

Hydrodynamics of the Binary Contact Path Process

Xiaofeng Xue ^a and Linjie Zhao ^b

^aE-mail: xfxue@bjtu.edu.cn **Address:** School of Science, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, China.
^bE-mail: zhaolinjie@pku.edu.cn **Address:** School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.



Contact Process

- Introduced by Harris [6] to describe the spread of a disease on \mathbb{Z}^d .
- A popular model in the area of interacting particle systems (see Liggett's two monographs [8, 9]).
- Appeared independently in the high-energy physics literature, and is equivalent to the reggeon spin model.
- Simple but exhibits a phase transition.

Regard each $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ as an individual. The state $\eta(x)$ of x takes value in $\{0, 1\}$. The individual x is healthy if $\eta(x) = 0$, while is infected if $\eta(x) = 1$. The dynamics is as follows:

1. Each infected individual is recovered at rate 1, i.e., $1 \rightarrow 0$ at rate 1.
2. An individual x is infected by an infected neighbor y at rate λ , i.e., $0 \rightarrow 1$ at rate $\lambda \sum_{y \sim x} \eta(y)$.

Critical Value. It is easy to see that the probability that the disease survives is increasing as λ increases. Therefore, there exists a critical value λ_c such that

$$\text{The disease } \begin{cases} \text{is extinct with probability one,} & \text{if } \lambda < \lambda_c, \\ \text{survives with positive probability,} & \text{if } \lambda > \lambda_c. \end{cases}$$

Binary Contact Path Process

On top of the contact process, we also consider the *seriousness* of the disease. The state $\eta(x)$ of x takes value in $[0, \infty)$. The individual x is healthy if $\eta(x) = 0$, while is infected if $\eta(x) > 0$ and the value of $\eta(x)$ is the seriousness of the disease on x . The dynamics is as follows:

- (I) Each infected individual is recovered at rate 1.
- (II) An individual x is infected by a given neighbor y at rate λ . When the infection occurs, the seriousness of the disease on x is added with that of y .
- (III) When there is no infection occurs for x during some time interval, then $\eta_t(x)$ evolves according to the deterministic ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt} \eta_t(x) = (1 - 2\lambda d) \eta_t(x).$$

- Introduced by Griffeath [5] as an auxiliary model to study the critical value of the contact process: If $d \geq 3$, then

$$\lambda_c \leq \frac{1}{2d(2\gamma_d - 1)},$$

where γ_d is the probability that the simple random walk on \mathbb{Z}^d starting at O never return to O again.

- Let

$$\xi_t(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta_t(x) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \eta_t(x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

for each $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then $\{\xi_t\}$ is a version of the contact process.

Hydrodynamics

The theory says that the microscopic density field of the concerned model, after properly space time scaling, is dominated macroscopically by some PDE (*hydrodynamic equation*). We refer the reader to [7].

For each $N \geq 1$, let $\{\eta_t^N\}$ be the binary contact path process with **time speeded up by N^2** . We are concerned about the random empirical measure π_t^N of the process defined by

$$\pi_t^N := \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \eta_t^N(x) \delta_{\frac{x}{N}}(du).$$

	Macroscopic	Microscopic
Time	t	tN^2
Space	x/N	x
Space	\mathbb{R}^d	\mathbb{Z}^d

Main Result

We proved the law of large numbers for the empirical measure π_t^N . The hydrodynamic equation turns out to be the heat equation.

Theorem. Let $\rho_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be bounded and integrable. Initially, $\eta_0^N(x) = \rho_0(x/N)$. Suppose $d \geq 3$ and

$$\lambda > \frac{1}{2d(2\gamma_d - 1)},$$

then for all $t \geq 0$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\pi_t^N(du) \rightarrow \rho(t, u)du \text{ in probability}, \quad (1)$$

where $\rho(t, u)$ is the unique solution of the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho(t, u) = \lambda \Delta \rho(t, u), \\ \rho(0, u) = \rho_0(u). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Remarks

- The favorite models in hydrodynamic theory are generally mass conserved, such as exclusion processes and zero range processes. However, the binary contact path process lacks this property.
- We are able to calculate the hydrodynamics of the binary contact path process due to the following two facts:
 - On average, the total mass of the system is conserved, see Equation (4). This fact is due to the dynamics (III) of the process.
 - The binary contact path process belongs to **linear systems**, which allows explicit calculations.
- A central limit theorem for the density of particles in the context of binary contact path processes was proved in [10].
- It remains open to study the hydrodynamics of the process for small λ or in lower dimensions $d \leq 2$.

