This question paper consists of 9 printed pages, each of which is identified by the Code Number COMP5930M.

This is a closed book examination.

No material is permitted.

© UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

School of Computing

January 2019

COMP5930M

Scientific Computation

Answer ALL questions

Time allowed: 2 hours

NOTE TO INVIGILATOR AND STUDENT

This question paper must be attached with a treasury tag to the back of the answer booklets. It is the student's responsibility to attach the question paper.

Question 1

Assume that $F(x): \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuously differentiable scalar function that has the derivative F'(x). We want to find a solution x^* to the nonlinear equation $F(x^*) = 0$.

To find the solution, we consider two different numerical methods, *Newton's method* or the *bisection method*. The pseudocode for these two methods is provided below. The methods require either a starting point x_0 or an initial bracket $[x_L, x_R]$, a convergence tolerance Tol, and the maximum number of iterations k_{\max} .

Newton's method

$$[x,f] = Newton(F, x_0, Tol, k_{max})$$

A1. Set
$$k=0$$

A2. While
$$|F(x_k)| > Tol$$
 and $k < k_{max}$

- (i). Calculate $F'(x_k)$
- (ii). Update $x_{k+1} = x_k F(x_k)/F'(x_k)$
- (iii). Increment $k \to k+1$
- A3. End

A4. Set
$$x = x_{k+1}$$
 and $f = F(x_{k+1})$

Bisection method

$$[x,f] = \mathsf{Bisection}(F, x_L, x_R, Tol, k_{\max})$$

A1. Set
$$k=0$$

A2. While
$$|x_R - x_L| > Tol$$
 and $k < k_{max}$

(i). Set
$$x_C = (x_L + x_R)/2$$

- (ii). Evaluate $F(x_C)$
- (iii). If $|F(x_C)| < Tol$, Exit While.
- (iv). If $F(x_L)F(x_C) < 0$, set $x_R = x_C$. Otherwise set $x_L = x_C$.

A3. End

A4. Set
$$x = x_C$$
 and $f = F(x_C)$

(a) Identify three key differences between Newton's method and the bisection method.

[3 marks]

Answer:

Page 2 of 9 TURN OVER

Any three of the following [1 mark each]:

- Bisection method converges with order 1, while Newton's method usually converges with order 2.
- Bisection method is robust and always finds a root in $[x_L, x_R]$ if $F(x_L)F(x_R) < 0$, whereas Newton's method might diverge if the initial guess x_0 is not close enough.
- Bisection method does not use derivatives, while Newton's method does.
- The stopping criteria of the two methods are different.
- Newton's method can be extended to vector-valued problems, while the bisection method only applies to scalar problems.
- (b) Consider the case $F(x) = x^3 x^2$.
 - (i) Find the exact solutions of $F(x^*) = 0$ by polynomial factorisation. [3 marks]

Answer:

We factorise $F(x) = x^3 - x^2 = x^2(x-1) = 0$. The solutions are x = 1 [1 mark] and x = 0 (double root) [2 marks].

(ii) For which of these solutions x^* is the convergence of Newton's method *quadratic* (with order 2) and for which is it only *linear* (with order 1)? Justify your answer by studying the properties of F(x) at x^* . [3 marks]

Answer:

Newton's method applied to a polynomial converges quadratically to a root x^* if $F'(x^*) \neq 0$ (i.e. the root is simple) [1 mark]. Since $F'(x) = 3x^2 - 2x$, we note that F'(0) = 0 and F'(1) = 1, so that the method

(iii) Is the initial bracket $[x_L, x_R] = [-1, 2]$ for the bisection method suitable for finding a solution $F(x^*) = 0$? Justify your answer by studying the properties of F(x).

will converge quadratically at x = 1 [1 mark] and linearly at x = 0 [1 mark].

[2 marks]

Answer:

We must check that $F(x_L)F(x_R) < 0$ [1 mark].

Since $F(-1) = (-1)^3 - (-1)^2 = -2$ and $F(2) = 2^3 - 2^2 = 4$, the necessary condition for applying the bisection method is satisfied [1 mark].

(iv) Apply one step of the bisection method starting from the initial bracket $[x_L, x_R] = [-1, 2]$. Which solution x^* does the method converge to? [3 marks]

Answer:

Initial bracket: $[x_L,x_R]=[-1,2], F(x_L)=-2, F(x_R)=4$. First midpoint: $x_C=(2-1)/2=0.5, F(x_C)=0.5^3-0.5^2=-0.125$ [1 mark]. Bracket condition: $F(x_C)F(x_R)<0$, so we set $x_L=x_C=0.5$ [1 mark]. Since the only root in the bracket $[x_L,x_R]=[0.5,2]$ is x=1, the bisection method must converge to that root [1 mark].

(v) Can the bisection method converge to a different solution $x^* \in [-1, 2]$ if we change the initial bracket? Justify your answer by studying the properties of F(x) at x^* .

