1) Research question

Do firm-quarter differences in tariff-related sentiment expressed in earnings reports and call transcripts predict excess announcement-window returns, controlling for the earnings surprise?

2) Sample & horizon

- Universe: S&P 500 constituents, rolling membership (avoid survivorship).
- Horizon: The most recent 8 fiscal quarters per firm.
- Announcements: Earnings press release timestamp and earnings call start time (needed for exact event windows).

3) Data you'll need (and typical sources)

- **Prices:** CRSP (daily), with share codes filters; delisting returns.
- Factors: Fama-French 3/5 factors (daily) or at minimum the market factor for CAPM.
- Earnings surprises: I/B/E/S (actual vs. consensus EPS; optionally revenue surprise).
- Transcripts & reports: FactSet/Refinitiv/AlphaSense/SeekingAlpha/S&P CIQ (ensure licensing for research).
 - o Press release text (the "earnings report").
 - o Call transcript text (prepared remarks + Q&A) with timestamps.
- **Firm attributes (controls):** GICS sector, size, book-to-market, momentum (optional robustness).
- **(Optional exposure controls):** Firm tariff exposure proxies (import share from HS codes, China revenue share, etc.) for robustness / heterogeneity tests.

4) Outcomes and event windows

Compute **cumulative abnormal returns (CAR)** around the announcement:

- Pick one primary window, e.g. [0, +1] in trading days using the press release time to align day 0 correctly (if after market close, day 0 may be next trading day; be consistent).
- Robustness: [-1,+1], [0,+2], using the **call start time** as an alternate anchor.

Abnormal returns via:

ullet Market model: $AR_{i,t}=R_{i,t}-\hatlpha_i-\hateta_iR_{m,t}$ with (\hatlpha_i,\hateta_i) estimated over an **estimation window** like

[0. +1] trading days relative to the announcement. Alternatively, instead of the market model/CAPM/single-factor model, use the Fama-French Five Factor Model returns as your forecast returns.

See the following website for the Fama/French 5 Factor returns.

Kenneth R. French - Data Library



5) Core regressor: tariff sentiment

You need topic-specific sentiment, not overall tone.

5.1 Identify tariff spans

- Build a tariff lexicon and semantic matcher:
 - Keywords: tariff*, duty, lev(y|ies), quota, Section 301, countervailing, antidumping, import tax, customs, decoupling, retaliatory, exemptions, exclusions, harmonized codes, etc.
 - Expand with embedding similarity: retrieve top-k sentences similar to seed queries ("tariffs on imports", "tariff headwinds/tailwinds", "duty relief", "exclusion expired"), using a sentence-embedding model (e.g., all-MiniLM-L6-v2) to avoid missing paraphrases.
- Unit of analysis: sentence (or 2-sentence window) containing a tariff hit.

5.2 Sentiment on those spans

- Use a finance-aware sentiment classifier for sentences (e.g., **FinBERT** or a domain-tuned RoBERTa).
- Score each tariff sentence sss as pos/neg/neu or polarity ∈[-1,1]\in[-1,1]∈[-1,1]. Handle negations/intensifiers (the model largely does).
- Compute firm-quarter metrics, separately for reports and calls:
 - o TariffSent mean: mean polarity across tariff sentences.
 - o **TariffSent shareNeg**: share negative among tariff sentences.
 - o **TariffMentions**: count (for exposure/probability of mention).
 - o **Forward-looking tariff tone**: restrict to sentences with future cues (e.g., "expect", "guidance", "outlook", "plan", "will", "next quarter/year").
 - o **Q&A vs prepared**: split sentiment by section if available.

Pick one **primary measure** (e.g., **TariffSent_mean** in calls' **prepared remarks**) and keep others for robustness.

6) Key control: earnings surprise

• Standardized unexpected earnings (SUE) or a simple EPS surprise (% of price) using I/B/E/S:

$$ext{Surprise}_{i,q} = rac{ ext{EPS}_{i,q}^{ ext{act}} - ext{EPS}_{i,q}^{ ext{cons}}}{| ext{Price}_{i, ext{pre}}|}$$

or z-score the difference by cross-sectional dispersion (SUE).