Sketch of the Proof

The proof is divided into four steps:

Step 1. Let Q^N be the law of $\{\pi_t^N, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$. Then $\{Q^N, N \geq 1\}$ is tight. (Prohorov's Theorem and Aldous' Criterion.)

Step 2. Let Q^* be any limit of Q^N . Then Q^* is concentrated on absolutely continuous trajectories.

Step 3. Show that the density of the trajectory is the solution of the heat equation (2) with probability one with respect to Q^* . (Martingale Approach.)

Step 4. The result follows from the uniqueness of the solution of the heat equation.

The **difficulty** is to prove the **absolute continuity** (Step 2) since the value at each site is not bounded. We overcome this difficulty by proving the convergence to zero of the variance: given $G \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Var}(\langle \pi_t^N, G \rangle) = 0. \quad (3)$$

This implies that

$$\langle \pi_t, G \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{Q^*}[\langle \pi_t, G \rangle] \text{ } Q^*\text{-a.s.}$$

Then (B) follows from the following fact:

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle \pi_t^N, G \rangle] = \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \rho_0\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) G\left(\frac{x}{N}\right), \quad (4)$$

The proof of Equation (3) is based on *direct calculation*. We first write $\text{Var}(\langle \pi_t^N, G \rangle)$ as

$$\frac{1}{N^{2d}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) G\left(\frac{y}{N}\right) (\mathbb{E}(\eta_t^N(x)\eta_t^N(y)) - \mathbb{E}(\eta_t^N(x))\mathbb{E}(\eta_t^N(y))).$$

By Hille-Yosida Theorem and infinitesimal generator calculations,

$$\mathbb{E}(\eta_t(x)) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} p_t(x, y) \mathbb{E}(\eta_0(y)),$$

where $\{p_t(x, y)\}$ is the transition probability of the continuous time simple random walk on \mathbb{Z}^d with rate $2d\lambda$, and there exists a $(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d) \times (\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d)$ matrix M_λ such that

$$\mathbb{E}(\eta_t(x)\eta_t(y)) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{tM_\lambda}((x, y), (u, v)) \mathbb{E}[\eta_0(u)\eta_0(v)].$$

Finally, Equation (3) follows from the following result: For any $\lambda > \frac{1}{2d(2\gamma_d - 1)}$, there exists $h_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{tM_\lambda}((x, y), (u, v)) \leq \frac{k(y-x) + h_\lambda}{h_\lambda},$$

where $k(x)$ is the probability that the simple random walk hits site x finally when starting from the origin.

References

- [1] De Masi, A. and Presutti, E. (1991). Mathematical methods for hydrodynamic limits. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*.
- [2] Deimling, K. (1977). *Ordinary Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*. Springer, Berlin.
- [3] Dobrushin Moscow, R. O. and Siegmund-Schultze, R. (1982). The hydrodynamic limit for systems of particles with independent evolution. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, **105**, 199-224.
- [4] Galves, A., Kipnis, C., Marchioro, C. and Presutti, E. (1981). Nonequilibrium measures which exhibit a temperature gradient: study of a model. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **81**, 127-147.
- [5] Griffeath, D. (1983). The binary contact path process. *The Annals of Probability* **11**, 692-708.
- [6] Harris, T. E. (1974). Contact interactions on a lattice. *The Annals of Probability* **2**, 969-988.
- [7] Kipnis, C. and Landim, C. (1999) *Scaling limits of interacting particle systems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [8] Liggett, T. M. (1985). *Interacting Particle Systems*. Springer, New York.
- [9] Liggett, T. M. (2013). *Stochastic interacting systems: contact, voter and exclusion processes* (Vol. 324). Springer science & Business Media.
- [10] Nagahata, Y. and Yoshida, N. (2009). Central limit theorem for a class of linear systems. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, **14**, 960-977.
- [11] Presutti, E. and Spohn, H. (1983). Hydrodynamics of the voter model. *The Annals of Probability*, 867-875.
- [12] Rost, H. (1981) Nonequilibrium behaviour of a many particle process: density profile and local equilibria. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verwandte Gebiete*, **58**, 41-53.