[3 marks]

Page 3 of 9 TURN OVER

Answer:

The function F(x) is locally concave and non-positive in the neighborhood of x=0, which can be verified from F(0)=F'(0)=0 and F''(0)=-2 (alternatively: they may plot the graph of the function and observe the same thing qualitatively). [1 mark].

Consequently, the bracket condition $F(x_L)F(x_R) < 0$ will fail in any sufficiently small neighborhood of x=0 and the bisection method will generally not find this solution [1 mark].

The only exception are brackets for which the midpoint lands precisely on x=0 by symmetry, e.g. the choice $[x_L,x_R]=[-2,2]$ [1 mark]

(c) Explain how Newton's method can be modified to eliminate the requirement of computing the exact derivative F'(x) (the *secant method*) How does this change the way the method has to be started? [3 marks]

Answer:

We use the approximation $F'(x_k) \approx (F(x_k) - F(x_{k-1})/(x_k - x_{k-1})$ [1 mark] to replace the derivative, resulting in the secant step [1 mark]

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{x_k - x_{k-1}}{F(x_k) - F(x_{k-1})} F(x_k).$$

We now need to provide two initial iterates, x_0 and x_{-1} to get started [1 mark].

[question 1 total: 20 marks]

Question 2

A scalar function u(x,t) satisfies the following nonlinear partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right]^2 + 2u \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2},\tag{1}$$

for $x \in [0,1]$ with boundary conditions $u(0,t) = \alpha$, $u(1,t) = \beta$, and t > 0 with initial conditions $u(x,0) = U_0(x)$.

On a uniform grid of m nodes, with nodal spacing h, covering the domain $x \in [0,1]$, we first discretise the equation in space using the finite difference schemes

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_i) \approx \frac{u_{i+1} - u_i}{h}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x_i) \approx \frac{u_{i+1} - 2u_i + u_{i-1}}{h^2}$$

The semi-discrete problem $\dot{u}_i = f(u_{i-1}, u_i, u_{i+1})$ is then discretised using backward Euler

$$\frac{u^{k+1} - u^k}{\Delta t} = f(u_{i-1}^{k+1}, u_i^{k+1}, u_{i+1}^{k+1})$$

where $\Delta t > 0$ is a constant time-step size.

(a) Show that the fully-discrete form of the problem may be written as:

$$F_i(\mathbf{U}) = \frac{u_i^{k+1} - u_i^k}{\Delta t} - \frac{[u_{i+1}^{k+1}]^2 - 3[u_i^{k+1}]^2 + 2u_i^{k+1}u_{i-1}^{k+1}}{h^2} = 0$$
 (2)

for $i=1,2,\dots,m$, where $\mathbf{U}=(u_1^{k+1},u_2^{k+1},\dots,u_m^{k+1})$ is the discrete solution vector at the next time step.

Answer:

The semi-discrete form is obtained by substituting the finite-difference approximations in space to the equation (1):

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t}$$
 = $\frac{1}{h^2} \left[(u_{i+1} - u_i)^2 + 2u_i(u_{i+1} - 2u_i + u_{i-1}) \right]$ [1 mark] (3)

$$= \frac{1}{h^2} \left(u_{i+1}^2 - 2u_{i+1}\overline{u_i} + u_i^2 + 2u_i\overline{u_{i+1}} - 4u_i^2 + 2u_iu_{i-1} \right)$$
 [2 mark] (4)
$$= \frac{1}{h^2} \left(u_{i+1}^2 - 3u_i^2 + 2u_iu_{i-1} \right)$$
 [1 mark]. (5)

$$= \frac{1}{h^2} \left(u_{i+1}^2 - 3u_i^2 + 2u_i u_{i-1} \right)$$
 [1 mark]. (5)

The fully-discrete form is obtained using backward Euler as:

$$\frac{u_i^{k+1}-u_i^k}{\Delta t}=\frac{1}{h^2}\left([u_{i+1}^{k+1}]^2-3[u_i^{k+1}]^2+2u_i^{k+1}u_{i-1}^{k+1}\right).~\text{[2 marks]}$$

The nonlinear equation is obtained by moving all the terms to the left-hand side:

$$F_i = \frac{u_i^{k+1} - u_i^k}{\Delta t} - \frac{1}{h^2} \left([u_{i+1}^{k+1}]^2 - 3[u_i^{k+1}]^2 + 2u_i^{k+1} u_{i-1}^{k+1} \right) = 0. \text{ [1 mark]}$$

Page 5 of 9 **TURN OVER** (b) The Jacobian matrix ${f J}$ for the nonlinear system ${f F}({f U})={f 0}$ is defined elementwise as

$$J_{ij} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial U_j}.$$

Show that the Jacobian for the discrete problem (2) is a tridiagonal matrix by computing the nonzero elements J_{ij} of the i'th row. [4 marks]

Answer:

For the function F_i we have:

$$J_{i,i-1}=-\frac{2U_i}{h^2} \ [\text{1 mark}]$$

$$J_{i,i}=\frac{1}{\Delta t}-\frac{U_{i-1}-3U_i}{2h^2} \ [\text{1 mark}]$$

$$J_{i,i+1}=-\frac{2U_{i+1}}{h^2} \ [\text{1 mark}]$$

All other elements $J_{i,\cdot}$ are zero. Therefore, the matrix is tridiagonal. [1 mark]

(c) Consider a numerical Jacobian approximation

$$J_{ij} \approx \frac{F_i(\mathbf{U} + \delta \mathbf{e}_j) - F_i(\mathbf{U})}{\delta}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is a small perturbation and $\mathbf{e}_j = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)^T$ is a vector with the j'th component equal to 1 and all others equal to 0.

