ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF NUMBERS RELATED TO THE DIVISORS OF $x^n - 1$

SAI TEJA SOMU

ABSTRACT. Let n_1, \cdots, n_r be any finite sequence of integers and let S be the set of all natural numbers n for which there exists a divisor $d(x)=1+\sum_{i=1}^{deg(d)}c_ix^i$ of x^n-1 such that $c_i=n_i$ for $1\leq i\leq r$. In this paper we show that the set S has a natural density. Furthermore, we find the value of the natural density of S.

1. Introduction

Cyclotomic polynomials arise naturally as irreducible divisors of $x^n - 1$. The polynomial $x^n - 1$ can be factored in the following way

(1)
$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \phi_d(x).$$

Applying Mobius inversion we get

(2)
$$\phi_n(x) = \prod_{d|n} (x^d - 1)^{\mu(\frac{n}{d})}.$$

The problem of determining size of maximum coefficient of cyclotomic polynomials has been the subject of the papers [4] and [1]. In [3] Pomerance and Ryan study the size of maximum coefficient of divisors of $x^n - 1$.

It has been proven that for every finite sequence of integers $(n_i)_{i=1}^r$, there exists $d(x) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{deg(d)} c_i x^i$, a divisor of $x^n - 1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $c_i = n_i$ for $1 \le i \le r$. In this paper we investigate the following problem. For a given sequence $(n_i)_{i=1}^r$, let $S(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ denote the set of all n such that $x^n - 1$ has a divisor d(x) of the form $d(x) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i x^i + \sum_{i=r+1}^{deg(d)} c_i x^i$. We prove that $S(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ has a natural density. Observe that if $n \in S(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ then every multiple of n is in $S(n_1, \dots, n_r)$.

2. Notation

If f(x) and g(x) are two analytic functions in some neighborhood of 0, we denote $f(x) \equiv g(x) \mod x^{r+1}$ if the coefficients of x^i in the power series of f(x) and g(x) are equal for $0 \le i \le r$.

Date: November 9, 2015.

We denote $\omega(n)$ for number of distinct prime factors of n. Let $\delta(d)$ be 1 if $d \neq 1$ and $\delta(d)$ be -1 otherwise. Note that

(3)
$$\phi_n(x) = \delta(n) \prod_{d|n} \left(1 - x^d\right)^{\mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)}.$$

3. Proof of Main Theorem

We require several lemmas in order to prove that $S(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ has a natural density.

Lemma 3.1. For every finite sequence of integers n_1, \dots, n_r there exists a unique sequence of integers k_1, \dots, k_r such that

(4)
$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} (1-x^{i})^{k_{i}} \equiv 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i} x^{i} \mod x^{r+1}.$$

Proof. The proof that there exists a sequence k_1, \dots, k_r is by induction on r. If r=1 and $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $(1-x)^{-n_1} \equiv 1+n_1x \mod x^2$ hence the existence part of lemma is true for r=1. If we assume that the existence part of lemma is true for r, then for any sequence of r+1 integers $(n_i)_{i=1}^{r+1}$, there exist r integers k_1, \dots, k_r such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 - x^{i})^{k_{i}} \equiv 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i} x^{i} \mod x^{r+1}.$$

Let n'_{r+1} be an integer such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 - x^{i})^{k_{i}} \equiv 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i} x^{i} + n'_{r+1} x^{r+1} \mod x^{r+2}.$$

We have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 - x^{i})^{k_{i}} (1 - x^{r+1})^{n'_{r+1} - n_{r+1}} \equiv 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} n_{i} x^{i} \mod x^{r+2}.$$

Hence the existence part of the lemma is true for r + 1.

For the uniqueness part, if there are two finite sequences k_1, \dots, k_r and k'_1, \dots, k'_r such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 - x^{i})^{k_{i}} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 - x^{i})^{k'_{i}} \mod x^{r+1}.$$

If the two sequences are distinct then let i be the least index such that $k_i - k'_i \neq 0$ then we have

$$\prod_{j=i}^{r} (1-x^i)^{k_i - k_i'} \equiv 1 \mod x^{i+1}$$

or

$$1 - (k_i - k_i')x^i \equiv 1 \mod x^{i+1}$$

which implies $k_i - k'_i = 0$ contradicting the assumption that $k_i - k'_i \neq 0$.

