

Lecture 16: Iterative solution of a linear system

COMP5930M Scientific Computation

Today

Basic concepts

Standard methods

- Convergence

- Efficiency

- Accuracy

Matrix properties

Iterative methods

- ▶ We can design iterative methods to solve a linear system $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$
 - ▶ Starting from an initial guess \mathbf{x}_0 a sequence $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots$ will be computed by solving linear problems
 - ▶ These linear problems should be simpler than the original one (sparser, with special structure etc.)
 - ▶ They are an alternative to direct methods, such as Gauss Elimination
- ▶ Note: We already use a type of iterative method for the nonlinear problem
 - ▶ Newton's method generates a similar sequence of approximations
 - ▶ In the nonlinear case no direct solution is possible

Application to the Newton algorithm

- ▶ The linear system at each nonlinear iteration is specified as $\mathbf{J}\delta = -\mathbf{F}$
- ▶ Again we need an initial guess δ_0 for the linear iterative solver
 - ▶ We may choose $\delta_0 = \mathbf{0}$
- ▶ We must also ensure this linear iterative sequence converges

Iterative methods by splitting the matrix

General iterative method based on splitting: $\mathbf{Ax} = (\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{E})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$

$$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{E}\mathbf{x}_k$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{x}_k$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}_k$$

where $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{B} = -\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{E}$ is called the iteration matrix.

Standard methods: Jacobi iteration

The simplest method is **Jacobi iteration**, which corresponds to the choice $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{D}$ (non-diagonal elements):

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \text{diag}(\mathbf{A})^{-1} (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k)$$

- ▶ **$\text{diag}(\mathbf{A})$ is the diagonal of matrix \mathbf{A}** , easy to invert since all we need to do is take reciprocal d_i^{-1} of each element $i = 1, \dots, n$
- ▶ We usually define the residual \mathbf{r}_k as

$$\mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k$$

noting that $\mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{0}$ implies $\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{x}$, and that if the iteration converges, the residuals go to zero, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{r}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$

Convergence

- ▶ The Jacobi iteration converges if \mathbf{A} is strictly diagonally dominant
 - ▶ Strictly diagonally dominant requires that for any row i of \mathbf{A} , we have

$$|A_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |A_{ij}|$$

which is fairly restrictive

- ▶ In practice, it will often converge if \mathbf{A} is weakly diagonally dominant

$$|A_{ii}| \geq \sum_{j \neq i} |A_{ij}|$$

but this cannot be proved in general

Efficiency

- ▶ Each Jacobi iteration requires a matrix-vector multiplication
 - ▶ $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ expense in general
 - ▶ For sparse matrices this reduces to $\mathcal{O}(n)$ expense
- ▶ However the overall expense is also determined by the total number of iterations required
 - ▶ If we can guarantee a small number of iterations, $K_{\max} \ll n$, we are more efficient than direct algorithms' $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$
- ▶ Number of Jacobi iterations is in general **LARGE**

Standard methods: Gauss-Seidel

A better iterative method is **Gauss-Seidel Iteration**¹ for $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \text{udiag}(\mathbf{A})^{-1} (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{Ax}_k)$$

- ▶ $\text{udiag}(\mathbf{A})$ is the upper-triangular part of the matrix \mathbf{A}
- ▶ Solution of upper-triangular system can be performed by back-substitution as before
- ▶ Computational cost of each Gauss-Seidel iteration is $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

¹(Developed by C.F. Gauss around 1820 because he grew tired of performing Gaussian elimination by hand when solving problems of geodetics.)

Standard methods: Gauss-Seidel

A better iterative method is Gauss-Seidel Iteration¹ for $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \text{udiag}(\mathbf{A})^{-1} (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{Ax}_k)$$

- ▶ $\text{udiag}(\mathbf{A})$ is the upper-triangular part of the matrix \mathbf{A}
- ▶ Solution of upper-triangular system can be performed by back-substitution as before
- ▶ Computational cost of each Gauss-Seidel iteration is $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$
- ▶ Converges for all symmetric, positive definite \mathbf{A} , i.e. all eigenvalues $\lambda > 0$, or if \mathbf{A} is strictly diagonally dominant

¹(Developed by C.F. Gauss around 1820 because he grew tired of performing Gaussian elimination by hand when solving problems of geodetics.)

Standard methods: Gauss-Seidel

A better iterative method is Gauss-Seidel Iteration¹ for $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \text{udiag}(\mathbf{A})^{-1} (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{Ax}_k)$$

- ▶ $\text{udiag}(\mathbf{A})$ is the upper-triangular part of the matrix \mathbf{A}
- ▶ Solution of upper-triangular system can be performed by back-substitution as before
- ▶ Computational cost of each Gauss-Seidel iteration is $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$
- ▶ Converges for all symmetric, positive definite \mathbf{A} , i.e. all eigenvalues $\lambda > 0$, or if \mathbf{A} is strictly diagonally dominant

¹(Developed by C.F. Gauss around 1820 because he grew tired of performing Gaussian elimination by hand when solving problems of geodetics.)