• Optional controls: revenue surprise, guidance issuance dummy, call length, number of **Q&A questions** (communication intensity).

7) Econometric specification

Cross-section (or panel) event-study regression by firm-quarter:

$$\mathrm{CAR}_{i,q}^{[0,+1]} = \alpha + \beta_1 \, \mathrm{TariffSent}_{i,q} + \beta_2 \, \mathrm{Surprise}_{i,q} + \beta_3 \, \mathrm{TariffMentions}_{i,q} + \gamma' \mathbf{X}_{i,q} + \delta_{\mathrm{sector}} + \delta_{\mathrm{quarter}} + \varepsilon_{i,q}.$$

 $\mathbf{X}_{i,q}$: size, momentum, volatility pre-window; call/report dummies; after-hours release dummy.

- **Fixed effects:** sector (GICS-2 or GICS-4) and **calendar quarter FE** to absorb macro/tariff-news cycles.
- SEs: cluster by firm and quarter (two-way) or at least by firm.

Interpretation: $\beta 1$ is the incremental announcement CAR associated with more positive tariff-specific tone, **holding the earnings surprise constant**.

Robustness

- Replace CAR model (FF3/FF5 vs market model).
- Alternate windows and tariff tone definitions (Q&A-only, forward-looking only).
- Add firm tariff exposure × tariff tone interaction: does tone matter more for highly exposed firms?
- Placebo: use non-tariff topic sentiment (e.g., FX) to show specificity.
- Heckman selection / IPW if transcripts missing non-randomly.
- Winsorize extremes (top/bottom 1%).

8) "Portrayal" deliverables (descriptive analytics)

Before causal-ish regressions, show how portrayal evolved:

- **Time-series** of average tariff sentiment by quarter (reports vs calls).
- Sector heatmap of TariffSent_mean.
- Wordshifts or key phrases for negative vs positive tariff quarters.
- Prepared vs Q&A divergence: often tariff concerns appear more in Q&A.

9) Repro-ready variable dictionary

- ann_time_{i,q}: timestamp of press release.
- call_time_{i,q}: call start timestamp.

- CAR_[window]_{i,q}: from market/FF model.
- Surprise_EPS_{i,q} (primary), Surprise_REV_{i,q} (optional).
- TariffSent_mean_report_{i,q}, TariffSent_mean_call_{i,q}.
- TariffMentions_{i,q}.
- TariffSent_fwd_{i,q} (forward-looking subset).
- Controls: size, bm, mom_12_2, ivol, after_hours_dummy, qa_share.

10) Identification notes (what this does & doesn't claim)

- This is an event-study association. Controlling for surprise helps isolate incremental
 information embedded in tariff tone, but tone is still managerial speech and could proxy
 for private information or firm conditions.
- You mitigate confounds via:
 - Tight event windows, FE by quarter & sector, and rich controls (guidance, Q&A intensity).
 - o Robustness on timing (press release vs call).
 - Exposure interaction: show effects are stronger where tariffs plausibly matter more.
 - o Placebo topics.

11) Practical pitfalls & tips

- **Timestamp precision** is crucial (after-hours vs pre-open).
- Press release vs 8-K: keep the exact text used in markets.
- Transcripts coverage is uneven; document your coverage rate and handle selection.
- Legal: ensure your transcript source permits text mining for research.
- **Preprocessing**: remove boilerplate (safe harbor, operator intros) to avoid biasing tone.
- Outliers: cap extreme CAR and surprise values.

12) What to report

- 1. Descriptives: tariff mention rates & sentiment by quarter/sector and by report vs call.
- 2. Main table: CAR on TariffSent + Surprise with FE and clustered SEs.
- 3. Robustness suite and exposure heterogeneity.
- 4. Interpretable snippets: top positive/negative tariff sentences (anonymized) to illustrate "portrayal."