For a given sequence n_1, \dots, n_r we proved that there exists a unique sequence $k_1(n_1, \dots, n_r), \dots, k_r(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ such that equation (4) is true. Let $A(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ be the set defined by $A(n_1, \dots, n_r) := \{1 \le i \le r : k_i(n_1, \dots, n_r) \ne 0\}$. If the set $A(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ is non empty let $l(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ be the least common multiple of elements of $A(n_1, \dots, n_r)$, otherwise let $l(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ be 1.

Lemma 3.2. If $n \in S(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ then n is a multiple $l(n_1, \dots, n_r)$.

Proof. We will prove that if $l(n_1, \dots, n_r) \nmid n$ then $n \notin S(n_1, \dots, n_r)$. If $l(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ does not divide n then there exists an $i \in A(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ such that $i \nmid n$. That is, $k_i(n_1, \dots, n_r) \neq 0$ and $i \nmid n$.

Any divisor d(x) of $x^n - 1$ such that d(0) = 1 will be of the form

$$d(x) = \prod_{d \in S} \delta(d)\phi_d(x),$$

where S is some subset of set of divisors of n. Hence

$$d(x) = \prod_{d \in S} \delta(d)\phi_d(x)$$

$$= \prod_{d \in S} \prod_{d' \mid d} \left(1 - x^{d'}\right)^{\mu(\frac{d}{d'})}$$

$$\equiv \prod_{1 \leq d' \leq r} \prod_{d \equiv 0} \prod_{\substack{\text{mod } d'\\ d \in S}} \left(1 - x^{d'}\right)^{\mu(\frac{d}{d'})} \mod x^{r+1}$$

$$\equiv \prod_{j=1}^r (1 - x^j)^{l_j} \mod x^{r+1},$$

where $l_m = \sum_{\substack{d \equiv 0 \mod m \\ \text{mod } m}} \mu\left(\frac{d}{m}\right)$ for $1 \leq m \leq r$. Therefore as $i \nmid n$, $l_i = 0$. Hence $l_i \neq k_i(n_1, \cdots, n_r)$ and from uniqueness part of Lemma 3.1 we have $d(x) \not\equiv 1 + \sum_{j=1}^r n_j x^j \mod x^{r+1}$. Hence $n \notin S(n_1, \cdots, n_r)$.

Lemma 3.3. If p_1, \dots, p_s are distinct primes greater than r not dividing d and q_1, \dots, q_s are distinct primes greater than r and not dividing d then for all natural numbers e_1, \dots, e_s we have $\phi_{dp_1^{e_1} \dots p_s^{e_s}}(x) \equiv \phi_{dq_1^{e_1} \dots q_s^{e_s}}(x) \mod x^{r+1}$.

Proof. For every divisor d' of d we have $\mu\left(\frac{dp_1^{e_1}\cdots p_s^{e_s}}{d'}\right) = \mu\left(\frac{dq_1^{e_1}\cdots q_s^{e_s}}{d'}\right)$. From equation (2)

$$\phi_{dp_1^{e_1} \cdots p_s^{e_s}}(x) \equiv \prod_{d' \mid d} \left(1 - x^{d'}\right)^{\mu \left(\frac{dp_1^{e_1} \cdots p_s^{e_s}}{d'}\right)} \mod x^{r+1}$$

$$\equiv \prod_{d' \mid d} \left(1 - x^{d'}\right)^{\mu \left(\frac{dq_1^{e_1} \cdots q_s^{e_s}}{d'}\right)} \mod x^{r+1}$$

$$\equiv \phi_{dq_1^{e_1} \cdots q_s^{e_s}}(x) \mod x^{r+1}.$$

Lemma 3.4. If p_1 and p_2 are two distinct primes greater than r and if $d \le r$ then $\phi_{dp_1p_2}(x) \equiv \delta(d)\phi_d \mod x^{r+1}$.