Describe an efficient method for evaluating the numerical Jacobian of a tridiagonal matrix using only three evaluations of **F**. **[2 marks]**

Answer:

Each column of the Jacobian has only 3 non-zero entries hence we can form 3 non-overlapping sets of Jacobian columns $(j_1, j_4, ...), (j_2, j_5, ...), (j_3, j_6, ...)$ [1 mark].

This allows us to perturb several variables simultaneously and evaluate the entire Jacobian with only 3 function calls [1 mark].

- (d) Formulate in pseudocode an algorithm for solving the fully-discrete problem (2) using Newton method's in each time step, including:
 - a time-stepping procedure that calls Newton's method at each time step;
 - a choice of the initial guess for Newton's method at each iteration;
 - an efficient solution of the linear system at each Newton iteration.

(Note: It is not necessary to provide pseudocode for solving the tridiagonal linear system, simply mention which algorithm you would choose.) [7 marks]

Answer:

Given the initial time t_0 , the final time t_f and the time-step size dt:

% Time-stepping loop

Set U_0 equal to the initial condition. [1 mark]

[question 2 total: 20 marks]



Question 3

Provide brief answers to the following questions on computational linear algebra:

(a) Define what we mean when we say that a matrix A of size $n \times n$ is *sparse*. [1 mark]

Answer: A sparse $n \times n$ matrix has at most nz non-zero entries, where $nz \ll n^2$ and typically nz = O(n). [1 mark]

Identify three different data structures for efficiently storing sparse matrices. [3 marks]

Answer:

- coordinate format [1 mark]
- row-major format [1 mark]
- column-major format [1 mark]
- (b) Explain how the *LU factorisation* of an $n \times n$ matrix, A = LU, can be used to solve a linear system Ax = b. [2 marks]

Answer: Using the LU factors, we divide the problem Ax = b into two sub-problems [1 mark]:

- (i) Lz = b
- (ii) Ux = z

The sub-problems can be solved efficiently by forward/backward-substitution because L and U are lower- and upper-triangular, respectively. [1 mark].

Explain why reordering the rows/columns of a sparse matrix A can increase the computational efficiency of LU factorisation. [2 marks]

Answer: Reordering the rows/columns of the matrix A can reduce its bandwidth [1 mark] and consequently reduce the fill-in of the LU factors L and U [1 mark].

(c) Describe the *greedy minimum degree algoritm* for reordering a sparse matrix A.

[4 marks]

Answer:

- (i) Extract the graph structure of the sparse matrix. [1 mark]
- (ii) Calculate the degree of each node *i* in the graph. [1 mark]
- (iii) Pick any starting node and renumber it as node 1.
- (iv) For each renumbered node:
 - Order the non-renumbered neighbours of that node by their degree. [1 mark]
 - Renumber each of them in that sequence. [1 mark].
- (d) Formulate in pseudocode the *Jacobi iteration* as an iterative method for solving the linear problem Ax = b. [4 marks]

Answer: Given an initial guess x_0 [1 mark], a stopping tolerance tol and maximum number of iterations k_{\max} :

- (i) Set k = 0
- (ii) Do
- (iii) Compute current residual $r_k = b Ax_k$ [1 mark]
- (iv) Update $x_{k+1} = x_k + \operatorname{diag}(A)^{-1}r_k$ [1 mark]
- (v) Update $k \to k+1$
- (vi) While $||r_k|| > tol$ and $k < k_{\max}$ [1 mark]
- (e) Identify four properties of a good *preconditioner* M for a linear problem Ax = b that allow the preconditioned problem $(M^{-1}A)x = M^{-1}b$ to be solved more efficiently using an iterative method (such as the conjugate gradient method) than the original problem.

[4 marks]

Answer: Any four of the following [1 mark each]:

- ullet The matrix M should be computationally cheap to assemble.
- The linear system Mz = r should be computationally cheap to solve.
- The inverse M^{-1} should approximate the inverse A^{-1} in some sense.
- The preconditioned system $(M^{-1}A)x = M^{-1}b$ should require fewer iterations.
- ullet The preconditioner M should be chosen based on the properties of A.
- The preconditioned system should be better conditioned, i.e. $\kappa(M^{-1}A) \ll \kappa(A)$.

[question 3 total: 20 marks]

