*Instruction: Answer the question to the best of your ability.*

1. **Independent condition:**  
     
   Statement 1: Some people are glorps and some people are not glorps.  
     
   Statement 2: Some people have dords.  
     
   Statement 3: If John is a glorp, he will yapple his dord.

**Does John have a dord?**                 Yes.                              No.  
  
— The best way you can make sense of the question I’m asking is that I’m assuming you know whether John has a dord or not, given statements 1-3. If you can endorse the non-conditional inference “John has a dord”, you should easily respond Yes.

— But if you cannot endorse it, and only get a non-conditional inference “If John is a glorp, he has a dord”, then without knowing whether John is a glorp (and the connection between glorps & dords), you cannot answer the question. You should either feel more comfortable saying No, or have to choose randomly.

1. **Dependent condition:**  
   Statement 1: Some people are glorps and some people are not glorps.  
     
   Statement 2: Only glorps have dords.  
     
   Statement 3: If John is a glorp, he will yapple his dord.

**Does John have a dord?**                  Yes.                              No.

— Here again, by asking this question I assume that you know the answer, except that technically you cannot know for sure if John has a dord or not from statements 1-3. This is because you can only infer the conditional inference, “if John is a glorp, he has a dord”, yet you don’t know for sure if John is a glorp or not. You should either feel more comfortable saying No, or have to choose randomly.

1. **Distractor condition:**  
   Statement 1: Some people are glorps and some people are not glorps.  
     
   Statement 2: Some people yapple their dords.  
     
   Statement 3: If John is a glorp, he will yapple his dord.

**Is John a glorp?**                  Yes.                              No.

Statement 1: Some people are glorps and some people are not glorps

Statement 2:

* **Independent**: Some people have dords.
* **Dependent**: Only glorps have dords.

Statement 3: **If John is a glorp, he will yapple his dord.**

New Statement: John doesn’t have a dord. / John has a dord.

Expectation:

* **Independent**: more non-conditional inferences
* **Dependent**: more the conditional inferences

Prompts:

* ~~Can the following be true?~~
* ~~Is it possible that … ?~~
* ~~Do you think that … ?~~
* Does John have a dord?

General directions:

* I want to ask for **Consistency**
* It cannot be too strong:
  + e.g. “does it follow that … ?”, “is it valid that … ?”, “can you conclude that … ?”
* But I also don’t want it to be too weak: in case this invites people to be too charitable, and always only consider the weaker inference
  + e.g. Is it possible that … ?
* Anything that mentions likelihood, plausibility, “surprisal”, etc is NOT fit for the logical game version.
  + Do you find it reasonable to think that … ?
  + Do you find it likely that … ?
  + Will you be surprised to learn that … ?
  + Will you be willing to believe that … ?

Answer the question to the best of your understanding of the statements.

Statement 1: Some people are glorps and some people are not glorps

Statement 2: Some people have dords.

Statement 3: **If John is a glorp, he will yapple his dord.**

Does John have a dord? Yes. No.

* If they say yes, then they endorse the non-conditional inference
* If they say no, then they cannot endorse the non-conditional inference

Statement 1: Some people are glorps and some people are not glorps

Statement 2: Only glorps have dords.

Statement 3: **If John is a glorp, he will yapple his dord.**

Does John have a dord? Yes. **No.**

Are you willing to endorse the non-conditional inference?

If not, then you only endorse the conditional inference

Non-conditional distractors?

* Some people have dords / Only glorps have dords.
* **John is a glorp, and he will yapple his dord**.

Definitely can only get “John has a dord”

Statement 1: Some people are glorps and some people are not glorps

Statement 2: Some people have dords.

Statement 3: **If John is a glorp, he will yapple his dord.**

Do you think that … ?

John doesn’t have a dord. Yes. No.

Statement 1: Some people are glorps and some people are not glorps

Statement 2: Only glorps have dords.

Statement 3: **If John is a glorp, he will yapple his dord.**

Do you think that … ?

John doesn’t have a dord. Yes. No.

How willing are you to reject the non-conditional inference?

It feels hard to think through what a rejection means