Proof. From (2) we have

$$\phi_{dp_1p_2}(x) \equiv \prod_{d'|d} \left(1 - x^{d'}\right)^{\mu\left(\frac{dp_1p_2}{d'}\right)} \mod x^{r+1}$$

$$\equiv \prod_{d'|d} \left(1 - x^{d'}\right)^{\mu\left(\frac{d}{d'}\right)} \mod x^{r+1}$$

$$\equiv \delta(d)\phi_d(x) \mod x^{r+1}.$$

Lemma 3.5. For every finite sequence n_1, \dots, n_r there exist k distinct primes q_1, \dots, q_k greater than r such that $n = l(n_1, \dots, n_r)q_1q_2 \dots q_k \in S(n_1, \dots, n_r)$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 we have $\prod_{i=1}^r (1-x^i)^{k_i} \equiv 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i x^i \mod x^{r+1}$, where $k_i = k_i(n_1, \dots, n_r)$. From the definition of $A(n_1, \dots, n_r)$, $k_i \neq 0$ if and only if $i \in A(n_1, \dots, n_r)$. Let i_1, \dots, i_p be the elements of $A(n_1, \dots, n_r)$. We have

(5)
$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i x^i \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{p} (1 - x^{i_j})^{k_{i_j}} \mod x^{r+1}.$$

Let $r_1^{(j)}, \cdots, r_{|k_j|}^{(j)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$ be numbers such that for $1 \leq a \leq |k_{i_{j_1}}|$ and $1 \leq b \leq |k_{i_{j_2}}|, r_a^{(j_1)} = r_b^{(j_2)}$ if and only if $j_1 = j_2$ and a = b. If $k_{i_j} > 0$ then $r_a^{(j)}$ is a product of two distinct primes and each prime factor of $r_a^{(j)}$ is greater than r. If $k_{i_j} > 0$ then let

(6)
$$d_j(x) = \prod_{m=1}^{k_{i_j}} \prod_{d|i_j} \phi_{dr_m^{(j)}}(x).$$

If $k_{i_j} > 0$ then as $r_m^{(j)}$ is a product two prime factors greater from Lemma 3.4 we have $\phi_{dr_m^{(j)}}(x) \equiv \delta(d)\phi_d(x) \mod x^{r+1}$. Therefore

$$\prod_{d|i_j} \phi_{dr_m^{(j)}}(x) \equiv \prod_{d|i_j} \delta(d)\phi_d(x) \mod x^{r+1}$$
$$\equiv (1 - x^{i_j}) \mod x^{r+1}.$$

Hence from (6) we have

(7)
$$d_j(x) \equiv \prod_{m=1}^{k_{i_j}} (1 - x^{i_j}) \equiv (1 - x^{i_j})^{k_{i_j}} \mod x^{r+1}.$$

If $k_{i_i} < 0$ let

$$d_j(x) = \prod_{m=1}^{-k_{i_j}} \prod_{d | i_j} \phi_{dr_m^{(j)}}(x) \mod x^{r+1}.$$

As $k_{i_j} < 0$, $r_m^{(j)}$ is a prime number greater than r. Hence

$$\begin{split} \prod_{d|i_{j}} \phi_{dr_{m}^{(j)}}(x) &= \frac{\prod_{d|i_{j}r_{m}^{(j)}} \phi_{d}(x)}{\prod_{d|i_{j}} \phi_{d}(x)} \\ &\equiv \frac{\left(x^{i_{j}r_{m}^{(j)}} - 1\right)}{\left(x^{i_{j}} - 1\right)} \mod x^{r+1} \\ &\equiv \left(1 - x^{i_{j}}\right)^{-1} \mod x^{r+1}. \end{split}$$

Therefore

(8)
$$d_j(x) \equiv \prod_{m=1}^{-k_{i_j}} (1 - x^{i_j})^{-1} \equiv (1 - x^{i_j})^{k_{i_j}} \mod x^{r+1}.$$

From (5), (7) and (8) we have

(9)
$$d(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{p} d_j(x) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{p} (1 - x^{i_j})^{k_{i_j}} \equiv 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{r} n_j x^j \mod x^{r+1}.$$

If the set $\{i_j r_m^{(j)} : 1 \le j \le p, 1 \le m \le |k_{ij}|\}$ is non empty, let n be the least common multiple of the elements of the set and let n = 1 if the set is empty. Clearly d(x) is a divisor of $x^n - 1$ and therefore $n \in S(n_1, \dots, n_r)$. Observe that n is of the form $l(n_1, \dots, n_r)q_1q_2 \dots q_k$ where q_i 's are distinct prime factors greater than r.

