Workshop: 'Understudied texts and authors from the Indian linguistic tradition'

Speakers:

- Radha Blinderman, Harvard. (Provisional title: The evolution of *kriyāviśeṣaṇas* and their relation to *avyayas*)
- Maria Piera Candotti, Pisa. (The role of phonetic description in prakriyā grammars)
- Emilie Aussant, Paris. (Provisional title: Extended Sanskrit Grammar' An understudied development of *Vyākaraṇa*)
- Giovanni Ciotti, Hamburg. (Provisional topic: the need for a new critical edition of Anantabhaṭṭa's commentary on the Śuklayajurvedaprātiśākhya)
- Małgorzata Wielińska-Soltwedel, PAS Warsaw: To Study or not to Study: On Three Grammarians of the Bengali Pāninian School
- Sibylle Koch, Oxford, title to be confirmed
- Victor D'Avella, Oxford, title to be confirmed
- Yiming Shen, Oxford, title to be confirmed
- John, title to be confirmed
- Jim, title to be confirmed

Monday 27 June:

9.00-9.30	Tea/coffee	
9.30-10.30	Talk 1	
10.30-11.00	Tea/coffee	
11.00-12.00	Talk 2	
12.00-13.00	Talk 3	
12.30-14.00	Lunch	
14.00-15.00	Talk 4	
15.00-15.30	Tea/coffee	
15.30-16.30	Talk 5	
	Drinks Reception	
18:00-19:00		

Tues 28 June:

9.00-9.30	Tea/coffee	
9.30-10.30	Talk 6	
10.30-11.00	Tea/coffee	
11.00-12.30	Talk 7	
12.30-14.00	Lunch	
14.00-15.00	Talk 8	
15.00-15.30	Tea/coffee	
15.30-16.30	Talk 9	

Abstracts:

The role of phonetic description in prakriyā grammars

Maria Piera Candotti, Pisa

The three main prakriyā grammars (Prakriyākaumudī, Prakriyāsarvasva and Siddhāntakaumudī) assign an important role to the description of certain phonetic features in the introductory part of their grammars. In these passages are seemingly gathering miscellaneous material that, at least from a strictly Pāṇinian point of view, should not belong to the descriptive tasks of grammar. The presentation will analyse in comparative terms the phonetic descriptions of the three authors highlighting their differences in terms of content but also, and above all, in terms of their specific purpose and role in the broader context of linguistic description.

"Extended Sanskrit Grammar" - An understudied development of Vyākaraņa

Émilie Aussant, Paris

The history of language description can be seen as a major, more or less successful, effort to adapt linguistic tools initially shaped to describe or represent a (very) small number of languages: of the thousands of languages known in the world, only a few have produced reflexive linguistic tools (i.e. tools which describe the language in which they are composed); this is the case for Arabic, Chinese, Greek and Sanskrit. Indeed Classical Sanskrit grammar (vyākaraṇa) came to play a central role in the grammaticisation of several languages other than Sanskrit: Dravidian languages, Middle and Modern Indo-Aryan languages, Old Javanese, Persian, Sinhalese, Tibetan but also, albeit in a much more ad hoc manner, languages more distant from South and South-East Asia like Algonquian languages and Buryat. Five years after the publication of the special issue of the journal Histoire Épistémologie Langage 39.2 ("La Grammaire Sanskrite Étendue"), the time has come for a second survey of works carried out on this fascinating and yet still understudied topic. The talk will be particularly focussed on some recently (re)discovered specificities of the "Extended Sanskrit Grammar" which make it possible to renew, at least in part, the thinking on the Extended Grammars phenomenon.

Towards a new edition of the Padarthaprakāśa of Anantabhatta

Giovanni Ciotti, University of Hamburg

Anantabhaṭṭa (or Anantācārya) was a scholar hailing from Vārāṇasī and belonging to the Kāṇva school of the White Yajurveda. He was particularly active during the first half of the 17th century, a prolific author who wrote on matters concerning the Vedas and dharmaśāstra. Among his works we find the Padārthaprakāśa, a commentary of the Śuklayajurvedaprātiśākhya. This commentary is heavily indebted to another commentary of the same mūla, namely the Mātṛmodaka of Uvaṭa (12th century ca.). The Padārthaprakāśa reproduces almost verbatim ample parts of Uvaṭa's text, but contains significant additions and variations.

The text has been fully edited and published for the first time in 1934 by C. Kunhan Raja. However the editor explicitly points out in the Preface that the work had been started by another scholar, namely Pandit V. Venkatarama Sarma, who had to quit the project. As a result, the edition could not be prepared with the necessary care and textual problems are numerous. This is already clear right from the prefatory section of the *Padārthaprakāśa*, if one compares it with the version of the the same text found in Fritz Gelpke's doctoral dissertation published in 1929.

So far I have been able to access one more manuscript of the full text, namely ms 40.B.31 held at the the Adyar Library of Chennai. This clearly offers better readings, thus suggesting that materials for a new and improved critical edition of the *Padārthaprakāśa* await to be explored.