv \exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x) = T$	$\forall x [P(x) \vee Q(x)] \equiv \forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x) = F$

b) For equivalence show: $LHS \leftrightarrow RHS$ ($LHS = T$ if and only if $RHS = T$)

There are two steps required: Step1: $LHS \rightarrow RHS$
Step2: $RHS \rightarrow LHS$



c) For non equivalence find a Counter Example:

One set of Predicates where: LHS, RHS have different Truth values.

Ex 1 : Show Equivalence: $\forall x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \equiv \forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x) = \text{True}$

Let a represent any x value in the domain of P, Q

Step 1 Show LHS \rightarrow RHS

Assume $\forall x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] = T$

$[P(a) \wedge Q(a)] = T$

$\rightarrow P(a) = T \wedge Q(a) = T$

$\rightarrow \forall x P(x) = T \wedge \forall x Q(x) = T$

$\rightarrow [\forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)] = T$

Hence LHS \rightarrow RHS

Step 2 Show RHS \rightarrow LHS

Assume $[\forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)] = T$

$\rightarrow \forall x P(x) = T \wedge \forall x Q(x) = T$

$\rightarrow P(a) = T \wedge Q(a) = T$

$\rightarrow [P(a) \wedge Q(a)] = T$

$\rightarrow \forall x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] = T$

Hence RHS \rightarrow LHS

QED

Definition of \forall

Truth Table for And

Definition of \forall

Truth Table for And

Truth Table for And

Definition of \forall

Truth Table for And

Definition of \forall



Ex 2 : Show Non Equivalence: $\exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \equiv \exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x) = \text{False}$

Consider $P(x)$ as $x \geq 0$, $Q(x)$ as $x < 0$, Domain all Real numbers

Step 1 Show LHS = F

Either $\exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] = T$ or $\exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] = F$

Assume $\exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] = T$ and let $x = a$

$\rightarrow P(a) \wedge Q(a) = T$

$\rightarrow a \geq 0 \wedge a < 0$

$\rightarrow \text{Contradiction}$

$\rightarrow \exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] = F$

Step 2 Show RHS = T

$P(1) = T \rightarrow \exists x P(x) = T$

$Q(-1) = T \rightarrow \exists x Q(x) = T$

$\rightarrow \exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x) = T$

QED

Substitute

Definition of P, Q

Property of Real numbers

Only possibility remaining for LHS

Definition of \exists

Definition of \exists

Truth Table for And

There is at least one case where
LHS, RHS have different Truth values



5 a) Negation of Quantifiers

Quantified Predicate	Describe when P(x) True	Negate Quantifier	Describe when Quantified Predicate is False
$\forall x P(x)$	For all x in Domain $P(x) = T$	$\neg \forall x P(x)$	Not [for all x in Domain $P(x) = T$] \rightarrow there is at least one x in Domain where $P(x) = F$ This can be written: $\exists x \neg P(x)$ Summary: $\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$
$\exists x P(x)$	For at least one x in Domain $P(x) = T$	$\neg \exists x P(x)$	Not [For at least one x in Domain $P(x) = T$] \rightarrow for all x in Domain $P(x) = F$ This can be written: $\forall x \neg P(x)$ Summary: $\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$



5 b) The two summary statements above are called De Morgan's rules for quantifiers

Ex : Show Equivalence: $\neg \forall x [P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] \equiv \exists x [P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)] = T$

Step 1 Show LHS \rightarrow RHS

Assume $\neg \forall x [P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] = T$

$$\rightarrow \exists x \neg [P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] = T$$

$$\rightarrow \exists x \neg [\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)] = T$$

$$\rightarrow \exists x [\neg \neg P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)] = T$$

$$\rightarrow \exists x [P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)] = T$$

Hence LHS \rightarrow RHS

Step 2 Show RHS \rightarrow LHS

Assume $\exists x [P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)] = T$

$$\rightarrow \exists x [\neg \neg P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)] = T$$

$$\rightarrow \exists x \neg [\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)] = T$$

$$\rightarrow \exists x \neg [P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] = T$$

$$\rightarrow \neg \forall x [P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] = T$$

Hence RHS \rightarrow LHS

QED

De Morgan's rule for Quantifiers

Implication in terms of Or

De Morgan's rule (regular)

Double negation

Double negation

De Morgan's rule (regular)

Implication in terms of Or

De Morgan's rule for Quantifiers