Theorem 3.6. For every finite sequence n_1, \dots, n_r , let $N(n_1, \dots, n_r, x)$ denote number of $n \le x$ such that $n \in S(n_1, \dots, n_r)$. There exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$N(n_1, \dots, n_r, x) = C(n_1, \dots, n_r)x + O\left(\frac{x(\log\log x)^k}{\log x}\right),$$

where $C(n_1, \dots, n_r) = \frac{1}{l(n_1, \dots, n_r)}$.

Proof. For brevity, let $S(n_1, \dots, n_r) = S$ and $l(n_1, \dots, n_r) = l$. From Lemma 3.5 there exists an m_1 of the form $m_1 = lq_1 \cdots q_k$ and a divisor $d_1(x)$ of $x^{m_1} - 1$ such that

(10)
$$d_1(x) \equiv 1 + \sum_{i=1}^p n_i x^i \mod x^{r+1}.$$

For every m_2 of the form $m_2 = lp_1 \cdots p_k$ such that p_1, \cdots, p_k are distinct primes greater than r. Let S_1 be the set of divisors of m_1 and S_2 be the set of divisors of m_2 . Let $g: S_1 \to S_2$ be a map defined as follows. As l and $q_1 \cdots q_k$ are relatively prime, every divisor of d of $lq_1 \cdots q_k$ can be uniquely written in the form $d = d'_1q_{i_1} \cdots q_{i_s}$ where d'_1 divides l. Define $g(d'_1q_{i_1} \cdots q_{i_s}) = d'_1p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_s}$. From Lemma 3.3 it follows that $\phi_d(x) \equiv \phi_{g(d)}(x) \mod x^{r+1}$. As $d_1(x)$ is of the form $\prod_{d' \in R_1} \delta(d')\phi_{d'}(x)$ where R_1 is a subset of S_1 there will be $d_2(x) = \prod_{d' \in R_1} \delta(g(d'))\phi_{g(d')}(x)$, a divisor of $x^{m_2} - 1$, and $d_2(x) \equiv d_1(x) \equiv 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i x^i \mod x^{r+1}$. Therefore every number of the form $lp_1 \cdots p_k$ where p_i 's are distinct primes greater than r belongs to S which implies that every number lm belongs to S, if number of distinct prime factors of m greater than r is at least k. Hence if $\omega(m) \geq r + k$ then $lm \in S$ as $\omega(m) \geq r + k$

implies that number of prime factors of m greater than r is at least k. From 3.1. Lemma B of [2]

$$N(n_1, \dots, n_r, x) \ge |\{lm \le x : \omega(m) \ge r + k\}| = \frac{x}{l} + O\left(\frac{x(\log\log x)^{r+k-1}}{\log x}\right).$$

From Lemma 3.2, if $n \in S$ then l|n which implies that $N(n_1, \dots, n_r, x) \leq \frac{x}{l}$. Combining the two inequalities we get

$$N(n_1, \dots, n_r, x) = \frac{x}{l} + O\left(\frac{x(\log\log x)^{r+k-1}}{\log x}\right)$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- D. M. Bloom, On the coefficients of the cyclotomic polynomials, Amer. Math. Monthly, 75, 372-377 (1968).
- [2] Hardy, G. H. and Ramanujan, S., The normal number of prime factors of a number n, Quart. J. Math. 48(1917), 76-92.
- [3] C. Pomerance, N.C. Ryan, Maximal height of divisors of x^n-1 , Illinois J. Math. **51** (2007) 597-604.
- [4] R. C. Vaughan, Bounds for the coefficients of cyclotomic polynomials, Michigan Math. J. 21 (1974), 289-295 (1975). MR 0364141 (51 #396)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ROORKEE, INDIA 247667