Residual vs. error

- ▶ We can easily compute the **residual** \mathbf{r}_k , but this is not the same as the error $\mathbf{e}_k = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k$
 - ▶ Even though $\mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{0}$ implies $\mathbf{e}_k = \mathbf{0}$
 - ▶ (Compare to Lecture 3 convergence discussion on $F(x_k)$ and $|x^* - x_k|$)
- ▶ We can show that they are related through

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}_k = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k) = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{r}_k$$

- ▶ The precise relationship is determined by properties of \mathbf{A}

Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Assume our matrix \mathbf{A} is symmetric, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T$, and that we can construct matrix \mathbf{Q} , such that

$$\mathbf{Q}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{D}$$

where \mathbf{D} is a diagonal matrix

- ▶ The diagonal entries of \mathbf{D} are the **eigenvalues**, λ_i , of \mathbf{A}
- ▶ The columns of \mathbf{Q} are the **eigenvectors**, \mathbf{q}_i , of \mathbf{A}

Non-symmetric \mathbf{A} can be treated similarly but there is no guarantee that a full set of eigenvalues and eigenvectors exists.

Matrix norm

- ▶ There are several ways to define the norm of a matrix $\|\mathbf{A}\|$
- ▶ For symmetric \mathbf{A} , we define the norm as the spectral radius

$$\|\mathbf{A}\| = \max_i |\lambda_i|$$

- ▶ Consequently

$$\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{\min_i |\lambda_i|}$$

- ▶ The spectral norm has the expected properties of a norm
 $\|\mathbf{A}\| = 0$ implies $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\|\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\| + \|\mathbf{B}\|$
- ▶ It is consistent with the vector norm, $\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$

Convergence of iterative methods

Recall the iterative method based on splitting: $\mathbf{Ax} = (\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{E})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}_k$$

where $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{B} = -\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{E}$ is called the iteration matrix.

We can show that the error, $\mathbf{e}_k = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k$, satisfies the relation:

$$\mathbf{e}_{k+1} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{e}_k,$$

so the iteration converges iff the spectral norm $\|\mathbf{B}\| < 1$.

In fact, since $\|\mathbf{e}_{k+1}\| \leq \|\mathbf{B}\| \|\mathbf{e}_k\|$ then $\|\mathbf{e}_k\| \leq \|\mathbf{B}\|^k \|\mathbf{e}_0\| \rightarrow 0$.

Condition number κ

- ▶ We define the matrix condition number κ as

$$\kappa = \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|.$$

If \mathbf{A} is symmetric, it follows that $\kappa = \frac{\max |\lambda_i|}{\min |\lambda_i|}$

- ▶ Small condition number implies the **error and residual** are directly proportional
 - ▶ termed *well-conditioned* linear problem

Large condition number implies there is no direct proportionality

- ▶ termed *ill-conditioned* linear problem
- ▶ Condition number for FDM matrix is typically $\mathcal{O}(h^{-2})$

Relationship between error and residual

Since $\mathbf{e}_k = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{r}_k$, we can estimate

$$\|\mathbf{e}_k\| = \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{r}_k\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\mathbf{r}_k\|.$$

We divide both sides by $\|\mathbf{x}_k\|$ and note that

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|^{-1} = \frac{\kappa(\mathbf{A})}{\|\mathbf{A}\|}$$

so that

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{e}_k\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_k\|} \leq \kappa(\mathbf{A}) \frac{\|\mathbf{r}_k\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{x}_k\|}.$$

Relationship between error and residual

Since $\mathbf{e}_k = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{r}_k$, we can estimate

$$\|\mathbf{e}_k\| = \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{r}_k\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\mathbf{r}_k\|.$$

We divide both sides by $\|\mathbf{x}_k\|$ and note that

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|^{-1} = \frac{\kappa(\mathbf{A})}{\|\mathbf{A}\|}$$

so that

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{e}_k\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_k\|} \leq \kappa(\mathbf{A}) \frac{\|\mathbf{r}_k\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{x}_k\|}.$$

Relative error $\frac{\|\mathbf{e}_k\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_k\|}$ is bounded from above by the relative residual $\frac{\|\mathbf{r}_k\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{x}_k\|}$ and a constant factor equal to the condition number $\kappa(\mathbf{A})$

Relationship between error and residual

Since $\mathbf{e}_k = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{r}_k$, we can estimate

$$\|\mathbf{e}_k\| = \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{r}_k\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\mathbf{r}_k\|.$$

We divide both sides by $\|\mathbf{x}_k\|$ and note that

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|^{-1} = \frac{\kappa(\mathbf{A})}{\|\mathbf{A}\|}$$

so that

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{e}_k\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_k\|} \leq \kappa(\mathbf{A}) \frac{\|\mathbf{r}_k\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{x}_k\|}.$$

Relative error $\frac{\|\mathbf{e}_k\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_k\|}$ is bounded from above by the relative residual $\frac{\|\mathbf{r}_k\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{x}_k\|}$ and a constant factor equal to the condition number $\kappa(\mathbf{A})$

Summary

- ▶ Iterative linear solver generates a sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}_{k=0}^{K_{\max}}$ by solving “simpler” linear problems with $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ cost per iteration
- ▶ Iteration drives residual $\mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k$ close to zero
- ▶ When matrix \mathbf{A} is well-conditioned, $\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \mathcal{O}(1)$, the residual \mathbf{r}_k and the true error $\mathbf{e}_k = \mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}_k$ are proportional to each other and the iteration drives \mathbf{e}_k close to zero
- ▶ For the FDM matrix, decreasing grid size h makes the Jacobian increasingly ill-conditioned (PROBLEM)