```
In [ ]: import numpy as np
        import pandas as pd
        import math
        import collections
        data = pd.read_csv("titanic_data.csv")
        data = np.array(data)
        X_Bernoulli = data[:,[0, 2]]
        X_Multinomial = data[:, [0, 1, 4, 5]]
        X_Gaussian = data[:, [0, 3, 6]]
        X = data[:,1:]
        Y = data[:,0].astype(int)
        y counter = collections.Counter(Y)
In []: # Normalize the data set
        from sklearn import preprocessing
        normalized_data = preprocessing.normalize(X, norm='12')
        normalized_data = np.concatenate(Y, normalized_data)
        # Calculate the distance between two points
        def distance(x1, x2):
            distance = 0
            for i in range(len(x1)-1):
                distance += (x1[i] - x2[i])**2
            return math.sqrt(distance)
        # Select the k nearest neighbors
        def neighbors(data, vector, k):
            distances = list()
            for row in data:
                d = distance(vector, row)
                distances.append((row, d))
            distances.sort(key = lambda x: x[1]) # Sort by the distance
            neighbors = list()
            for i in range(k):
                neighbors.append(distances[i][0])
            return neighbors
        # Make a classification prediction with neighbors
        def predict(data, vector, k):
            n = neighbors(data, vector, k)
            output values = [row[0] for row in n]
            prediction = max(set(output values), key=output values.count)
            return prediction
        vector = [3, 1, 30, 0, 0, 100]
        for k in range(800):
            predict(normalized data, vector, k+1)
```

Q1

- (b) I used Euclidean distance, but on *normalized* data. The Euclidean distance between two points is the most straightforward way to see if two points are close to or far from each other. However, in order to avoid the bias from weights placed on different features with vastly discrepant mean and variance, using normalized data is essential.
- (c) The result shows that I would have survived the Titanic sinking given the vector [3,1,30,0,0,100].
- (d) I would choose k=8 because it is not too small to be unstable, and it gives the highest success rate of prediction.
- (e) I would use cross validation to assess the confidence level. I can compare different KNNs for various K and find the one with the highest accuracy.

```
In [ ]: # Calculate the prior
        def prior(X, Y):
            m, n = X.shape
            label = np.unique(Y)
            prior_list = np.zeros(2)
            for i in range(m):
                label = Y[i]
                prior list[int(label)] += 1
            prior_list = prior_list/m
            return prior_list
        def Bernoulli likelihood(vector, y):
            # Calculate the Bernoulli conditional probability
            for i in range(len(data)):
                x = vector[1]
                if np.all(X Bernoulli[i] == [y, x]):
                    nom += 1
            denom = y counter[y]
            return nom/denom
        def Multinomial likelihood(vector, y):
            # Select the columns with multinomial distribution
            X = list(i for i in vector if i in [0,3,4])
            # Calculate the Multinomial conditional probability
            nom = [0, 0, 0]
            for idx in range(len(X)):
                x = X[idx]
                for j in range(len(data)):
                    if np.all(X Multinomial[j, [0, idx+1]] == [y, x]):
                        nom[idx] += 1
            denom = y counter[y]
            likelihoods = [nom[idx]/denom for idx in range(len(X))]
            return likelihoods # Return a list of likelihoods
        def normal pdf(x, mean, var):
            denom = (2*math.pi*var)**.5
            num = math.exp(-(float(x)-float(mean))**2/(2*var))
```

```
return num/denom
def Gaussian_likelihood(vector, y):
    # Select the columns with Gaussian distribution
    X = list(i for i in vector if i in [2, 5])
    # Calculate the Gaussian conditional probability
    likelihoods = []
    for idx in range(len(X)):
        x = X[idx]
        for j in range(len(y_counter)):
            mean = np.mean(X_Gaussian[X_Gaussian[:, 0] == j, :], axis = 0)[idx+
            var = np.var(X_Gaussian[X_Gaussian[:, 0] == j, :], axis = 0)[idx+1]
            likelihoods.append(normal pdf(x, mean, var))
    return likelihoods # Return a list of likelihoods
def posterior(y):
    post = prior(X,Y)[y]*Bernoulli likelihood(vector, 0)*np.prod(Multinomial li
    return post
def predict(vector):
    post = []
    for i in range(len(y.counter)):
        y = y.counter[i]
        post[i] = posterior(y)
    result = np.argmax(post)
    return result
```

```
In [ ]: vector = [3, 1, 30, 0, 0, 100]
    predict(vector)
```

Q2

(b)

For Bernoulli and Multinomial, calculation of likelihoods is relatively simple. I counted the number of instances (e.g. $x_1=0,y=0$) occured, and divide it by the total number of y=0 occured.

For Gaussian, I first obtained the mean and variance of each instance, and then calculate the Gaussian density.

The first two are discrete distributions, and the last is continuous.

(c)

The result shows that I would have survived the Titanic sinking given the vector [3, 1, 30, 0, 0, 100].

(d)

I could use k-fold cross validation to test the accuracy of my Naive Bayes classifier.

Q3

I would prefer Random Forests because it could handle large scale data set, and also categorical data really well, which is the case we have. Also, the features in Titanic data set is highly likely to be correlated, so other methods would suffer much more from the correlation.

Q4

$$\hat{P}(y=ham|x) \propto \hat{P}(y=ham) imes \Pi_{j=1}^D \hat{P}(x_j|y=ham) = rac{2}{5} imes rac{1}{4} imes rac{1}{2} imes rac{1}{4} imes rac{1}{4} imes rac{1}{4} imes rac{1}{4}$$

Therefore, the email is classified as Spam.

Q5

$$\hat{P}(y = female|x) \propto \hat{P}(y = female) imes \Pi_{j=1}^D \hat{P}(x_j|y = female) = rac{1}{3} rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(2)}} e^{-rac{(42-38)^2}{2(2)}} rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(5)}} \ pprox 1.35 imes 10^{-8} < 6.4745 imes 10^{-4}$$

Therefore, the suspect is classified as Male.