

This question paper consists of 5 printed pages, each of which is identified by the Code Number COMP5450M.

A non-programmable calculator may be used.
Answer All Questions.
This is an open book examination.
Any written or printed material is permitted.

© UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

School of Computing

January 2019

COMP5450M

KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATION AND REASONING (MSc)

Time allowed: 2 hours

PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE THIS PAPER FROM THE EXAM ROOM

Answer ALL THREE questions

The marks available for each part of each question are clearly indicated.

Question 1

(a) Translate the following sentence into *Propositional Logic*:

- I go shopping on Mondays and Tuesdays. **[2 marks]**

(b) Translate the following sentences into *First-Order Predicate Logic* (using equality where necessary):

- (i) Some yellow frogs are poisonous. **[2 marks]**
- (ii) All Helen's rabbits are white or grey. **[2 marks]**
- (iii) No dog ate more than one biscuit. **[2 marks]**
- (iv) Edward hates everyone except himself. **[2 marks]**

(c) $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{D}, \delta \rangle$ is a model for a first-order language with two unary predicates P and Q and a binary relation predicate R . The domain of \mathcal{M} is the set $\{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$, and the denotation of the predicates is:

- $\delta(P) = \{a, b, c\}$
- $\delta(Q) = \{d, e, f\}$
- $\delta(R) = \{\langle a, f \rangle, \langle b, e \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle, \langle f, f \rangle\}$

Which of the following formulae are satisfied by this model? **[4 marks]**

- F1. $\forall x[P(x) \vee Q(x)]$
- F2. $\exists w[P(w) \wedge Q(w)]$
- F3. $\forall x[P(x) \rightarrow \exists y[R(x, y) \wedge Q(y)]]$
- F4. $\neg \exists x \exists y[Q(x) \wedge Q(y) \wedge R(x, y)]$

(d) Use the *Sequent Calculus* to show that the following sequent is valid: **[6 marks]**

$$\forall x[P(x)], \forall x[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] \vdash \forall x[Q(x)]$$

You should only use rules from the following rule set, which was presented in the lecture slides, to construct your proof:

$$\frac{\text{Axiom}}{\alpha, \Gamma \vdash \alpha, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\alpha, \beta, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{(\alpha \wedge \beta), \Gamma \vdash \Delta} [\wedge\vdash] \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \alpha, \Delta \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash \beta, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \wedge \beta), \Delta} [\vdash\wedge]$$

$$\frac{\alpha, \Gamma \vdash \Delta \text{ and } \beta, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{(\alpha \vee \beta), \Gamma \vdash \Delta} [\vee\vdash] \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \alpha, \beta, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \vee \beta), \Delta} [\vdash\vee]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \alpha, \Delta}{\neg\alpha, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} [\neg\vdash] \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \neg\alpha, \Delta} [\vdash\neg]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \neg\alpha \vee \beta \vdash \alpha, \Delta}{\Gamma, \alpha \rightarrow \beta \vdash \Delta} [\rightarrow\vdash r.w.] \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \neg\alpha \vee \beta, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \beta, \Delta} [\vdash\rightarrow r.w.]$$

$$\frac{\forall x[\Phi(x)], \Phi(k), \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\forall x[\Phi(x)], \Gamma \vdash \Delta} [\forall\vdash] \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Phi(k), \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x[\Phi(x)], \Delta} [\vdash\forall]^\dagger$$

† where κ cannot occur anywhere in the lower sequent.

[Question 1 total: 20 marks]

Question 2

- (a) (i) Give the set of *clausal* formulae (i.e. formulae in ~~disjunctive normal form~~)¹ corresponding to the following propositional formulae: **[4 marks]**

$$\neg\neg A \vee S, (\neg S \wedge T), (A \vee B) \rightarrow Q, (Q \wedge T) \rightarrow (R \wedge S)$$

- (ii) Give a proof that these formulae are inconsistent using *binary propositional resolution*. **[4 marks]**

- (b) Translate the following sentence into *Propositional Tense Logic*: **[2 marks]**

If I win the lottery I will be rich forever after that.

- (c) A Situation Calculus theory makes use of fluents of the forms:

robot_has(*item*) *on_floor*(*item*, *room*) *locked*(*door*)
robot_location(*room*) *connects*(*door*, *room*₁, *room*₂)

The theory includes constants referring to items, one of which is key.

The theory also describes the behaviour of a robot in terms of the following actions:

pick_up(*object*) **unlock**(*door*) **move_to**(*room*)

An initial situation, *s*₀, is described as follows:

Holds(*connects*(*door*₁, *hall*, *lounge*), *s*₀) Holds(*connects*(*door*₂, *hall*, *study*), *s*₀)
¬Holds(*locked*(*door*₁), *s*₀) Holds(*locked*(*door*₂), *s*₀)
Holds(*on_floor*(*key*, *lounge*), *s*₀) Holds(*robot_location*(*hall*), *s*₀)

- (i) Assuming that the initial situation is *s*₀, give a sequence of actions that will result in the goal *robot_location*(*study*) being satisfied. **[2 marks]**
- (ii) For each of the actions **pick_up** and **move_to** specify a *precondition* axiom stating the conditions under which the action is possible. **[4 marks]**
- (iii) Give an *effect* axiom specifying the results of carrying out the action **unlock**. **[2 marks]**
- (iv) Write down a *frame* axiom stating that the **move_to** action does not affect the *locked* fluent. **[2 marks]**

[Question 2 total: 20 marks]

¹This was an error in the exam script. A set of clausal formulae is more like *conjunctive normal form*, except that we give it as a set of *clauses* rather than a conjunction (the conjunction is implicit rather than explicitly written with a '∧' symbol). Each clause is either a *literal* or a disjunction of literals. A literal is either an atomic proposition or a negated atomic proposition.

Question 3

- (a) For each of the following *Prolog* queries, give the value of the variable x after the query has been executed:

- (i) $?- X = 7/2.$ [1 mark]
 (ii) $?- [1, [2, 3], 4] = [_ | [X | _]]$ [1 mark]
 (iii) $?- A = [1,2,3,4,5], \text{setof}(I, (\text{member}(I,A), I>2), X).$ [1 mark]
 (iv) $?- \text{append}([X], [2,3], [1,2,3]).$ [1 mark]

- (b) Consider the following formulae involving topological relations of the *Region Connection Calculus* (RCC) and the *convex hull* function, conv . The constants (a , b and c) refer to particular spatial regions. In each case, draw a configuration of the regions referred to by these constants that satisfies the formula, labelling your diagram to indicate which region is which:

- (i) $\text{DC}(a, b) \wedge \text{NTPP}(\text{sum}(a, b), c)$ [2 marks]
 (ii) $\text{TPP}(a, b) \wedge \text{TPP}(b, c) \wedge \text{TPP}(a, c)$ [2 marks]
 (iii) $\text{DC}(a, b) \wedge \text{TPP}(a, \text{conv}(b))$ [2 marks]

- (c) A *liger* is an animal whose parents are a male lion and a female tiger. Use *Description Logic* to give a definition of the concept **Liger** in terms of the concepts **Lion**, **Tiger**, **Male**, **Female** and the relation **hasParent**. [4 marks]

- (d) Write a *Default Logic* rule that formally represents the reasoning principle expressed in the following statement: [2 marks]

“British people typically drink tea, except for children and those who drink coffee.”

- (e) This question concerns a *Fuzzy Logic* in which the following definitions of *linguistic modifiers* are specified:

$$\text{quite}(\phi) = \phi^{1/2} \quad \text{very}(\phi) = \phi^2$$

The logic is used to describe Leo the lion, who possesses certain characteristics to the following degrees:

$$\text{Large}(\text{leo}) = 0.5 \quad \text{Fierce}(\text{leo}) = 0.09 \quad \text{Clever}(\text{leo}) = 0.4$$

Translate the following sentences into fuzzy logic and also give the fuzzy truth value of each proposition (under the standard fuzzy interpretation of the Boolean connectives):

- (i) Leo is not very clever. [2 marks]
 (ii) Leo is very very large and quite fierce. [2 marks]

[Question 3 total: 20 marks]

[Grand total: 60 marks]